TCT AP 2015 Seoul, Korea

# Ultimate Comparison Sapien vs Core Valve





#### Eberhard Grube MD, FACC, FSCAI

University Hospital, Dept of Medicine II, Bonn, Germany Stanford University, Palo Alto, California, USA

## Eberhard Grube, MD

Physician Name

Eberhard Grube, MD

<u>Company/Relationship</u>

Medtronic, CoreValve: C, SB, AB, OF Direct Flow: C, SB, AB Mitralign: AB, SB, E Boston Scientific: C, SB, AB Biosensors: E, SB, C, AB Kona: AB, E Abbott Vascular: AB InSeal Medical: AB, E, Valtech: E, SB, Claret: SB Keystone: AB Shockwave: E, AB

## TAVI Has Arrived ... And Has Grown fast with Extensive Global Experience



### **Clinical Evidence**

#### Over 27,000 patients have been treated with CoreValve and SAPIEN / XT in Europe.

	CoreValve	SAPIEN / XT			
CoreValve ADVANCE	1,015	NA			
FRANCE 2 Registry	1,298	2,635			
GARY	3,627	4,814			
UK Registry	1,932	2,051			
Italian Registry	1,334	0			
Belgian Registry	408	473			
Spanish Registry	108	0			
Milan Registry	89	132			
Ibero-American	1,220	0			
Swiss Registry	336	317			
Swedish Registry	311	255			
SOURCE Registry	NA	2,307			
SOURCE XT Registry	NA	2,706			
Total Patients	11,678	15,690			

#### Reported Implants of CE-Mark Approved Valves (OUS)

	Medtronic CoreValve	Edwards Sapien /XT/ S3	Symetis ACURATE TA	JenaValve	Portico	Engager	Direct Flow	Lotus	Edwards Sapien 3
FIM	17		50	12	21	10	31	11	15
CE Pivotal	126	353	40	73	103	125	100	250	150
Medtronic ANZ	487 NA		NA	NA	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Medtronic ADVANCE	1,015	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
FRANCE 2 Registry	1,298	2,635	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GARY	6.026	6.026 8,390		161	0	92	0	0	0
UK Registry	1,932	1,932 2,051		3	35	0	1	0	0
Italian Registry	1,334 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Israel Registry	867 628		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Belgian Registry	408	473	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brazilian Registry	360	360 58		0	0	0	0	0	0
Spanish Registry	108	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Milan Registry	89	132	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ibero-American	1,220	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asia Registry	140	113	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Swiss Registry	336	317	17	23	1	0	0	0	0
Swedish Registry	311	255	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Canadian Registry	0	339	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOURCE Registry	NA	2,307	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
SOURCE XT Registry	NA	2,706	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Other Post-Market Registries	NA	NA	250	180	NA	NA	153	NA	NA
Total Patients	16,074	20,757	594	452	160	227	285	266	165

#### **Improving Clinical Outcomes: Competitive Landscape**

	CoreValve	Sapien XT	Direct Flow	Lotus	Portico	<b>Symetis</b>	Sapien 3	Centera	Evolut R	Valve Med
Survival										TBD
Major Vasc									NR	TBD
PPM Rate										TBD
PVL										TBD
Durability	200M Valve 600M Frame									TBD
Stroke										TBD
Coronary Occlusions					NR	NR		NR		TBD
Annulus Rupture				NR	NR	NR		NR		TBD
МІ								NR	NR	TBD

Near optimal performance Performance acceptable but not optimal yet Performance acceptable but not optimal, competitive disadvantage Performance not acceptable nor technically feasible

For today, I was asked to discuss only CoreValve and Edwards ... and clearly these are Very Different Valves!







### CoreValve and EDW continue as the TAVR Workhorses ... and both have released their next Generation Devices





Edwards Lifesciences Sapien 3 Medtronic CoreValve Evolut R

### Advantages of BE Edwards Sapien

- Short frame design less interference with peri-valve anatomy (conduction system, CAs)
- Precise positioning in the sub-annular zone (but requires RV pacing for deployment)
- Deflectable delivery system to negotiate arch anatomy and vessel tortuosity
- Circular frame/valve deployment in annular zone
- Full thickness bovine pericardium good durability (?)
- Access site versatility (TF, TA, TAo)



### Advantages of SE MDT CoreValve

- More valve sizes to accommodate full range of annular dimensions (esp. large sizes)
- Slow controlled valve deployment without need for rapid RV pacing
- Partial repositioning features during deployment
- Less trauma to annulus and aorta reduced risk of rupture
- Circular frame/valve in supra-annular zone (better for small annulus and small V-in-V)
- Access site versatility (TF, SC, TAo)
- LMA distance from annulus less important



#### How do you decide what device to use?

#### **Physician Preference**

Company Relationship

#### Ease of Use

#### Patient Anatomy

#### *Reduction of Complications*

Overall Clinical Performance

#### ... it is Data and...





SO ... I will not spend time during this presentation on Product Features or Procedural Steps

#### **Caveats to This Presentation**

- Comparing Trials is Difficult ... But I am trying to do it
- Data from the US pivotal trials represent the most robust data sets that we have on CoreValve and Sapien/XT
  - Randomized, Core Lab Adjudicated, % Follow-Up completed, Monitoring w/Regulatory Oversight, Neuro involvement from the start
- Broad utilization of older registry data does not make sense given retrospective nature, lack of consistency in definitions, generally no core labs, does not represent contemporary practice, etc....
- Early results from Evolut R and Sapien3 are just that ... a bit early. But we can look for signals and trends to see if these next generation devices are achieving their design goals.

## 30 Day Mortality – Clinical Trial Results

In rigorously controlled clinical trials, 30-day mortality between the valve types tends to track with the clinical status of the patients at baseline (with O/E ratio <1) rather than the valve type that is being implanted.



<sup>1</sup>Popma, et al., *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; 63: 1972-81; <sup>2</sup>Leon, et. al. presented at ACC 2013; <sup>3</sup>Adams, et al., *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 1790-8; <sup>4</sup>Kodali, et al., presented at ACC 2015; <sup>5</sup>Meredith, et al., presented at ACC 2015

## 30 Day Mortality – Commercial Experience

As we consider commercial experience in the US, we see a relative increase in the 30-day mortality rate with SAPIEN, and the O/E ratio approaches 1.



<sup>1</sup>Popma, et al., *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; 63: 1972-81; <sup>2</sup>Holmes, et al., JAMA 2015; 313: 1019-28; <sup>3</sup>Leon, et. al. presented at ACC 2013; <sup>4</sup>Adams, et al., *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 1790-8; <sup>5</sup>Kodali, et al., presented at ACC 2015; <sup>6</sup>Meredith, et al., presented at ACC 2015

### **Longer-Term Survival**

 Both values show excellent longer term Survival in the High Risk groups (with widening between TAVR and SAVR mortality curves out to 2 years in the Core Value trial).





### Hemodynamics

- As issues with PVL are solved and the field treats more patients at lower surgical risk, optimal forward flow should take priority
  - Both TAVs have outperformed SAVs in randomized trials

#### **Echocardiographic Findings**

CoreValve US Clinical Trials ACC 2015

TAVR had significantly better valve performance over SAVR at all follow-up visits (P<0.001)



### Hemodynamics – Mean Gradients

#### ...with excellent forward flow characteristics of TAVs



<sup>1</sup>Kodali, et al., presented at ACC 2015; <sup>2</sup>Leon, et. al. presented at ACC 2013; <sup>3</sup>Popma, et al., *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; 63: 1972-81; <sup>4</sup>Adams, et al., *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370; 1790-8; <sup>5</sup>Meredith, et al., presented at ACC 2015

### Hemodynamics - EOA

#### ...and excellent EOA of both valves



<sup>1</sup>Adams, et al., *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370; 1790-8; <sup>2</sup>Meredith, et al., presented at ACC 2015; <sup>3</sup>Popma, et al., *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; 63: 1972-81; <sup>4</sup>Kodali, et al., presented at ACC 2015; <sup>5</sup>Leon, et. al. presented at ACC 2013

## **Vascular Complications**

 5 reports have directly compared TF-TAVI outcomes between CoreValve and SAPIEN XT<sup>1-5</sup>. No study has shown a statistically significant difference between the devices.



<sup>1</sup>Abdel-Wahab, et al., JAMA 2014; 311(15): 1503-14; <sup>2</sup>Buchanan, et al., presented at EuroPCR 2012; <sup>3</sup>Di Mario, et al., EuroIntervention 2013; 8(12): 1362-71; <sup>4</sup>Spargias, et Hellenic J Cardiol 2013; 54: 18-24; <sup>5</sup>Kasel, et al., Am J Cardiovasc Dis 2014; 4(2): 87-99

## **Vascular Complications**

Data from rigorous controlled trials begin to show

the positive impact that smaller sheath size has on major vascular complications



<sup>1</sup>Leon, et. al. presented at ACC 2013; <sup>2</sup>Popma, et al., J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 63: 1972-81; <sup>3</sup>Adams, et al., N Engl J Med 2014; 370: 1790-8; <sup>4</sup>Kodali, et al., presented at ACC 2015

### **30 Day Moderate and Severe PVL**

#### Newer technologies are clearly driving a decrease in early PVL rates



<sup>1</sup>Leon, et. al. presented at ACC 2013; <sup>2</sup>Popma, et al., *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; 63: 1972-81; <sup>3</sup>Adams, et al., *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 1790-8; <sup>4</sup>Kodali, et al., presented at ACC 2015; <sup>5</sup>Meredith, et al., presented at ACC 2015

### 30 Day Stroke

Stroke rates are decreasing, but monitoring/assessment differs between studies. And no one is at 0% yet.



<sup>1</sup>Adams, et al., *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 1790-8; <sup>2</sup>Leon, et. al. presented at ACC 2013; <sup>3</sup>Popma, et al., *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; 63: 1972-81; <sup>4</sup>Kodali, et al., presented at ACC 2015; <sup>5</sup>Meredith, et al., presented at ACC 2015

### **Post-TAVI Permanent Pacemakers**

SAPIEN and SAPIEN XT have consistently had lower pacemaker rates compared to CoreValve. This gap is closing with newer generation devices.



<sup>1</sup>Popma, et al., J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 63: 1972-81; <sup>2</sup>Adams, et al., N Engl J Med 2014; 370: 1790-8; <sup>3</sup>Kodali, et al., presented at ACC 2015; <sup>4</sup>Meredith, et al., presented at ACC 2015; <sup>5</sup>Leon, et. al. presented at ACC 2013

## Late Complications

 Long term durability and safety will be of paramount importance as TAVI is applied to patients with longer life expectancy. The overall outlook so far however is very positive



## Conclusion

### We and Our Patients are Lucky: Two Great THV Platforms in 2016



## Conclusion

# The biggest issue is not which valve to use, but getting patients who can benefit from TAVR, the therapy they deserve!