APRIL 28-MAY 1, 2015 COEX, SEOUL, KOREA

2015 TCTAP, Imaging & Physiology

# Prognostic Value of Cardiac MDCT

Dong Hyun Yang, MD

Department of Radiology, Research Institute of Radiology, Cardiac Imaging Center, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea





## **Prognostic Value of Cardiac CT**

Anatomic assessment of CAD
 Functional assessment of CAD
 Plaque type and progress

# Prognosis





 Anatomic Assessment of CAD by CT
 Prognostic value





### CAD Assessed by CCTA: Early Results



- 1127 symptomatic patients
- 15.3 months F/U, All-cause death
- CT predictors of death
  - Proximal LAD stenosis, Number of diseased vessels

Min et al. JACC 2007:1161 - 70





### Anatomic Assessment of CAD: CONFIRM Registry



- 23,775 multicenter international cohort, 2.3 years f/u
- Both non-obstructive and obstructive CAD  $\rightarrow$  higher rates of mortality
- Absence of CAD was associated with a very favorable prognosis
  - Death rate 0.28% / year

Min et al. JACC 2011:849 - 60





### Prognostic Value of CCTA: Plaque Extent



• 3432 patients

Extensive non-obstructive disease experienced the greater risk compared to those with non-extensive obstructive CAD.

Bittencourt et al. Circulation CV img 2014: 282-291



### Prognostic Value of CCTA: Meta-Analysis, 2011



 18 studies, 9592 patients
 Incrementally increasing future MACE with increased CAD by CT

Hulten et al. JACC 2011:1237 - 1247





### Prognostic Value of CCTA: Incremental Value over SPECT

Log Rank P-value < 0.005



- 541 patients
- End-points: all-death, MI, unstable angina requiring revascularization
- CT was an independent predictor of events and provides incremental prognostic value to SPECT.

Combined anatomical and functional assessment may allow improved risk stratification

Werkhoven et al. JACC 2009:623 – 32





### Prognostic Value of CCTA: Incremental Value of LVEF



- 5330 patients without known CAD
- CT + CT driven LVEF

The addition of LVEF by CT enhanced risk stratification for death

Min et al. Eur Heart J 2010:1212-1219





### Prognostic Value of CCTA: Incremental Value over Calcium Score



• 432 pts suspected coronary artery disease

CCTA provides additional information to CAC regarding stenosis severity and plaque composition

Van Werkhoven et al. Eur Heart J 2009:2622 - 2629



### Incremental Prognostic Value of CT: Dependence upon CAC Severity



• 3217 asymptomatic pts

Incremental prognostic utility for prediction of death and MI with moderately high CACs, but not for lower or higher CACs

Cho I et al. Eur Heart J 2015: 501 - 508





### Prognostic Value of CCTA: Clinical risk predictors



Prognostic score based on CONFIRM registry (CONFIRM score) can improve risk prediction beyond clinical risk scores.

Hadamitzky et al. JACC 2013: 468-76





# 1. Anatomic Assessment of CAD by CT - Prognostic value

- CAD extent and severity by CT have prognostic implication.
- The prognostic value of CT is incremental to traditional measures of CAD risk assessment including clinical parameters, SPECT, LVEF, and CAC.
- Absence of CAD in CT is associated with a very favorable prognosis.





2. Functional Assessment of CAD by CT
- Prognostic value





# **CTA for Prediction of FFR**

FFR < 0.80 (n=31)	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
CTA, Visual score	94	48	64
CTA, Quantitative measurement	45	79	67
CAG, Visual score	55	62	60
CAG, Quantitative measurement	57	69	65

 The anatomical assessment of the hemodynamic significance of coronary stenosis determined by CT and CAG does not correlate well with the functional assessment of FFR

Meijboom W.B. JACC 2008;52:636-43





## **Functional Assessment of CAD by CT**



Koo HJ, Yang DH et al. In submission





### Prognostic Value of SPECT: Treatment Decision



• 10627 pts, No prior MI/revascularization

Hachamovitch et al. Circulation 2003: 2900 - 7



# **On going CTP-guided Tx. Trial**



NCT02208388, Clinicaltrials.gov





3. Plaque type and progression assessed by CT
- Prognostic value



## **Plaque Type by CCTA: Clinical parameters**



2653 asymptomatic subjects

Yang DH et al. Radiology 2014 665-673



calcified

Plaque type



lesion

### Plaque Type by CCTA: Prognostic Value



- Mixed / Noncalcified plaque provide incremental value in predicting allcause mortality in symptomatic subjects with nonobstructive CAD
- 1102 symptomatic subjects

Ahmadi N et al. Am J Cardiol 2011:10 - 16





### High-Risk Plaque by CCTA: Acute Coronary Syndrome (ROMICAT-II Trial)



- 472 pts underwent CCTA at ED37 ACS
- High-risk plaques were more frequent in patients with ACS after adjustment for significant stenosis.
- (RR 8.2 ~ RR 37.2)

Puchner SB et al. JACC 2014:684-92





### **Nonobstructive CAD by CCTA: Prognostic value after Acute Coronary Event**



- 312 pts with NSTEMI
- 23 cardiac events during 16 months f/u
- Total amount of noncalcified plaque (HR 1.18/100mm<sup>3</sup>)

Kristensen et al. JACC 2011: 502-9





### Plaque Progression by Calcium Score Prognostic value after Acute Coronary Event

### \* Any Change of Calcium Score



- 6778 MESA population
- The Average annual change
  - 24.9 Agatston units
- Progression of ≥ 300 / year,
  HR 6.3 for hard CHD

Budoff M et al. 2013:1231-9



### Plaque Progression by CCTA 2-year F/U in symptomatic patients

#### **Progression of coronary plaque**



- 69 patients with chest pain
- Initially, no ACS
  - 2-year follow-up CCTA
- Coronary plaque burden with acute chest pain significantly increased during 2 years

 Prognostic value / Effect of medical treatment on progression ?? - Unknown

Lehaman et al. JACC img 2009:1262-70





## 3. Plaque type and Progression by CT - Prognostic value

- Plaque type, high-risk morphology of plaque, noncalcified plaque burden assessed by CT provide prognostic implication.
- Plaque progression assessed by calcium score is helpful to stratify patient risk.
  - Prognostic implication of plaque progression by serial CCTA need to be evaluated.









### **Plaque Imaging**

#### Anatomic assessment



### **Myocardial perfusion**



Stenosis



y = -4.24 x + 486.6TAG: - 42.4 HU/10 mm

### Functional Image (CT-FFR, TAG)