TCTAP 2016

Searching for the Perfect Aortic Valve Mechanical Expanded Lotus Valve

Eberhard Grube MD, FACC, FSCAI

University Hospital, Dept of Medicine II, Bonn, Germany Stanford University, Palo Alto, California, USA

Eberhard Grube, MD

Physician Name

Eberhard Grube, MD

Company/Relationship

Medtronic, CoreValve: C, SB, AB, OF Direct Flow: C, SB, AB Mitralign: AB, SB, E Boston Scientific: C, SB, AB Biosensors: E, SB, C, AB Kona: AB, E Abbott Vascular: AB InSeal Medical: AB, E, Valtech: E, SB, Claret: SB Keystone: AB Shockwave: E, AB

Key

G – Grant and or Research Support E – Equity Interests S – Salary, AB – Advisory Board C – Consulting fees, Honoraria R – Royalty Income I – Intellectual Property Rights SB – Speaker's Bureau O – Ownership OF – Other Financial Benefits

What is the PERFECT TAVI Device?

Checklist for the Perfect TAVI:

Reduces Me	ean Aortic Valve	e Gradient <15	mm Hg
------------	------------------	----------------	-------

Increases EOA



Minimal Moderate and Severe PVL



No Need for Rapid Pacing

Repositionable and Retrievable

Maintains Hemodynamic Stability Throughout Procedure



Minimal Aortic Valve Malpositioning

Long-term Durability



Minimal Conduction Disturbances

S&E in Intermediate / Low Risk Patients

HOW CLOSE IS THE CURRENT GENERATION OF TAVI DEVICES TO PERFECTION?

REPRISE II with Extended Cohort (N=249; As Treated) Mean Aortic Gradient & EOA



Values are mean ± standard deviations. As-treated population. Presented by Ian T. Meredith AM, PCR LV 2015.

RESPOND 750-Patient Interim Analysis Mean Aortic Gradient & EOA



As-treated population, 30d angiography not mandated per protocol. Presented by Van Mieghem, ACC 2016.

LOTUS VALVE SYSTEM DESIGN GOALS MINIMIZE PARAVALVULAR LEAK (PVL)



Repositioning with the Lotus Valve The Lotus Valve is resheathed prior to repositioning



in Lotus Valve Before Reposi

Lotus Case Example 25mm Lotus Valve repositioning to eliminate PVL





Moderate PVL initially despite placement at intended position

Repositioning Still mild PVL due to heavily calcified LVOT

Final repositioning No PVL

12-Month Moderate & Severe PVL TAVI Clinical Trials



Results from different studies are not directly comparable. Information provided for educational purpose only.

¹Leon M, ACC 2013. ²Hermann, TCT 2015. ³Leon, NEJM 2010. ⁴Linke A, PCR 2014. ⁴Smith, NEJM 2011. ⁵Manoharan, TCT 2015. ⁶Popma J, JACC 2014. ⁷Lefevre, et al. JACC 2016. ⁸Ian Meredith, PCR LV 2015.

Lotus Valve Deployment: Unsheathing Early function enables hemodynamic stability



Central radiopaque positioning marker guides placement. The Lotus Valve is functioning when 1/2 of the valve is unsheathed. Rapid pacing is not required.

Images courtesy of Ian T. Meredith AM, MBBS, PhD

Early function enables hemodynamic stability



Lotus Valve Deployment in Benchtop Flow Model

Lotus Valve Deployment: Locking Controlled, Accurate, and Predictable Positioning





Valve may be assessed in final locked position Valve is locked but still FULLY repositionable & retrievable

Images courtesy of Ian T. Meredith AM, MBBS, PhD

REPRISE II WITH EXTENDED COHORT (N=250) DEVICE PERFORMANCE

Successful access, delivery, deployment & system retrieval	98.8%*
Successful valve repositioning, if attempted (n=85)	100.0%
Partial valve resheathing (n)	71
Full valve resheathing (n)	14
Successful valve retrieval, if attempted (n=13)	92.3%*
Aortic valve malpositioning	0.0%
Valve migration	0.0%
Valve embolization	0.0%
Ectopic valve deployment	0.0%
TAV-in-TAV deployment	0.0%

*2 intraprocedural complications occurred prior to valve deployment; 1 retrieval with incomplete retraction into delivery catheter but successfully removed. Lotus valve implanted 42 days afterwards in this patient.

RESPOND SAFETY ENDPOINTS – PERIPROCEDURAL 750-PATIENT INTERIM ANALYSIS (AS-TREATED POPULATION)

Coronary obstruction	0.1% (1/735)
Cardiac tamponade	0.7% (5/735)
Valve migration	0% (0/735)
Valve embolization	0.1% (1/735)
Ectopic valve deployment	0.1% (1/735)
TAV-in-TAV deployment	0.3% (2/735)

VALVE-IN-VALVE DEPLOYMENTS TAVI CLINICAL TRIALS AND REGISTRIES



¹Abdel-Wahab, JAMA 2014., ²Linke, et al. EHJ, March 2014., ³Makkar, Presented at TVT 2014. ⁴Leon, et al. TCTMD online. ⁵Van Belle, et al. *Circulation* 2014. ⁶Mack, et al. JAMA 2013. ⁷Reardon, et al. *J Thor and Cardiovasc Surgery* 2014. ⁸Makkar, et al. JACC 2013., ⁹Thomas, et al. *Circulation* 2011. ¹⁰Van Mieghem, ACC 2016. ¹¹Meredith, PCR LV 2014.



¹ Bosmans, et al. *ICTS* 2011, ²Schofer, et al. *JACC* 2014, ³Smith, et al. *NEJM* 2011., ⁴Lefevre, et al. *Eur Heart J* 2011., ⁵Rodes-Cabau, et al. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010., ⁶Gilard, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2012., ⁷Eltchaninoff, et al. *Eur Heart J*. 2011., ⁸Leon, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2010., ⁹Wendler O, et al. *Eur J Cardio-Thoracic Surg* 2013. ¹⁰Thomas, et al. *Circulation* 2011., ¹¹Linke, et al. *EHJ*, March 2014., ¹²Van Mieghem, ACC 2016. ¹³Meredith, PCR LV 2014. *Includes valve embolization and need for surgery. **Only includes valve embolization

REPRISE I – VALVE HEMODYNAMICS TO 3 YEARS MEAN AORTIC VALVE GRADIENT & EFFECTIVE ORIFICE BY PATIENT



Presented by Ian T. Meredith TCT 2015.

P values from repeated measures and random effects ANOVA model; Independent Core Lab adjudication.

PPM RATES IN PERSPECTIVE

PERMANENT PACEMAKERS

PACEMAKER RATE AT 30 DAYS



HOW MAY TECHNIQUE/PROTOCOL IMPACT PPM RATE? RECENT EXPERIENCE FROM THREE CENTERS WITH THE LOTUS VALVE



Results from different studies not directly comparable. Information provided for educational purpose only. RESPOND: Falk, PCR LV 2015. REPRISE II/II EXT: Meredith, PCR LV 2015. Berlin Experience: D'Ancona, TCT 2015. Lund Experience: Gotberg, TCT 2015. TAo Experience: Bapat, TCT 2015.

SAFARI^{2™} GUIDEWIRE DESIGN GOALS







- Enhanced wire predictability, with superior shape retention
- Streamlined device delivery through optimized rail support
- Widest guidewire choice with three curve sizes

LOTUS CLINICAL TRIALS ONGOING AND UPCOMING TRIALS



*Other TAVI includes: CoreValve, Evolut-R, S3, and SAPIEN XT

Boston Scientific is not responsible for the collection, analysis or reporting of the investigator-sponsored research output which is the sole responsibility of the investigators. Boston Scientific's involvement in investigator-sponsored research is limited to providing financial support for research that advances medical and scientific knowledge about our products. Indications, contraindications, warnings and instructions for use can be found in the product labeling supplied with each device.

Conclusion: No current generation valve meets all criteria The search for perfection continues...



Checklist for the Perfect TAVI:

- Reduce Mean Aortic Valve Gradient <15 mm Hg
- Increase EOA
- Minimal Moderate and Severe PVL
- No Need for Rapid Pacing
- Repositionable and Retrievable
- Maintain Hemodynamic Stability Throughout Procedure
- Minimal Aortic Valve Malpositioning
- Long-term Durability
- Low Conduction Disturbances
- S&E in Intermediate / Low Risk Patients

THANK YOU FOR YOUR KIND ATTENTION !