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## Case \#1

- 78/F
- CC: effort angina x 1 month (1 yr ago)
- Risk factors: old age
- Treadmill test
- not performed for s/p THR (Lt hip)


## Coronary angiogram 11 Nov, 2009




## PCI <br> 11 Nov, 2009

Pre-dilatation


Sapphire $3.0 \times 15$ mm (6 atm)

Stenting


PICO-Elite $3.0 \times 18$ mm (12 atm)

## Final CAG Successful result



## 8 months later...

- She complained recurred chest pain, but the pain character was atypical.
We performed follow up CAG.


## FIU Coronary angiogram 27 Aug, 2010



## We measured FFR



Then, deferred PCI...


## Endoscopy

## Erosive gastritis \& duodenal ulcers



## Gl medication started

- Then the 'chest pain' had been improved.


## Case \#2

- 58/F

CC: effort angina x 3 weeks
${ }^{\circ}$ Risk factors: Hypertension, T2DM

- Treadmill test
- Positive at stage 3


## Treadmill test



Baseline


Angina at stage 3

## Coronary angiogram RCA




## Coronary angiogram

## Left coronary system




## IVUS \& FFR for RCA lesion



## IVUS \& FFR for LAD lesion



## PCI for LAD Lesion

## Pre-dilatation



Sapphire $3.0 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ (6 atm)

Stenting


PICO-Elite $3.0 \times 18 \mathrm{~mm}$ (12 atm)

## Final CAG Successful result
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## Treadmill test follow up



## If I Did "Unnecessary PCl"......

- Might experienced PCI related complications
- Restenosis
- Stent thrombosis
- No-reflow
- Coronary perforation
- Access site complication

Anti-platelet therapy must be reinforced
The patient must paid more money...

## Summary \& Conclusion

- FFR can be useful as an alternative to stress test in the cath lab to have decision makingtreat or not treat.
- "Start the procedure with FFR, finish the procedure with IVUS" rule seems quite feasible.
- FFR is a useful tool to avoid "unnecessary PCl".
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## 4 MM $^{2}$ TOO SMALL?



FFR $=0.60$

## 4 MM $^{2}$ SUFFICIENT?


$10 \%$ stenosis
FFR $=0.90$

## IVUS cutoff Value Published Data Review

|  | Nishioka T, JACC 1999 | Briguori et al AJC 2001 | Takaki et al Cir. 1999 | Abizaid et al AJC 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 70 lesions | 53 lesions | 42 pts | 86 pts |
| Cut-off of MLA ( $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) | $<4.0$ <br> (Thallium +) | $\begin{aligned} & <4.0 \\ & (F F R<0.75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <3.0 \\ & (F F R<0.75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >4.0 \\ & (C F R>2.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Sensitivity Specificity | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 92 \% \\ & 54 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 92.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | Accuracy 92\% |
| QCA VD (mm) DS (\%) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.08 \pm 0.3 \\ & 52 \pm 11 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| MLA (mm²) | $3.3 \pm 2.3$ | $3.9 \pm 2.5$ | $3.9 \pm 2.0$ | 4.4土2.0 |
| MVA (mm²) |  | $12.0 \pm 4.6$ |  | $13.2 \pm 4.4$ |
| Area stenosis\% |  | $65 \pm 18$ | $55 \pm 24$ | $43 \pm 24$ |

## New Cut-off Value of IVUS MLA (mm2)

## according to different Vessel Diameter

|  | FFR $<0.8 />0.8$ | Cut-off | sensitivity | specificity | PPV | NPV | Accuracy | AUC | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vessel diameter at the MLA site $<3.0 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=38)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MLA | $7 / 31$ | 1.45 | 71 | 77.4 | 42 | 92 | 76 | 0.730 | $0.562-0.861$ |
| Length | $7 / 31$ | 12.0 | 57 | 83 | 44 | 90 | 78 | 0.682 | $0.511-0.823$ |
| PB | $7 / 31$ | 75.4 | 43 | 94 | 60 | 88 | 85 | 0.654 | $0.483-0.801$ |
| Area stenosis | $7 / 31$ | 66.8 | 86 | 52 | 28 | 94 | 56 | 0.696 | $0.526-0.834$ |

Vessel diameter at the MLA site $\mathbf{3 . 0 - 3 . 5 m m}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=53$ )

| MLA | 13/40 | 1.8 | 61.5 | 87.5 | 61 | 88 | 81 | 0.769 | 0.633-0.874 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length | 13/40 | 4.9 | 72.5 | 84 | 94 | 50 | 80 | 0.772 | 0.636-0.876 |
| PB | 13/40 | 74.5 | 84.6 | C7 5 | Ac | 02 | 71 |  | 0.629-0.871 |
| Area stenosis | 13/40 | 75.8 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.528-0.794 |
| Vessel diameter at the MLA site 3.5-4.0mm ( $\mathrm{n}=72$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MLA | 18/54 | 2.15 | 83 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.736-0.917 |
| Length | 18/54 | 3.57 | 83 | 75 | 54 | 93 | 77 | 0.813 | 0.704-0.895 |
| PB | 18/54 | 80.2 | 83 | 75 | 54 | 93 | 77 | 0.850 | 0.746-0.923 |
| Area stenosis | 18/54 | 70.0 | 89 | 72 | 52 | 95 | 76 | 0.824 | 0.716-0.904 |

Vessel diameter at the MLA site $\mathbf{> 4 . 0 \mathrm { mm } ( \mathrm { n } = 7 3 )}$

| MLA | $11 / 62$ | 2.41 | 91 | 83 | 50 | 98 | 84 | 0.874 | $0.775-0.940$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length | $11 / 62$ | 0.83 | 91 | 72.6 | 37 | 98 | 75 | 0.792 | $0.682-0.879$ |
| PB | $11 / 62$ | 80.7 | 100 | 61 | 31 | 100 | 67 | 0.855 | $0.753-0.926$ |
| Area stenosis | $11 / 62$ | 79.3 | 55 | 95 | 67 | 92 | 89 | 0.770 | $0.656-0.860$ |

