

zapus



#### **Bioresorbable Vascular Scaffolds** Insights from Serial Intravascular Imaging Studies





#### BVS evolved from an experimental therapy into a commercially available product in many parts of the world with a considerable number of real-world registries ongoing

### BVS -Insights from intravascular imaging Imaging studies of BVS:

Trial name	Device	Study	Study	Follow-up	Imaging modalities
	studied	device:	device:	interval	
		eluted drug	backbone		
ABSORB A	ABSORB	Everolimus	PLLA,	5 years	QCA, vasomotion, IVUS,
	BVS 1.0		PDLLA		IVUS-VH, palpography,
			coating		echogenicity, OCT
ABSORB B	ABSORB	Everolimus	PLLA,	3 years	QCA, vasomotion, IVUS, OCT
	BVS 1.1		PDLLA		
			coating		

#### **BVS** perform well in simple lesions: COHORT A

Hierarchical	6 Months 30 Patients	12 Months 29 Patients**	18 Months 29 Patients**	2 Years 29 Patients**	3 Years 29 Patients**	5 Years 29 Patients**
Ischemia Driven MACE (%)	3.3% (1)*	3.4% (1)*	3.4% (1)*	3.4% (1)*	3.4% (1)*	3.4% (1)*
Cardiac Death (%)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)
MI (%)						
Q-Wave MI	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)
Non Q-Wave MI	3.3% (1)*	3.4% (1)*	3.4% (1)*	3.4% (1)*	3.4% (1)*	3.4% (1)*
Ischemia Driven TLR (%)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)
by PCI	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)
by CABG	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)

No scaffold thrombosis up to 5 years

ABSORB COHORT A: 5 Year Clinical Results Onuma Y, JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2013

**BVS** perform well in more complex lesions: EXTEND

#### Lessons learned from acute and late scaffold failures in the ABSORB EXTEND trial

Yuki Ishibashi<sup>1</sup>, MD, PhD; Yoshinobu Onuma<sup>1</sup>, MD; Takashi Muramatsu<sup>1</sup>, MD, PhD; Shimpei Nakatani<sup>1</sup>, MD; Javaid Iqbal<sup>1</sup>, MRCP, PhD; Hector M. Garcia-Garcia<sup>1</sup>, MD, PhD; Antonio I. Bartorelli<sup>2</sup>, MD; Robert Whitbourn<sup>2</sup>, MD; Alexander Abizaid<sup>4</sup>, MD, PhD; Patrick W. Serruys<sup>1</sup>, MD, PhD; on behalf of the ABSORB EXTEND Investigators

# FUP12 monthsN450 PtsMACE4.2%TVF4.7% $\longrightarrow$ Scaffold<br/>Thrombosis0.9 %

Ishibashi Y, EuroInterv J, 2014

#### **BVS** show moderate acute recoil



For BVS Gen 1.1. see Gomez-Lara et al. 2010 Kara

Karanasos A et al, presented at ACC 2014

**BVS** show late lumen enlargement



Karanasos A et al, presented at ACC 2014

#### **BVS** show good healing & apposition



Serruys et al, Lancet 2010 Simsek C et al, Eurointervention in press

#### **BVS** show good healing & apposition



- At 3 year FUP: strut coverage 98% ISA in 6% scaffolds
  - Amount of coverage directly related to shear stress

#### BVS Gen 1.1

Serruys PW et al, Eurointervention 2014 Bourantas CV et al, JACC Intv 2014

#### **BVS** show complete bioresorption over time



#### • OCT: Struts no longer discorpible

Struts no longer discernible



Simsek C et al, Eurointervention in press

#### **BVS** show complete bioresorption over time



Simsek C et al, Eurointervention in press

#### **BVS** show complete bioresorption over time



### • VH-IVUS:

Reduction of dense calcium (indirect sign of bioresorption)

Effect more pronounced for Gen 1.0 than for Gen 1.1 ?

Serruys PW et al, Eurointervention 2014

#### What did we learn?

### **BVS** allow for reduction of plaque burden



IVUS: Lumen area tends to increase, while the vessel area remains stable due to significant decrease in plaque area

Simsek C et al, Eurointervention in press

#### **BVS** allow for reduction of plaque burden

	After procedure	6 months	2 years	5 years	P-value after procedure vs. 5 years	P-value 6 months vs. 5 years	P-value 2 years vs. 5 years
Ν	8	8	7	7			
Grey-scale IVUS							
Vessel area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	15.72 (±3.00)	15.34 (±2.00)	14.09 (±1.66)	14.52 (±1.81)	0.60	0.40	0.75
Average lumen area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	6.95 (±0.63)	6.17 (±0.74)	6.56 (±1.16)	6.96 (±1.13)	0.75	0.06	0.12
Plaque area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	8.78 (±2.83)	9.17 (±1.86)	7.54 (±1.24)	7.57 (±1.63)	0.60	0.03	0.92
Minimum Iumen area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	5.81 (±0.62)	4.67 (±0.77)	4.96 (±1.08)	4.81 (±2.04)	0.60	0.74	0.75

**IVUS:** 

Lumen area tends to increase, while the vessel area remains stable due to significant decrease in plaque area

#### **BVS** can restore vasomotion



#### **BVS** can restore vasomotion



#### **Reaction to nitrates**

Vasomotive properties are preserved at the segment of the scaffold

Serruys PW et al, Eurointervention 2013

### BVS might promote favourable plaque modification



Improvement of the vasomotor response over time
Correlation with reduction of hyper-echogenicity by IVUS

#### Brugaletta S et al, Eur Heart J 2012

#### Erasmus MC **BVS** -Insights from intravascular imaging What did we learn?

### **BVS** might promote favourable plaque modification

5 years



- Late lumen enlargement •
- **Development of signal-rich** • layer
- Separation of thrombogenic • plaque and lumen

**Sealing layer?** 

### **BVS** might promote favourable plaque modification



- Late lumen enlargement
- Development of signal-rich layer
- Separation of thrombogenic plaque and lumen

**Sealing layer?** 

**Karanasos A et al, Circulation 2012** 



Adequate BVS sizing is crucial

Key issue with the ABSORB scaffold Limited range of expansion 2.5 mm scaffold → up to 3.0mm 3.0 mm scaffold → up to 3.5mm 3.5 mm scaffold → up to 4.0mm

Beyond that range, struts can break.

Therefore sizing pre-implantation is of paramount importance.

### Adequate BVS sizing is crucial

#### **Small malapposition**

- Correctable by post dilatation
- Resolve at FUP

#### Large malapposition

- Uncorrectable (Persistent at FUP)
- Overexpansion by a large balloon
  - → Acute disruption



**Courtesy of Onuma Y** 

### Adequate BVS sizing is crucial

#### **Small malapposition**

- Correctable by post dilatation
- Resolve at FUP

#### Large malapposition

- Uncorrectable (Persistent at FUP)
- Overexpansion by a large balloon
  - → Acute disruption



**Courtesy of Onuma Y** 

Adequate BVS sizing is crucial

#### **Small malapposition**

- Correctable by post dilatation
- Resolve at FUP

#### Large malapposition

- Uncorrectable (Persistent at FUP)
- Overexpansion by a large balloon
  - → Acute disruption



**Courtesy of Onuma Y** 

Adequate BVS sizing is crucial

OCT non-optimal deployment end points							
n=52	DMAX < 2.5 mm (n=13) Small vessel	DMAX 2.5 to 3.3 mm (n=30)	DMAX > 3.3 mm (n=9) Large vessel	р			
minSA < 5 mm <sup>2</sup>	31%	10%	0	0.08			
<b>RAS &gt; 20%</b>	46%	53%	78%	0.31			
Edge dissections*	62%	33%	11%	0.05			
ISA struts > 5%	8%	37%	67%	0.02			
Acute disruption	0%	7%	11%	0.52			

#### How to Size Adequately?

In vivo validation of a novel three-dimensional quantitative coronary angiography system (CardiOp-B<sup>TM</sup>): comparison with a conventional two-dimensional system (CAAS II<sup>TM</sup>) and with special reference to optical coherence tomography

Keiichi Tsuchida, MD, PhD; Willem J. van der Giessen, MD, PhD; Mark Patterson, MRCP; Shuzou Tanimoto, MD; Héctor M. García-García, MD, MSc; Evelyn Regar, MD, PhD; Jurgen M. R. Ligthart, BSc; Anne-Marie Maugenest; Gio Maatrijk; Jolanda J. Wentzel, PhD; Patrick W. Serruys\*, MD, PhD, FACC, FESC

Thoraxcenter, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands



EuroIntervention

Clinical research

#### **Erasmus MC BVS** -Insights from intravascular imaging

#### What did we learn?

zafing

#### How to Size Adequately? ullet

	QCA	IVUS	ОСТ
<b>Dimension assessment</b>	underestimation	overestimation	correct
Detection of malapposition	none	poor	optimal
Detection of fracture	none	none/poor	optimal
Need for coregistration	none	yes	yes
Cost/ procedure time	no	additional	additional
<b>Regulatory labelling</b>	no	yes	yes

### Erasmus MC **BVS** -Insights from intravascular imaging Some words of caution!

**Complex lesions?** ightarrow

- **Different healing process** • after BVS implantation in pts with acute MI?
- At 6 months FUP: • Patterns of resolved, persistent, and also late ISA

### **Baseline** C 1 G 6m FUP

Diletti R et al, Eur Heart J 2014 Karanasos et al, Int J Cardiol 2013

#### Erasmus MC **BVS** -Insights from intravascular imaging Some words of caution!



**Complex lesions?**  $\bullet$ 

- **Different healing process** • after BVS implantation in pts with acute MI?
- At 6 months FUP: • Patterns of resolved, persistent, and also late ISA
- More comprehensive ISA • score needed?



ISA score 0: Completely apposed scaffold

ISA score 1: Presence of evaginations >0.10mm2



ISA score 3: Malapposition with partial bridge formation



ISA score 4: Isolated malapposed

#### Karanasos et al, submitted

#### Some words of caution!



(Very late) Scaffold thrombosis has been reported

CARDIOVASCULAR FLASHLIGHT

doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehu031

Very late bioresorbable scaffold thrombosis after discontinuation of dual antiplatelet therapy

Antonios Karanasos, Robert-Jan van Geuns, Felix Zijlstra, and Evelyn Regar\*

Department of Interventional Cardiology, Thoraxcentre, BA-585, Erasmus University Medical Centre, 's Gravendijkwal 230, 3015 CE, Rotterdam, the Netherlands \* Corresponding

A 57-year-ok stable angina days after d therapy (DA had undergo intervention v (BVS; ABSOR CA, USA) imp artery (LCx) by everolimu: the distal left cending arter ostium. Fracti intervention y At presenta onary thromb stent implanta To our kno alternative to being comple have occurred together with during left mai after DAT dis

Funding: Fur Rotterdam, N

© The Author 20 This is an Open A which permits nor permissions@ou





D

Karanasos A et al, Eur Heart J 2014

#### **BVS**

- promote good vascular healing
- show complete bioresorption over time
- allow for late lumen enlargement
- allow for reduction in plaque burden
- allow for restoration of vasomotion
- might promote favourable plaque modification





#### ABSORB Cohort A – 5 Year FUP



### Erasmus MC 2 afms Internet





### Thank you for your attention!

PhD Students A. Karanasos C. Simsek N. van Ditzhuijsen J. van der Sijde

Interventional Cardiology J. Ligthart K. Witberg R.J. van Geuns P. de Jaegere N. van Mieghem M. Valgimigli R. Diletti F. Zijlstra

Experimental Cardiology H. van Beusekom

Hemodynamics Laboratory J. Wentzel F. Gijsen

Bioengineering G. van Soest A.F.W. van der Steen Imaging Group N. Bruining K. Sihan