

DELTA 2: A Prospective, Multicenter Registry Evaluating New Generation Drug-Eluting Stents in Patients with Obstructive Left Main Coronary Artery

#### **Davide Capodanno** on behalf of the DELTA-2 Investigators

Alaide Chieffo, Akihito Tanaka, Gennaro Giustino, Ieva Briede, Andrejs Erglis, Fadi J. Sawaya, MC.Morice, Roxana Mehran, Joost Daemen, Nicolas V.Mieghem, Hiroyoshi Kawamoto, Satoru Mitomo, Sunao Nakamura, Emanuele Meliga, Fabrizio D'ascenzo, Marco Pavani, Enrico Gerrato, Giulio G.Stefanini, Marco Pisaniello, <u>Davide Capodanno,</u> Corrado Tamburino, Andrea Mangiameli, Didier Tchetche, Templin Christian, Antonio Colombo







# Disclosure Statement of Financial Interest

I, Davide Capodanno, DO NOT have a financial interest/arrangement or affiliation with one or more organizations that could be perceived as a real or apparent conflict of interest in the context of the subject of this presentation





# Patients Enrollment in DELTA 2



#### From 19 Centers 7 Countries

#### Italy

#### n= 1383

- San Raffaele Scientific Institute
- EMO-GVM Centro Cuore Columbus
- A.O. Ordine Mauriziano Umberto I
- Ferrarotto Hospital
- University of Catania
- University of Turin
- S. Giovanni Battista Hospital
- A.O.U San Luigi Gonzaga
- Infermi Hospital
- Humanitas Research Hospital

#### Latvia n= 719

- Latvian Centre of Cardiology
- > Pauls Stradins Clinical University Hospital

#### France

#### n= 601

- Institut Hospitalier Jacques Cartier
- Clinique Pasteur

#### *US* n= 463

Mount-Sinai Medical Center

Netherlands

#### n= 428

Erasmus Medical Center Thorax center

# Japann= 382> New Tokyo HospitalSwitzerland▶ University Hospital of Zurich







LM PCI with 2 nd generation DES data received from 19 centers (N=4635)



DELTA 2 enrollment (N=3986)







# **DELTA II: Endpoints**

#### **Primary Endpoint**

> Incidence of death, MI, and CVA at follow-up

#### **Secondary Endpoint**

- Death (overall + cardiac)
- Death + MI
- > MACCE (Death + MI + CVA + TVR)
- > TVR

Same Endpoints/ Definitions as DELTA I





# **Statistical Analysis**



- Individual patient data was pooled in a single pre-specified structured dataset and analyzed with a single-stage approach
- Event rates (with 95% CI) and absolute rate differences at follow-up were estimated with the Kaplan-Meier method as time-to-first event
- Predictors for endpoint events were estimated with multivariable Cox regression analysis
- In order to account for pre-treatment differences between the DELTA-2 PCI cohort and the historical DELTA-1 CABG cohort a propensity score was generated by means of a logistic regression model. Calibration of the logistic regression model was assessed with the Hosmer-Lemeshow test. Subsequently, Cox regression models stratified by quintiles of propensity score were performed to estimate differences between treatments
- The proportionality assumption of the Cox regression models was tested with the Schoenfeld residual method. If the proportionality assumption was violated, the exposure was modeled as a time-dependent covariate
- Multicollinearity across covariates in the multivariable model was assessed with the VIF, with VIF > 10 indicating significant multicollinearity
- A level of p < 0.05 was set a statistically significant. Analyses were performed with STATA and SPSS softwares





# **Baseline characteristics (1)**



	N=3986
Age, y	69.6±10.9
Male	74.5%
Hypertension	78.2%
Dyslipidemia	72.7%
Diabetes	30.8%
Insulin	7.6%
Current smoking	15.8%
Smoker history (current + ex)	35.7%
Family history of CAD	28.7%
Chronic kidney disease	31.2%
Previous MI	28.2%
Previous CABG	8.3%
Previous PCI	41.5%
LVEF, %	53±11





## **Baseline characteristics (2)**



	N=3986
Clinical presentation	
Stable angina/Silent ischemia	63.9%
Unstable angina	15.2%
NSTEMI	14.8%
STEMI	6.2%
Multivessel disease	74.3%
LAD/CX disease	87.7%
RCA disease	48.3%
SYNTAXscore	$27.0 \pm 10.6$
Lesion location	
Ostial/shaft only	15.4%
Involving Distal-Bifurcation	84.6%
True bifurcation	39.8%





## **Procedural characteristics (1)**



	N=3986
Elective	71.8%
Urgent/Emergent	28.2%
Radial access	39.3%
No of vessel treated	1.6±0.7
No of lesion treated	$1.9 \pm 1.0$
IVUS use	36.1%
IABP	6.7%





## **Procedural Characteristics (2)**



	N=3986
Pre-dilatation	71.1%
Rotablator	6.1%
Post-dilatation	86.6%
Max balloon diameter	$4.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ mm}$
Maximum pressure	$18.5 \pm 4.7$ atm
Kissing balloon inflation	48.2%
DES type	
EES	74.9%
ZES	9.1%
BES	13.1%
SES	2.9%
LM stent diameter	$3.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ mm}$
Total stent length	27.1±19.6 mm
Bifurcation 2 stenting	20.4%





## **In-hospital Outcomes**



	N=3986
All cause death	1.3% (53/3986)
Cardiac death	1.1% (43/3986)
Hospital MI	4.0% (158/3986)
TLR	0.3% (10/3986)
TVR	0.4% (15/3986)
CVA	0.2% (7/3986)
CABG	0.1% (2/3986)
Definite or Probable ST	0.4% (17/3986)
Definite ST	0.2% (9/3986)
Probable ST	0.2% (8/3986)





# Primary Endpoint (Death + MI + CVA)



Cardiovascular Research Foundation









# **MACCE at Follow-up**



	6-months	12-months	18-months	24-months
Death/ MI/ CVA	180 (5.3%)	270 (8.4%)	321 (10.8%)	344 (12.2%)
MACCE	328 (9.8%)	557 (17.4%)	667 (22.4%)	715 (25.3%)
All cause death	149 (4.4%)	212 (6.5%)	248 (8.3%)	268 (9.5%)
Cardiac death	107 (3.1%)	145 (4.5%)	164 (5.4%)	170 (5.8%)
МІ	33 (1.0%)	64 (2.1%)	80 (2.9%)	81 (3.0%)
TVR	174 (5.4%)	340 (11.2%)	413 (14.8%)	441 (16.7%)
TLR	99 (3.1%)	214 (7.2%)	252 (9.1%)	270 (10.3%)
CVA	14 (0.4%)	19 (0.6%)	22 (0.8%)	26 (1.0%)
Definite/ Probable ST	38 (1.1%)	47 (1.5%)	50 (1.6%)	50 (1.6%)
- Definite ST	17 (0.5%)	24 (0.8%)	27 (0.9%)	27 (0.9%)
- Probable ST	21 (0.6%)	23 (0.7%)	23 (0.7%)	23 (0.7%)
		Adian follow-ur	501 dave	



Median Tollow-up. 501 days



%, calculated by Kaplan-Meier Method

#### **Multivariate Analysis for Death/MI/CVA**

	OSPEDALE
~	SAN RAFFAELE

	HR	95%CI	p-value
Age, y	1.03	1.01-1.04	0.003
Dyslipidemia	0.70	0.51-0.96	0.024
Diabetes mellitus	1.51	1.12-2.02	0.006
CKD	1.58	1.16-2.15	0.004
LVEF	0.96	0.95-0.97	<0.001
Emergent/Urgent (vs. Elective)	1.83	1.33-2.52	<0.001
Femoral access (vs. Radial)	1.68	1.17-2.42	0.005
Requirement of Rotablator	1.73	1.02-2.94	0.041





# **Multivariate Analysis for MACCE**



	HR	95%CI	p-value
Diabetes Mellitus	1.72	1.43-2.07	<0.001
LVEF	0.98	0.97-0.99	<0.001
Multivessel disease	1.35	1.00-1.82	0.049
Emergent/Urgent (vs Elective)	1.34	1.07-1.67	0.012
Femoral access (vs radial)	1.27	1.02-1.58	0.03
IABP	1.87	1.34-2.60	<0.001
Pre-dilatation	0.82	0.67-0.99	0.044
Requirement of Rotablator	1.87	1.34-2.60	<0.001
LM stent diameter, mm	0.70	0.53-0.92	0.012
2 stenting	1.37	1.09-1.72	0.007





## **Multivariate Analysis for TVR**

	HR	95%CI	p-value
Age, y	0.981	0.971-0.992	0.001
Diabetes Mellitus	1.839	1.477-2.289	<0.001
Requirement of Rotablator	1.899	1.256-2.873	0.002
LM stent diameter, mm	0.569	0.413-0.786	0.001
2 stenting	1.496	1.134-1.973	0.004





## Comparison with DELTA1 CABG







#### **Baseline differences**



	DELTA2	DELTA1 CABG	DELTA2 vs1CABG
	n=3986	n=901	Difference (95%CI)
Age, y	70±11	67±10	3.0 (2.2 to 3.7)
Male	75%	64%	10.9% (7.5% to 14.3%)
Hypertention	78%	68%	10.6% (7.3% to 13.9%)
Diabetes	31%	34%	-3.2% (-6.6% to 0.2%)
Previous CABG	8%	3%	5.7% (4.3% to 7.0%)
Previous PCI	42%	14%	27.7% (25.0% to 30.5%)
LVEF, %	53±11	53±12	0.0 (-0.8 to 0.9)
STEMI/NSTEMI	21%	12%	9.1% (6.6% to 11.6%)
Urgent/Emergent	28%	17%	10.7% (7.9% to 13.6%)
SYNTAX score	27±11	39±13	-10.7 (-11.8 to -9.5)





#### **Primary Endpoint (Death+MI+CVA)**















# Conclusions



DELTA II registry showed that in a real world scenario (including STEMI, cardiogenic shock and ACS patients and patients that would have been excluded from RCT ) PCI with second generation DES for unprotected LM disease has acceptable occurrence of MACCE at mid term clinical follow-up

The comparison with the historical cohort of patients treated with CABG from DELTA 1 showed that the occurrence of death, MI and CVA is comparable. Indeed there is the advantage of PCI in CVA and CABG in TVR occurrence.



