

# Identification of Vulnerable Plaque by IVUS

Junbo Ge, MD, FACC, FESC, FSCAI Professor of Medicine/Cardiology Shanghai Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, China

Shanghai Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases

# Case Report



82 yr old female
Risk factors: Type 2 DM, Hyperglycemia
LAD PCI because of NSTEMI
Standard medical treatment
Unstable Angina 3 months after LAD PCI
ECG indicates inferior ischaemia

Shanghai Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases



Number of thin-cap fibroatheromas in patients dying with MI, sudden death, or noncardiac causes and studied at necropsy using *cross-sectional analysis* 

(Burke et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:1874-86-)

# Vulnerable Plaque

#### **Vulnerable Plaque**

- •Large necrotic lipid core
- Thin fibrous cap
- Dense Macrophage infiltration (metalloproteinases)
- Progressive matrix degeneration
- Paucity of SMCs
- Angiographically non-significant
- Positive remodelling
- Inflammation







Falk E, et al. *Circulation.* 1995;92:657-671.









• Large eccentric plaque containing an *echolucent area* on grayscale IVUS can be at increased risk for future acute event *Yamagishi M, et al. J Am Coll Cadiol 2000* 

• The test of concordance for echolucent area visualization by 2 independent observers was 0.68 *Prati F, et al. Circulation 2003* 

#### Correlation between high frequency intravascular ultrasound and histomorphology in human coronary arteries

F Prati, E Arbustini, A Labellarte, B Dal Bello, L Sommariva, M T Mallus, A Pagano, A Boccanelli



#### Heart 2001;85:567-



In 122 cross-sections (12 arteries), lipid pools observed by histology in 30, revealed by IVUS in 19 (sensitivity 65% and specificity 95%)



Schoenhagen et al. Circulation 2000;101:598-603







Identificaton of Vulnerable	e Plaques	$\sim$
	Group A	Group B
Pts (n)	31	108
Sex (male)	87%	85%
Age (yrs)	55	58
Hypertension	61%	45%
Diabetes	23%	23%
Smoking	68%	57%
Hyperlipidemia	74%	41%*
Family history	35%	41%
Obesity	32%	35%
Symptoms (unstable)	74%	18%*
* p<0.01	Ge et al,	Heart 1999



Thickness of the cap Tear size Eccentric Plaque size Lipid core size Lipid/plaque ratio Percent stenosis Superfacial calcium Deep calcium

**Group A**  $0.47 \pm 0.20$ **0.83** ±0.29 94% **11.7** ± **7.0** 4.1 ±3.2 38.5 ±17.1% 56.2 ±16.5% 52% 17%

Group B 0.96 ±0.94\* -

 $64\%^*$ 13.4 ± 6.3 1.3 ± 0.8\* 11.2 ± 8.9%\* 67.9 ± 13.4%\* 51% 43%\*



Ge et al, Heart, 1999



- IVUS is able to identify vulnerable plaques.
  The characteristics of vulnerable plaques include
  - Echolucent area>1mm<sup>2</sup>;
  - Echolucent area/plaque ratio >20% ;
  - Thickness of fibrous cap<0.7mm.

## Vulneralbe plaque: soft and eccentric





PITAL

Wu HY, Chinese J Cardiol 2005; 33:894-898

## Vulneralbe plaque: rupture and thrombogenesis



Wu HY, Chinese J Cardiol 2005; 33:894-898

PITO

## Identificaton of Vulnerable Plaques (Summary)







•Ge J, et al. <u>Herz 1999;24:32</u> •Ge J, et al. <u>Heart 1999;81:621</u> •Wu H, Ge J. <u>Chin J Cardiol2005;33:894</u>



Will this morphologic characteric plaque rupture?
When will it rupture?
What is the cnsequence after rupture?







\$Silent healing of
spontaneous rupture, an
IVUS follow-up

---Ge J, et al. Eur Heart J 1994







# ARDIOMETRICS 1851 18:09:15

# After stenting CFVR=3.0

Pre-intervention CFVR=1.7

55 yr old male SAP



ARDIOMETRICS	89 1	Minim	fint	inti	intin	
1080 J	143	LAD	m	~~~~	~~~	120
16:58:40 29 APR 97 TF	81	m	rom	~~	n.	40
DSVR:1.5	1 . <u>11 . 1</u> .		<b>1</b>	1 *****		· · · e
BASELINE	1 11		11.1	N		-
APV:12 DSVR:1.7	~ <sup>89</sup> 0 143 ~84_~	L	120	.88 / ~ 141 -84		
РЕАК 80 APV:24	s o s	o s	80	s	) s	
DSVR:1.2	-0-	m	40	~h		~
CFR:2.0					0	0>
HR:39 P#:10	BASE	16:58:0	6 –	PEAK 1	6:58:29	9

CARDIOMETRICS	62			4~	4	7	<u>-</u> - <u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u></u>
5080	=120		10	H		-	
17:42:13 29 APR 97 <b>1</b> F	A.65	m	rm		m		
APV:25 DSVR:1.8		in th	$\sim$		<del>•</del> ••		
BASELINE							
APV:14 DSVR:1.8	120 121 74		~~~~		i3 19 '1		l
PEAK	800 S	D S		0 S	D	s o	
APV:34 DSVR:1.4	40			io	5	0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
CFR:2.5	9 T. 1911	1	Lotal alle	1.1	1 1 1		00>
THR:39 P#:21	BASE	17:41	:32	_	PEAK :	17:42	:01



#### 48 yr old male UAP

#### Preintervention CFVR=2.0



#### After stenting CFVR=2.5





58 yr old female, UAP

ARDIOMETRICS			Luin La
029			180
N. C.	— 161	RCA	120
16:51:52	88		
27 OCT 97 1F			60
APV:23			
DSVR:1.1			F
BASELINE			
APV:14		4	.76 - A
DSVR:1.1	173		90
PEAK	1200 SD	S 1205	
APV:25			ĪĪĪ
DSVB:1.0	60	60	
		a ser linea linea	
CFR:1.7		10.1	0.0 ×
HR:27 P#:10	BOSE 16	51.27	PEOK 1C-ET-AE

**Pre-intervention**, **CFVR=1.7** 



ARDIOMETRICS	4-171-J	
029		180
	— 124 RCA	100
17:27:02	79	120
27 OCT 97 🕇 F	a has the the	du M. M. 50
APV:31	An Allan Allan	
DSVR:1.6	ولمنا يرجدا برمين والع	<u> </u>
BASELINE		
APV:21	<u></u>	l/85 'l
DSVR:2.2	180 122	180 124
PEAK	120 S D S	120 SD SD S
APV:33		
DSVR:1.7		60
CFR:1.6	8   1	
HR:27 P#:18	- POSE 17.20.00	

After stenting, CFVR=1.6







#### IVUS: Dia. stenosis: 46% Area stenosis: 74%

"Plaque at risk" to rupture

### Virtual Histology (VH)-Thin Cap Fibroatheroma



"Thin Cap Fibro-Atheroma (TCFA)" or "Vulnerable Plaque" -- Confluent Necrotic Core >10% of total plaque, >33% of lesion circumference at the lumen surface, and present in 3 consecutive frames. Based on the presence or absence of Ca, the length of the NC, or signs of previous ruptures, TCFA can be further sub-classified







>10% calcium



Still further sub-classification can be based on presence of luminal narrowing.

"TCFA without significant narrowing" - plaque burden <50% on IVUS and/or less than 25% narrowing on angiogram. (Pathologic data suggests that TCFA without significant plaque burden are less "vulnerable")



"Highest Risk TCFA"

- a. Confluent NC>20%
- b. No evidence of fibrotic cap
- c. Calcium >5%
- d. Remodeling index >1.05
- e. >50% plaque burden by IVUS

(Pathologic data suggests that TCFA with significant plaque burden are the most vulnerable)