

계명대학교 동산의료원 Keimyung University Dongsan Medical Center

Clinical Application of Functional SYNTAX Score

NAM, Chang-Wook, MD, PhD

Keimyung University Dongsan medical center, Daegu, Korea



CONTENTS



Why multi-vessel disease?



How to evaluate multi-vessel disease?



How to treat multi-vessel disease?



Why multi-vessel disease?



Extent of CAD for prediction of risk of death

1,127 consecutive pts with CCTA for suspected or known CAD followed for 15 months.



R-A p<0.0001 (adjusting for risk factors, chest pain, + dyspnea), Mild (30%-49%), Mod. (50%-69%), & Severe (≥70%).

Keimyung University, Korea

Min et al. JACC 2007

Outcome and LV ischemia







2 How to evaluate multi-vessel disease?





Comparison of MIBI SPECT & CAG

143 patients with severe 3VD and Tc-SPECT



Patients with MVD (n = 67), vascular territories (n = 201)





Adpated from Dr Koo & Dr De Bruyne Lima RS, et al JACC 2003 N Melikian et al, JACC interv 2010

What we need...







Physiologic view in CAD

~**8**0% mismatch



Pressure wire should be ready in your cath lab!

Keimyung University, Korea

Nam CW, et al. PCR 2012







Summary

Lesion 1 (segment 1): 1x2= Severe Tortuosity Sub total lesion 1

Lesion 2 segment number(s)

Indications to perform CABG or PCI in stable CAD

Clinical conditions	Type of preferred revascularization ^a	
Single-vessel disease, non-proximal LAD, with or without diabetes mellitus.	PCI	
Multi-vessel disease with SYNTAX score <22 and high surgical risk (e.g. EuroSCORE >6).	PCI	
Revascularization in patient with contra-indication to surgery (severely impaired lung function, prior mediastinal irradiation, prior CABG or non-coronary cardiac surgery, bilateral carotid artery stenoses).	PCI	
Elderly patient (>80 years) and co-morbidities or frailty ^b	PCI	
Left main disease with SYNTAX score \geq 33.	CABG	
Multi-vessel disease (with or without diabetes) with LAD involvement and SYNTAX score >22.	CABG	
Recurrent in-stent re-stenosis after DES implantation in proximal-mid LAD.	CABG	
Revascularization in patients with concomitant significant structural heart disease also requiring surgery.	CABG	
Multi-vessel disease or left main disease with SYNTAX score <22 and low surgical risk (e.g. EuroSCORE <6)	CABG or PCI	
Left main disease with SYNTAX score <33.	CABG or PCI	
Impaired LV function.	CABG or PCI	
Renal insufficiency or dialysis.	CABG or PCI	

3 2 5

33



FFR 0.92

1	FFR 0.92			
FR 0.86	Summary Lesion 1 (segment 1): 1x2= Severe Tortuosity Sub total lesion 1 Lesion 2 segment number(s)			2 2 4
Indications to perform CABG or PCI in s	stable CAD			5 1 1 2
Clinical conditions		Type of preferred revascularization ^a	ſ	2
Single-vessel disease, non-proximal LAD, with or without diabetes mellitus.		PCI		12
Multi-vessel disease with SYNTAX score <22 and hig	PCI			
Revascularization in patient with contra-indication to mediastinal irradiation, prior CABG or non-coronary	PCI		5 2 2	
Elderly patient (>80 years) and co-morbidities or frail	PCI		9	
Left main disease with SYNTAX score \geq 33.	CABG			
Multi-vessel disease (with or without diabetes) with LAD involvement and SYNTAX score >22.		CABG		3
Recurrent in-stent re-stenosis after DES implantation	CABG		ک	
Revascularization in patients with concomitant signifi	CABG			
Multi-vessel disease or left main disease with SYNTAX score <22 and low surgical risk (e.g. EuroSCORE <6)		CABG or PCI		3
Left main disease with SYNTAX score <33.	CABG or PCI		5	
Impaired LV function.	CABG or PCI		-	
Renal insufficiency or dialysis.		CABG or PCI		33

SUND LINITE ON

Revisit FAME

Functional SYNTAX Score for Risk Assessment in Multi-vessel Coronary Artery Disease

FAME

FFR-guided <u>"Functional SYNTAX score (FSS)"</u> would predict clinical outcome better than the <u>"classic SYNTAX score (SS)"</u> in patients <u>with</u> <u>multi-vessel coronary artery disease</u> undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention



Revisit FAME *Functional SYNTAX score*





Comparison of Outcomes



JACC 2011;58:1211–8 Interv Cardiol 2011:3:695–704



How to treat multi-vessel disease?

Outcomes After Complete Versus Incomplete Revascularization of Patients With Multivessel Coronary Artery Disease

A Meta-Analysis of 89,883 Patients Enrolled in Randomized Clinical Trials and Observational Studies



Keimyung University, Korea

Garcia S, et al. JACC 2013;62:1421





HOW TO TREAT

AGgiogletehicvsvsInFomplieteal ComRetaRelasization

48YO/& Angina, TMT(+/-)





Angiographic single vessel disease



Functional multi-vessel disease

52YO/& Angina





SYNTAX score

Lesion 1 (segment 6): 3.

(segment 6): 3.5x2= Bifurcation Type: Medina 0,1,0: Length >20 mm Sub total lesion 1

Lesion 2

(segment 7): 2.5x2= Bifurcation Type: Medina 1,0,0: Heavy calcification Sub total lesion 2

Lesion 3

(segment 1): 1x2= Length >20 mm Heavy calcification Sub total lesion 3

TOTAL:

Keimyung University, Korea

7

1

19

5 1

28

Functional SYNTAX score



Π

7

1

1

9

5

1

2

8

212

5



Functional

Lesion 1

(segment 6): 3.5x2= Bifurcation Type: Medina 0,1,0: Length >20 mm Sub total lesion 1

SYNTAX score

Lesion 2

(segment 7): 2.5x2= Bifurcation Type: Medina 1,0,0: Heavy calcification Sub total lesion 2

Lesion 3

(segment 1): 1x2= Length >20 mm Heavy calcification Sub total lesion 3

TOTAL:

Angiographic 3 vessel disease





Symptomatic CAD



Why do we apply Functional SYNTAX score in daily practice?



- Why multi-vessel disease? Not rare multi-vessel disease
- 2 How to evaluate multi-vessel disease? Anatomic evaluation is not enough



How to treat multi-vessel disease? Functional complete revascularization

Functional complete revascularization

- Angiographic multi-vessel coronary artery disease (MVD) is not always functional MVD. FFR can help to reveal the indicated lesion for revascularization.
- Therefore, the selection of target vessels, the method for revascularization, and the determination of prognosis in patients with MVD can be guided by FFR in daily practice.



Clinical Application of Functional SYNTAX Score Focus on Multi-Vessel Disease

Thank You for Your Attention