# Valve Selection: tailoring valve and patient.

Professor Darren Walters Executive Director Heart Lung Stream The Prince Charles Hospital University of Queensland

### TCTAP April 2017, Seoul Korea.



## Valve types implanted in Australia



Fanning, Walters et al International Journal of Cardiology 168 (2013) 1822–1831

# Valve types in Europe



Piazza et al EuroIntervention 2016;12:Y37-Y41 TAVI device selection: time for a patient-specific approach

### **Annulus characteristics**

- Size: Evolut R has greatest range

	S3	Evolut R	Lotus	Portico	Symentis
Perimeter (mm)	65-92.6	56.5-94.2	62.8-84.8	60-85	66-85
Area (mm2)	338-680	255-706	314-573	277-573	346-573

- Bicuspid versus tricuspid valve
  - Consider sealing skirt and repositionability
- Heavy calcification incl. AO-Mitral curtain with risk annular rupture
  - Consider self expanding



### **Coronary heights**

- annular plane to lower margin coronary artery
- sinus of valsalva area
- sinus height
- presence of bulky calcium apposing the ostium
- Consider fully retrievable and repositionable device
- Consider partially retrievable and repositionable device



### Sub valvular characteristics

- sigmoid septum / septal bulge
- Consider mechanically expanding fully retrievable and repositionable
- Consider self expanding partially retrievable and repositionable



#### **Aortic Root Angulation**

horizontal aorta

consider balloon expandable or

mechanically expandable and repositionable device

issues of co-axiality

Consider balloon expandable or top down deploying valve

#### Pacemaker

 for avoidance consider balloon expandable to expedite discharge

#### **LV Function**

- poor LV Function consider mechanically expandable
- no pacing no PVL



### **lliofemoral access**

- What is the minimum femoral size
- Sizing  $\leq$  6 mm to 5.5 mm
  - will require next generation device 14 Fr sheath
  - Edwards Sapien S3 or Medtronic Evolut R
- Sizing 5.0-5.5mm will require 14 Fr in line sheath
  - Medtronic Evolut R



# **Case of multiple technical challenges**

73 yo female class III dyspnoea

- Respiratory disease severe COPD
- Renal disease CKD3
- GI/haematological/bleeding no
- Other T2DM GORD STS 4.8%

Issues :

- Small femoral
- Low coronary height
- Small annulus







# Case of already having pacemaker

87 yo female

- Severe aortic stenosis
  - NYHA class dyspnoea
  - EF 78%
- Permanent pacemaker insertion
  - Complete heart block
- Hyperimmunoglobulin M syndrome
- Previous BCC resection STS 5.1%

Issues:

**Already has PPM** 





## **Case of early discharge**

84 yo male

- STS 2.6%
- Valvular heart disease Isolated Severe AS
- Cerebrovascular disease 50-69% bilateral, Mild memory concerns
- Renal disease CKD IIIa, GFR 55
- GI/haematological/bleeding Barrett's/GORD, Diverticuli
- Other Peripheral neuropathy and spinal stenosis limiting mobility.

Issue: 3M style day 1 post TAVI discharge No TOE , sedation, no IDC , no PPM







# Case of sigmoid septum

- 80 yo female AS class III dyspnoea.
- Respiratory disease pulmonary fibrosis COPD
- Renal disease CKD stage III eGFR 33
- Other HTN, DM on insulin, morbid obesity, gout.
- STS 5.3%

Issue: septal bulge LVH













# **Case of heavy calcification**

83 yo female NYHA III, progressive dyspnoea

- Cerebrovascular disease CVA '95, mild Right sided weakness
- Respiratory disease Recent LRTI, slow RUL likely adenocarcinoma monitored,
- Renal disease CKD IIIb/IV, GFR 32
- GI/haematological/bleeding Hodgkin's Lymphoma '55
- Other Afib
- STS 5.1%

### **Issue: Calcification**

			STATISTICS NET	Value Steel	WEREWE ET
		07/03/2017	15:48:15	TIS0.8	MI 1.3
	342325		S5-1/TPCH		
FR 52Hz 14cm					МЗ
2D 59% C 50 P Low HPen					
G P R 1.4 2.8				**	
				JPEG	
1					93 bpm











# Case of very low coronary heights

72 yo male, NYHA III, progressive dyspnoea STS 4.4%

- Coronary artery disease- PCI LAD 2003
- Respiratory disease COPD, OSA
- GI/haematological/bleeding 2013- possibly SI (Heyde's)
- Other Breast ca 2006, T2DM on insulin, Dyslipidaemia, asthma, bronchiectasis, OSA

Issue:

Very low coronary height









# Conclusion

- tailored selection of valve to optimise outcomes
- based on patient related factors
- lesion related factors
- technical considerations
- benefit to familiarity with several devices