Beyond "Fractional Flow Reserve"

Comprehensive antegrade and retrograde flow assessment using a pressure wire

Joo Myung Lee, MD, MPH

Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea

SNUH® Seoul National University Hospital Cardiovascular Center

Case Description

Female, 69 years old

CC> <u>Aggravating exertional chest pain with dyspnea, 2 months ago</u> <u>Dyspnea on exertion, since 4 months ago</u>

Past Medical History>

Non-tuberculosis mycobacterial infection (NTM) and Bronchiectasis Massive Hemoptysis → Bronchial artery embolization, 1 month ago

Social History> Never smoker Family History> None

Current Medication before Admission>

Aspirin 100mg qd, Clopidogrel 75mg → resume 1 week ago before admission Molsiton 5mg, Vastinan MR 35mg, Atorvastatin 40mg B-blocker, CCB, RAAS blockade : could not be used due to marginal BP.

ECG at Chest Pain - At ER -

ECG During Chest Pain

ECG During "No" Chest Pain



Dynamic ECG change (precordial ST depression and T inversion)

SNUH® Seoul National University Hospital Cardiovascular Center

CT Coronary Angiography - At ER -



CT coronary Angiography:

- LAD mid : 90% focal stenosis with mixed plaque.
- LAD distal : 80% focal tandem stenosis.
- LCX proximal : 90% focal stenosis with mixed plaque.

Invasive Coronary Angiography



LAD : mid LAD focal 90% distal LAD focal 80%

SNUH Seoul National University Hospital Cardiovascular Center

Invasive Coronary Angiography



LCX : proximal LCX focal 90% stenosis



Treatment Decision #1

Anatomical Factor

Clinical Factor

Significant LAD/LCX Lesions Angulated / Bending Portion Stenosis



- Marginal Blood Pressure (Unable to use Beta-blocker, RAAS blockade)
- ② Accelerating Chest Pain Despite Medication
- ③ High Bleeding Risk after DAPT (Recent Massive Hemoptysis)

Drug-eluting Balloon angioplasty

NUH® Seoul National University Hospital Cardiovascular Center

Question #1

No Reason for Use of Pressure Wire?



Question #2

Drug-eluting Balloon angioplasty



My decision

- FFR-guided DEB angioplasty : (1) \rightarrow (3) \rightarrow (2)
- Assessing chest pain, ECG change during balloon occlusion
 - Measuring wedge pressure and CFI

[1] DEB angioplasty to LCX



Severe Excruciating Chest Pain (+) ECG : Antero-Lateral lead ST elevation Aortic Pressure decreased < 90mmHg during 1 min

Final Results after LCX DEB







[2] DEB angioplasty to distal-LAD



Sequent Please 2.75*20mm (time to inflate 16 sec, for 180 sec)

160 40

During Balloon Occlusion

No Chest Pain during 3-min of balloon occlusion ECG : No ECG changes during balloon occlusion No Change in "Aortic Pressure" during 3 minutes

SNUH Seoul National University Hospital Cardiovascular Center

FFR after distal-LAD DEB



Fractional Flow Reserve-guided Paclitaxel-coated Balloon Treatment for De Novo Coronary Lesions

Eun-Seok Shin,^{1*} MD, PhD, Soe Hee Ann,¹ MD, Gillian Balbir Singh,¹ MBCHB, FRACP, Kyung Hun Lim,¹ MD, Franz X. Kleber,² MD, and Bon-Kwon Koo,³ MD, PhD

Objectives: To assess the safety and efficacy of fractional flow reserve (FFR) guided paclitaxel-coated balloon (PCB) treatment for de novo coronary artery lesions. Background: There is limited data on PCB treatment for de novo lesions especially of major epicardial coronary arteries. Methods: Sixty-six patients with 67 de novo lesions who underwent successful plain old balloon angioplasty (POBA) were included. If POBA-FFR was favorable (> 0.85), PCB was applied and if POBA-FFR was <0.85, stent implantation was preferred over PCB. Results: Forty-five lesions were treated with PCB (67.2%) and 22 lesions with stents (32.8%). Dual antiplatelet therapy duration was 6 weeks. Late luminal loss with PCB was significantly less than stent $(0.05 \pm 0.27 \text{ mm ys})$. 0.40 ± 0.54 mm, P = 0.022). The baseline FFR of target lesions was 0.69 ± 0.16 in PCB and 0.60 ± 0.11 in stent group (P = 0.015), however, the FFR at 9 months was not different between groups (0.85 ± 0.08 in PCB vs. 0.85 ± 0.05 in stent group, P = 0.973). At 1 year, one myocardial infarction and one target lesion revascularization related to instent restenosis were detected, both in the stent group. Conclusion: POBA-FFRguided PCB treatment is safe and effective for de novo coronary lesions with good anatomical and physiological patency at mid-term follow-up. @ 2015 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Key words: paclitaxel-coated balloon; fractional flow reserve; plain old balloon angioplasty; de novo lesion; late luminal loss

Further Angioplasty is considered to achieve post-FFR ≥ 0.85

Shin ES et al. "FFR-guided DEB angioplasty" CCI 2015

[3] DEB angioplasty to mid-LAD



No Chest Pain during 3-min of balloon occlusion ECG : No ECG changes during balloon occlusion No Change in "Aortic Pressure" during 3 minutes

SNUH Seoul National University Hospital Cardiovascular Center

Final Results after mid and distal-LAD DEB



Back to the Question..... No. 1

Drug-eluting Balloon angioplasty



Different "Collateral Recruitability" Different "Protection Reserve" for Ischemia





Well "Protected" Lesion to Ischemia





More "Vulnerable" Lesion to Ischemia



Lessons From the Case

- FFR-guided DEB angioplasty is suitable treatment option for patients who cannot tolerate prolonged duration of DAPT.
- Despite similar functional significance measured by FFR, these lesions were completely different regarding "consequences of ischemic insult".
- This differential "consequences of ischemic insult" can be predicted by measuring wedge pressure and collateral flow index (or wedge index).
- Comprehensive use of pressure wire beyond current clinical indication or beyond simply measuring FFR only would provide further information regarding consequences of ischemic insult, further treatment plan, and long-term prognosis, beyond the information about presence of ischemia only.

Conclusion

My mentor always says that "We should be more physiologic than FFR..."



Even though we are not able to see the whole forest for the trees... If we are keep trying to see as many trees as possible...

We will be able to know more things about the forest...

Thank you for your attention

Joo Myung Lee, MD, MPH Cardiovascular Center, Seoul National University Hospital (SNUH), Seoul, Korea

If you have any question, don't hesitate to e-mail me. drone80@hanmail.net

HOSPITAL