Gary S. Mintz, MD

The Multisite Nature of **Vulnerable Plaque: Insights from Clinical**, Angiographic, and **IVUS Studies**

Criteria for defining vulnerable plaque

- Major criteria
 - Active inflammation
 - Thin cap with large lipid core
 - Endothelial denudation with superficial platelet aggregation
 - Fissured plaque
 - Stenosis >90%
- Minor criteria
 - Superficial calcified nodule
 - Glistening yellow
 - Intraplaque hemorrhage
 - Endothelial dysfunction
 - Outward (positive) remodeling

	Angiography	IVUS	Angioscopy
Major criteria			
Active inflammation			
Thin cap with large lipid core			
Endothelial denudation			
Fissured plaque		±	+
Stenosis >90%	+	+	
Minor criteria			
Superficial calcified nodule		+	
Glistening yellow			+
Intraplaque hemorrhage			
Endothelial dysfunction			
Positive remodeling		+	
Three vessel imaging	+	±	

 Almost everything that we know about vulnerable plaque has come wither from histopathology or from in vivo detection of plaque rupture in patients who present with acute coronary syndromes - NOT from prospective correlative studies or prospective identification of vulnerable plaques before they rupture and/or thrombose

Different Types of Vulnerable Plaque







The IVUS diagnosis of erosion is probably one of exclusion: ACS without positive remodeling or plaque rupture





 $\neg \land$

 $C \cap m$



Number of thin-cap atheromas in patients dying with MI, sudden death, or noncardiac causes and studied at necropsy



Burke et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:1855-917

20 pts (58 arteries with 21 culprit lesions) underwent with angioscopy 1 month post-MI

- Culprit lesions
 - Yellow plaques in 90%
 - Thrombi in 81%
 - 3.7±1.6 yellow plaques/infarct related artery
- Non-infarct related arteries
 - Yellow plaques in 95%
 - 3.4±1.8 yellow plaques/non-infarct related artery
 - 91% of 185 yellow plaques were at angiographically normal sites
- The number of yellow plaques did not correlate with age, gender, HTN, hypercholesterolemia, DM, smoking, total cholesterol, HDL, or triglycerides

Three Vessel IVUS Imaging in 24 Pts with ACS and Positive Tn

50 ruptured plaques

- 9 culprit lesion
- 41 nonculprit lesion
- 19 pts had at least 1 nonculprit plaque rupture (79%)
 - 17 pts had 1 plaque rupture in a second artery
 - 3 pts had plaque ruptures in all 3 arteries



Rioufol et al Circulation 2002;106:804-808







Frequency of ruptured plaques in 122 patients with MI and 3-vessel IVUS



Hong et al Circulation (in press)

Number of ruptured plaques in patients with MI versus stable angina



- In the entire cohort of 235 patients, the only independent predictor of plaque rupture was myocardial infarction (p<0.01, OR=4.867).
- In MI patients, the only independent predictor of plaque rupture was elevated CRP level (p=0.035, OR=2.139).
- In stable angina patients, the only independent predictor was diabetes mellitus (p=0.034, OR=2.553).
- The only independent predictor of multiple plaque ruptures was myocardial infarction (p=0.003, OR=3.752).

Frequency of multiple plaque ruptures in 46 patients with a first ACS (<4 weeks from onset)

Culprit lesion: 20/41 (49%)
Non-culprit lesion: 7/81 (15%)
> 1 in the culprit artery
> 6 in a non-culprit artery

One year follow-up of MI patients depending on whether they presented with 1 or multiple complex angiographic plaques



Goldstein et al. N Engl J Med 2000;343:915-22

However, not all multiple ruptures are evident angiographically

- 300 plaque ruptures in 257 arteries of 254 patients
- Sensitivity of angiographic complex lesion morphology in detecting IVUS plaque rupture was 90%
- However, in 40 patients with multiple plaque ruptures by IVUS, angiography showed only one lesion

Angiographic Findings		
Complex lesions	91%	
Ulceration	81%	
Intimal flap	40%	
Thrombus	7%	
Aneurysm	7%	
Lumen irregularity	4%	
2° complex lesions not imaged by IVUS	24%	

Maehara et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:904-10

Association of positive remodeling and plaque rupture and ACS



aehara et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:904-10

Schoenhagen et al. Circulation 2000;101:598-603

244 Patients with Stable Angina and Single Vessel Intervention



Vexberg et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;36:1860-9



EEM CSA = 21.0mm² _umen CSA = 9.5mm² P+M CSA = 11.5mm² EEM CSA = 23.5mm² Lumen CSA = 5.5mm² P+M CSA = 18.0mm² EEM CSA = 13.7mm Lumen CSA = 9.3mm P+M CSA = 4.4mm

- The likelihood of developing acute coronary syndromes correlates with the severity of coronary plaque burden
- Measures of plaque burden may, therefore, be indirect indices of the number of vulnerable plaques.

Volumetric IVUS analysis of 19 RCA's with 1 or 2 focal de novo stenoses

	Lesion	Non- Stenotic Segment	р	Therefore, $72 \pm 12\%$ of the plaque volume
EEM vol (mm ³)	119 ±111	459 ±283	<0.0001	(range 46-86%) was in nonstenotic
Lumen vol (mm ³)	29 ±30	228 ±156	<0.0001	segments.
P&M vol (mm ³)	90 ±86	231 ±140	<0.0001	
Length (mm)	9.4 ±7.6	33.4 ±13.5	<0.0001	
				Tinana et al. Am J Cardiol 2002:89:7

Calcium is an indirect measure of plaque



Mintz et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;29:268-74

Volumetric index of total calcium



Tinana et al. Am J Cardiol 2002;89:757-60

Multiple studies have shown that EBCT calcium score predicts acute coronary events at 1 year follow-up



MACE (n=1172)



0 Square Root of Calcium Score 60

Arad et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000:36:1253-60

Calcium Does Not Increase the Biomechanical Instability of Atherosclerotic Plaques

	Stable (n=10)	Ruptured (n=10)	р
Ca ⁺⁺ CSA (%)	4.1	5.4	0.4
Lipid CSA (%)	14.1	2.8	0.3
Maximal stress (kPa)	286	458	0.038



kPa **k**Pa 1600 1600 X X p=NS p=0.024 x=stable X X x=ruptured 60 0 30 0 % area of calcium % area of lipid

When fibrous plaque was replaced with calcium, stress changed insignificantly (p=0.85). In contrast, stress decreased by 26% (p=0.02) when lipid was replaced with fibrous plaque.

Huang. Circulation 2001;103:1051-6

Relationship between left main plaque progression and cardiac events



What separates lesions with asymptomatic plaque rupture from plaque ruptures that cause acute symptoms?

Risk of MI

- 42 Consecutive Pts with Angiography Both Before and After MI
- 29 patients had a newly occluded artery
 - In 19 pts, the artery previously had a <50% DS
 - In only 10 pts the occlusion was at the site of the most severe stenosis

Little et al. Circulation 1988;78:1157-66



Ellis et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 1988;11:908-16

"Because the aggregate risk of rupture associated with many non-significant lesions (each with an admitedly lower individual risk potential) exceeds that of the fewer significant lesions, an MI will more likely originate from a nonsignificant lesion."

Kern and Meier Circulation 2001.103.3142_0

Comparison of Culprit and Non-Culprit Rupture Sites in ACS Patients with Rupture Sites in Non-ACS Patients

ACS Culprit Plaque Ruptures (N=35) ACS Non-Culprit Plaque Ruptures (N=20) Non-ACS Plaque Ruptures (N=27)



Independent predictors of ACS were MLA and thrombus (both p=0.01)

Fuil et al Circulation 2003.108.2473-8

Conclusions

•We do not have a technique to detect vulnerable plaques in vivo; we can only detect ruptured (complicated) plaques±thrombus formation. Histology shows an average of three unruptured thin-capped atheromas in men dying from acute myocardial infarction.

•Multiple plaque ruptures are common in ACS patients, but the exact frequency is still debatable

More common with IVUS than angiography (specific, but not sensitive)

Multiple complex angiographic plaques and positive IVUS culprit lesion remodeling are associated with worse outcomes

•Most atherosclerosis (approximately 75%) is in non-stenotic segments where vulnerable plaques appear to arise.

Calcium is a marker for total plaque burden from which a vulnerable plaque arises

•Some plaque ruptures lead to acute coronary syndromes, but others are asymptomatic. Determinants include

Pre-event lumen dimensions

>Whether or not rupture leads to thrombus formation and lumen compromise