Is it possible to detect VP with 64/256 slice CT?

S. Achenbach

Modern CT Systems:

<420ms Rotation => Temporal resolution
<0.6mm Collimation => Spatial resolution
64 Slices or More => Rapid coverage

"Coronary Angiography"





RULE OUT STENOSES

AHA Scientific Statement, 2006 ACCF/ACR/SCCT/SCMR/ASNC/NASCI/SCAI/ SIR. Appropriateness Criteria, 2006:

Chest pain of intermediate likelihood, ECG/stress test impossible or unclear















Plaque Detection: MDCT vs. IVUS

46 segments in 14 patients 16-slice CT <i>Schoenhagen et al, Coron Arter Dis 20</i>	Accuracy plaque per segment:	87%-9	90%
83 segments in 22 patients 16-slice CT <i>Achenbach et al, Circulation 2003</i>	Sensitivity plaque per segment:	94% 53%	- (all) (non-calcified)
58 vessels in 37 patients 16-slice CT <i>Leber et al, JACC 2004</i>	Sensitivity plaque detection: per 3 mm segment	85% 82%	(all) (non-calcified)
36 vessels in 19 patients 64-slice CT <i>Leber et al. JACC 2006</i>	Sensitivity plaque detection: per 3 mm segment	83% 95%	(all) (calcified)



Imaging coronary plaque is difficult!



CT Spatial Resolution: 0.4 mm







Plaque Quantification: MDCT vs. IVUS





Plaque volume, r = 0.83 Leber et al, JACC 2006 Assessment of non-stenotic coronary artery plaque is possible, but it stretches the resolution of MDCT to its very limits Assessment of non-stenotic coronary artery plaque is possible, but it stretches the resolution of MDCT to its very limits

Histology markers of plaque vulnerability:

Thin fibrous cap (< 65 µm)

Necrotic core

Macrophage infiltration

Assessment of non-stenotic coronary artery plaque is possible, but it stretches the resolution of MDCT to its very limits

Histology markers of plaque vulnerability:

Thin fibrous cap (< 65 µm

Necrotic core

Macrophage infiltration

IMPOSSIBLE





Remodeling Index: 1.5



Remodeling Index: 1.5

Achenbach et al, JACC 2006



Remodeling Index: 1.5

Hoffmann et al, JACC 2006



Mean density: 46 HU





Pohle et al, Atherosclerosis 2006



J Am Coll Cardiol 2006

Possible Factors Associated with Plaque "Vulnerability" in CT:

- Large plaque volume
- Positive remodeling
- Low CT attenuation
- Necrotic core??





6/2006

6/2006





2/2007

6/2006





Less overall plaque, but more non-calcified plaque in patients after acute MI

21 Patients with AMI

19 patients with stable AP

Leber et al, AJC 2003



100 patients, 16 months Pundzuite et al, JACC 2007



Where do we draw a line?



Where do we draw a line?



Where do we draw a line?



The ability of CT to often "see" noncalcified coronary atherosclerotic plaque is promising.



The ability of CT to often "see" noncalcified coronary atherosclerotic plaque is promising.



Lack of clinical data to justify CT angiography specifically for risk stratification or to define plaque "vulnerability"

... I would admit:



Increased risk can be assumed

CT allows detection of calcified and noncalcified coronary plaque

Potential markers of vulnerability:

- Plaque volumeRemodeling
- CT density

Lack of clinical data



