

# TAVI in bicuspid valve Case & Focus review

Bernard Chevalier ICPS Massy France



TAVI

Male 77 y.o

### **Risk factors / comorbidities**

Hypertension, Dyslipidemia, Diabetes (non-insulin dependent)

#### **Clinical presentation**

Patient suffered from dyspnea (NYHA III) Height: 170 cm, Weight: 63 kg (BSA: 1.73)

### **ECG findings**

Atrial fibrilation

**TAVI** Male 77 y.o

#### Laboratory investigations

Hb = 11.5 g/dl Creatinine = 65 μmol/L Creatinine clearance =85ml/min

#### **Risk evluation**

Logistic EuroSCORE = 15.96% EuroSCORE II = 6.51%



Male 77 y.o

#### **Echocardiographic data**

Aortic valve area 0.8 cm<sup>2</sup> Mean pressure gradient 30mmHg Severe aortic regurgitation Moderate mitral regurgitation Ejection fraction 25% Pulmonary artery pressure 60mmHg

# **CT data**



#### Bicuspid type2 (L-R &R-N raphe)



Short diameter of annulus 26.5mm Long diameter of annulus 34.8mm Mean diameter of annulus 30.7mm (surface of annulus 740mm<sup>2</sup>) Volume of calcification 584mm<sup>2</sup>





# **Aortography before implantation**





29 mm SAPIEN 3 implantation

### **Post-dilatation**



TTE revealed moderate aortic regurgitation. The middle part of the prosthesis was not fully expanded.

➡Post-dilatation by adding 2ml contrast into the delivery balloon.



No paravalvular aortic regurgitation

## Post procedure CT data



Both L-R and R-N raphes filled the gaps  $\rightarrow$  no PVL despite undersizing

Short diameter of annulus 27.8mm Long diameter of annulus 29.9mm

### Messages

①Bicuspid valve : the anatomy of the valve which may give a good sealing at the level of the inter-commissural space.

②Outer skirt : the new design of SAPIEN 3.

➡No paravalvular aortic regurgitation was detected after TAVI.



### 1 to 2% incidence, 2 to 4 times more frequent in men

(Tzemos et al. JAMA 2008; 300:1317-25.)

# Could be an heritable condition – mutation of gene NOTCH1 (Garg et al. Nature 2005; 437: 270-4)

Frequency by Decades of Unicuspid, Bicuspid, and **Tricuspid Aortic Valves in Adults Having Isolated Aortic** Valve Replacement for Aortic Stenosis, With or Without **Associated Aortic Regurgitation** 

TABLE 1. Aortic Valve Structure in 584 Men and 348 Women Aged 26 to 91 Years With Operatively Excised Stenotic Aortic Valves Unassociated With Mitral Valve Disease and Excised From 1993 to 2004

William C. Roberts, MD; Jong M. Ko, BA

Background-Aortic valve stenosis (with in the Western world has been cons atherosclerotic disease.

Methods and Results-We examined ope

(mean±SD, 70±12), and none had associated mitral valve replacement or evidence of mitral stenosis: A total of 504 (54%) had congenitally malformed valves (unicuspid in 46 [unicommissural in 42; acommissural in 4] and bicuspid in 458); 417 (45%) had tricuspid valves (either absent or minimal commissural fusion); and 11 (1%) had valves of undetermined type. It is likely that the latter 11 valves also had been congenitally malformed. Of the 584 men, 343 (59%) had either a unicuspid or a bicuspid valve; of the 348 women, 161 (46%) had either a unicuspid or a bicuspid aortic valve.

Conclusions-The data from this large study of adults having isolated aortic valve replacement for aortic stenosis (with or without associated aortic regurgitation) and without associated mitral stenosis or mitral valve replacement strongly suggest that an underlying congenitally malformed valve, at least in men, is more common than a tricuspid aortic valve. (Circulation, 2005;111:920-925.)

ted Aortic Regurgitation	Aortic Valve Cases, Structure n (%)	Casos	Ages (y) or Patients by Decades at Time of Adroc Valve Replacement							
		n (%)	21-30	31-40	41-50	51-60	61-70	71-80	81-90	91-100
	Men Unicuspid	34 (6)	3	4	11	8	4	4	0	0
			19	11665	100	4	111	94	24	1
Bicuspid valve 62% <70 v & 38	$\frac{100}{100} > 80$					4	50	119	51	0
	//0 > 00	' Y				0	3	2	2	0
						(13)	168 (29)	219 (38)	77 (13)	1 (<1)
ciated mitral valve replacement or evidence of mitral stenosis: A total of 504 s (unicuspid in 46 [unicommissural in 42; acommissural in 4] and bicuspid in either absent or minimal commissural fusion); and 11 (1%) had valves of atter 11 valves also had been congenitally malformed. Of the 584 men, 343 id valve; of the 348 women, 161 (46%) had either a unicuspid or a bicuspid	Women Unicuspid	12 (3)	1	2	3	1	4	1	0	0
	Bicuspid	149 (43)	1	5	10	20	44	55	14	0
	Tricuspid	183 (53)	0	0	2	11	43	79	47	1
	Uncertain	4 (1)	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0
ly of adults having isolated aortic valve replacement for aortic stenosis (with	Subtotals, n (%)	348 (100)	2 (<1)	7(2)	16 (5)	32 (9)	91 (26)	138 (46)	61 (18)	1 (<1)
all and without associated mitral stenosis or mitral valve replacement strongly alformed valve, at least in men, is more common than a tricuspid aortic valve.	Values in parenthe	ises are perce	ntages.							

- Bicuspidy is regarded as a relative contraindication to TAVI due to the risk of uneven expansion of the bioprosthesis.
- Not indicated in the IFU of approved devices
- Exclusion criteria in clinical trials
- Thus, the safety and efficacy of TAVI for this anatomic variation still remains unclear.



# **CT** Classification



Total:	50
Type 1 L-R:	33

- •Type 1 L-N: 3
- •Type 1 R-N: 5
- •Type 2 L-R + L-N: 6
- •Type 0 3
- \* Of 50 cases, 36 were not diagnosed as bicuspid valve by echocardiography

# **CT** Findings

	Bicuspid	Non-bicuspid	р
Patient number	50	562	
Mean annulus size (CT), mm	25 ± 3.0	23.7 ± 2	<0.05
Long-axis annulus size (CT), mm	27.5 ± 3	26.4 ± 2.5	<0.05

# **Procedural Characteristics**

	Bicuspid	Non-bicuspid	р
Patient number	50	562	
Edwards	24 (48%)	400 (71,7%)	<0.01
CoreValve	25 (50%)	152 (27%)	<0.01
Valve size, mm	$28 \pm 3.0$	$26.4 \pm 2.1$	<0.05

### **Edwards Valve**



# CoreValve



# **Clinical Outcomes**

	Bicuspid	Non-bicuspid	р
Patient number	50	562	
Mean pressure gradient, mmHg	10.0 ± 3.4	9.7 ± 4.1	NS
Aortic regurgitation ≥2	10 (20%)	68 (14.9%)	0.12
Annulus rupture	2	8 (1.2%)	NS
Valve migration	0	8 (1.2%)	NS
Coronary flow compromise	1	10 (3,3%)	NS
New pacemaker	6 (12%)	53 (9,4%)	NS

# **Clinical Outcomes**

	Bicuspid	Non-bicuspid	р
Patient number	50	562	
Device success	48 (96%)	528 (94%)	NS
30-day mortality	3 (6%)	46 (8.1%)	NS
30-day combined safety point	8 (16%)	91 (16,1%)	NS

# Conclusions

CT was more sensitive than echo to detect bicuspid valve. Type 1 L-R was the most common type in this cohort. Larger aortic annulus requiring larger bioprosthesis size Similar device success was achieved Although longevity of prostheses in non-circulatory expansion should be explored, indication of TAVI might be extended to this type of anatomy in the future.