Start Tailored approach on cholesterol management for ASCVD patients

Jung Ho Heo, MD, PhD Cardiovascular Center, Kosin University Gospel Hospital



UNIVERSITY GOSPEL HOSPITAL

🔉 고신내학교학음병원

Disclaimer

본 심포지엄의 발표는 비아트리스코리아㈜ (이하 "비아트리스") 의약품에 대한 과학적 정보 공유를 목적으로 하고 있고 해당 의약품의 허가 범위 내에서 사용과 관련된 정보 공유를 목적으로 하고 있습니다. 발표를 하는 과정에 있어서 비아트리스의 의도와 무관하게 언급될 수 있는 허가 범위 밖 사항에 대해서는 발표자의 견해일 뿐 비아트리스의 견해가 아님을 알려드립니다. 개별 특정 의약품의 허가 범위 내 사용에 있어 고려해야 할 충분한 안전성 및 효능 정보는, 반드시 해당 의약품의 제품설명서 및 참고 문헌을 참조해야 합니다. 의료인은 전문가로서의 의학적 판단과 일반적으로 용인되는 치료 기준에 따라, 의약품이 적절하게 처방되고 사용되도록 할 책임이 있습니다.

The presentation of this symposium aims to share scientific information about Viatris Korea (hereinafter "Viatris") products and information related to the approved use of products. Please note that any information or views about non approved use of products that may be mentioned during the live presentation regardless of Viatris's intent are the views of the speaker and not necessarily those of Viatris. Full prescribing information and primary references should be consulted for complete safety and efficacy information relating to the approved use of such products. Physicians will have the professional responsibility to ensure that pharmaceutical products are prescribed and used appropriately, based on their own medical judgment and accepted standards of care.

CONTENTS

- Lipid guidelines and management status in high-risk patients
- Tailored approach for LDL-C management in high-risk patients
- Tailored approach for CV prevention with Atorvastatin

Lipid guidelines and management status in high-risk patients



Statins are recommended as 1st treatment option

Class of Recommendations for statin therapy in each guideline

	2018	2019	2022
	ACC ¹	ESC ²	KSoLA ³
Statins up to maximal tolerable dose are recommended to reach the goal.	I	I	I

Ref. 1. Grundy SM, et al. Circulation. 2019;140:e596–e646 2. Mach F, et al. Eur Heart J. 2020 1;41(1):111-188.3. Korean Society of Lipid and Atherosclerosis. Korean Guidelines for the Management of Dyslipidemia (the 5th edition), 2022

65/M STEMI







2022.05.26	결과	단위
Cholesterol total	195	Mg/dl
Triglyceride	88	Mg/dl
HDL-Cholesterol	61.7	Mg/dl
LDL-Cholesterol	117	Mg/dl
Calculated LDL-C	115.7	Mg/dl



2022.07.14	결과	단위
Cholesterol total	119	Mg/dl
Triglyceride	137	Mg/dl
HDL-Cholesterol	57.7	Mg/dl
LDL-Cholesterol	41	Mg/dl
Calculated LDL-C	33.9	Mg/dl



2019 ESC/EAS Lipid management guideline The lower treatment goal for LDL-C by cv risk



ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; FH = familial hypercholesterolaemia; SCORE, Systematic Coronary Risk Estimation; T1DM, type 1 DM; T2DM, type 2 DM Ref. Mach F, et al. Eur Heart J. 2020 1;41(1):111-188.

2022 Korean guidelines for the management of dyslipidemia Recommendations for treatment goals

Risk category	LDL-C (mg/dL)	non-HDL-C (mg/dL)
Coronary artery disease ^{1)*}	< 55	< 85
Atherosclerotic stroke and transient ischemic attack* Carotid artery disease* Peripheral artery disease* Abdominal aortic aneurysm* Diabetes mellitus (duration \ge 10 years or with 1-2 major risk factors ⁺) ^{2)*}	< 70	< 100
Diabetes mellitus (duration < 10 years and no major risk factors [†])	< 100	< 130
Moderate risk (major risk factors [†] ≥ 2)	< 130	< 160
Low risk (major risk factors [†] ≤ 1)	< 160	< 190

*It is also recommended to reduce LDL-C by \geq 50% from the baseline level.

+Age (men ≥ 45 years, women ≥ 55 years), family history of premature ASCVD, hypertension, smoking, and low HDL cholesterol level.

1) In patient with acute myocardial infarction, statin is recommended irrespective of LDL-C level.

In diabetes mellitus with target organ damage (albuminuria, nephropathy, retinopathy and neuropathy) or major risk factors[†] ≥ 3: target LDL-C < 55 mg/dL (optional)

LDL-C goal for secondary prevention in Korean acute MI patients LDL-C \leq 70 mg/dL & \geq 50% reduction from baseline

Cumulative incidence of MACCE according to the achievement of either target LDL-C goal.



MACCE, major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular event

LDL-C goal attainment rates in Korean high-risk* patients

Retrospective cohort study (NHIS-HEALS database, 2002-2015)



*Definition of high-risk disease & LDL-C goal attainment			
LDL-C goal attainment			attainment
	Disease	2018 Korean national guidelines	2013 ACC/AHA guideline
Very high risk	Stroke & TIA, ACS, CHD, PAD	<70 mg/dL	> 50% reduction in baseline LDL-C
High risk	DM, AAD	<100 mg/dL	for high-intensity statin

NHIS-HEALS, National Health Insurance Service-National Health Screening; ACS, acute coronary syndrome, CHD, coronary heart disease; PAD, peripheral artery disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; AAD, atherosclerotic artery disease

Ref. Yang YS, et al. Lipids in Health and Disease, 2020, 19:5

Less than 50% of Korean high-risk patients achieved LDL-C goal

LDL-C goal attainment rates All patients (known + newly defined high-risk patients)



LDL-C goal attainment increased in patients with ACS but the goal-achiever proportion remained about 38%



Time trends of goal attainment in known and newly defined high-risk patients

DM and AAD patients without high risk had higher LDL-C attainment rates than those with high risk



Known high risk* — Newly defined high risk*

Time trends of goal attainment in known and newly defined high-risk patients

*Subjects with a high-risk of CVD prior to LDL-C measurement and subjects who were newly-diagnosed for high-risk of CVD following LDL-C measurement were defined as known high-risk patients (n = 224,837) and newly defined high-risk patients (n = 127,559), respectively.

Ref. Yang YS, et al. Lipids in Health and Disease, 2020, 19:5

Relatively low proportions of subjects were under statin use (known high-risk: 21.5%, newly defined high-risk: 34.4%)



Ref. Yang YS, et al. Lipids in Health and Disease, 2020, 19:5



Tailored approach for LDL-C management in high-risk patients



2021 ESC Guidelines on CVD prevention

- More personalized CVD prevention guideline, instead of a one-size-fits-all
- More attention to CVD prevention in older persons.
- Introduce a new stepwise treatment-intensification approach.
- Embrace the recently published Systemic Coronary Risk Estimation 2 (SCORE2) and Systemic Coronary Risk Estimation 2-Older Persons (SCORE2-OP) algorithms.
- Introduce age-specific risk thresholds for risk factor treatments in apparently healthy people and provide estimation of lifetime CVD risk and treatment benefit.
- The ultimate lipid goals are the same as in the 2019 ESC/EAS dyslipidemia guideline

2021 ESC Guidelines on CVD prevention New stepwise treatment-intensification approach



A stepwise treatment intensification approach is recommended for apparently healthy people at high or very high CVD risk, as well as patients with established ASCVD and/or DM, with consideration of CVD risk, treatment benefit of risk factors, risk modifiers, comorbidities, and patient preferences. (Class I, Level C)

Patients with coronary artery disease Treat-to-Target strategy vs. High-Intensity statin therapy

LODESTAR trial

(Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol- Targeting Statin Therapy Versus Intensity-Based Statin Therapy in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease)



ACS, acute coronary syndrome; MI, myocardial infarction

LODESTAR trial

Lipid-lowering therapy during the study period



Ref. Hong SJ, et al. JAMA. 2023 Mar 6;e232487.

LODESTAR trial

LDL-C levels and Cumulative incidence of primary end point

Distribution of LDL-C levels



The mean LDL-C level for 3 years Treat-to-target group: - 69.1 mg/dL High-intensity statin group: 68.4 mg/dL (*P*=0.21). Cumulative incidence of the primary end point



Absolute difference, –0.6 percentage points [upper boundary of the 1-sided 97.5%Cl, 1.1 percentage points] P < 0.001 for noninferiority

*P<0.001 at 1. 5 months (6 weeks)

LODESTAR trial Patients with LDL-C below 70 mg/dL

	Treat-to-target	High-intensity statin	Absolute Difference (95% confidence interval)	P Value
At randomization	712/2200 (32.4)	655/2200 (29.8)	2.6 (-0.1 to 5.3)	.06
At 6 weeks	890/1598 (55.7)	987/1601 (61.6)	-6.0 (-9.4 to -2.5)	<.001
At 3 months	261/441 (59.2)	267/397 (67.3)	-8.1 (-15.6 to -5.3)	.02
At 6 months	620/1074 (57.7)	653/1092 (59.8)	-2.1 (-5.8 to 1.7)	.33
At 1 year	1038/1862 (55.7)	1092/1854 (58.9)	-3.2 (-6.3 to 0.0)	.05
At 2 years	1005/1654 (60.8)	1015/1679 (60.4)	0.3 (-3.0 to 3.6)	.86
At 3 years	908/1560 (58.2)	927/1554 (59.7)	-1.4 (-4.9 to 2.0)	.41

If the LDL-C goal is not reached with statins, recommendation of statin/ezetimibe combination

	2018 ACC ¹	2019 ESC ²	2022 KSoLA ³
Statins up to maximal tolerable dose are recommended to reach the goal.	I	I	I
If the goal is not reached, a statin combined with ezetimibe should be considered or is recommended.	I, IIa, IIb	I	I

77/F STEMI with atorvastatin 20mg







2022.05.02	결과	단위
Cholesterol total	152	Mg/dl
Triglyceride	111	Mg/dl
HDL-Cholesterol	53.8	Mg/dl
LDL-Cholesterol	76	Mg/dl
Calculated LDL-C	76	Mg/dl

Atorvastatin 40mg + Ezetimibe 10mg

2022.07.18	결과	단위
Cholesterol total	90	Mg/dl
Triglyceride	57	Mg/dl
HDL-Cholesterol	38.7	Mg/dl
LDL-Cholesterol	39	Mg/dl
Calculated LDL-C	39.9	Mg/dl



2022 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway

Role of Nonstatin Therapies for LDL-Cholesterol Lowering in the Management of ASCVD Risk

3 Questions regarding the use of nonstatin therapies

1. In what patient populations should newer nonstatin therapies be considered?

2. In what situations should newer nonstatin therapies be considered?

3. Which newer nonstatin therapies should be considered and in what order to maximize patient benefit and preference?

ACC, American College of Cardiology; ASCVD, Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease

Patient Populations, Factors and Interventions to Consider



*2022 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway on the Role of Nonstatin Therapies for LDL-Cholesterol Lowering in the Management of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Risk, ** LDL-C ≥190 mg/dL PCSK9 mAb includes alirocumab and evolocumab. ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HoFH, homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PCSK9 mAb, proprotein cholesterol; HoFH, homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PCSK9 mAb, proprotein cholesterol; HoFH, homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PCSK9 mAb, proprotein cholesterol; HoFH, homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PCSK9 mAb, proprotein cholesterol; HoFH, homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PCSK9 mAb, proprotein cholesterol; HoFH, homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PCSK9 mAb, proprotein cholesterol; HoFH, homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PCSK9 mAb, proprotein cholesterol; PCSK9 mAb, proprotei

Nonstatin Options to Consider for ASCVD Secondary Prevention

	Adults with clinical ASCVD	Adults with clinical ASCVD, and LDL-C ≥190	Adults with clinical ASCVD, at very high risk	Adults with clinical ASCVD, at very high risk and LDL-C ≥190
Statin therapy		Maximally-tolerat	ted statin therapy	
LDL-C target	≥50% LDL-C reduction	n and LDL < 70 mg/dL	≥50% LDL-C reduction	n and LDL < 55 mg/dL
Factor to consider	 Evaluate and optimize lifestyle modifications, statin adherence, risk factor control, and SASEs Increase to high-intensity statin therapy, if not already taking Consider referral to lipid specialist and RD/RDN for all patients, especially for HoFH (if LDL-C ≥ 190 mg/dL) 			
Situation to consider nonstatin agents	If not achieved LDL target, consider the following as the initial nonstatin agent			statin agent
Option 1	Ezetimibe	Ezetimibe and/or PCSK9 mAb	Ezetimibe and/or PCSK9 mAb	Ezetimibe and/or PCSK9 mAb
Option 2	Adding or replacing with PCSK9 mAb Bempedoic acid or inclisiran		Bempedoic acid or inclisiran	Bempedoic acid or inclisiran
Option 3	Bempedoic acid or inclisiran LDL apheresis		_	Evinacumab, Lomitapide, and/or LDL apheresis for HoFH

ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; RD/RDN, registered dietitian/registered dietitian nutritionist; SASE, statin-associated side effect; HoFH, homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia; PCSK9 mAb, proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 monoclonal antibody

Nonstatin Options to Consider for ASCVD Primary Prevention

	Adults aged 40-75 years		Adults aged with di	40-75 years abetes	Adults with I DI-C >190	
	10-y risk 5 CAC score	5-20% and >100 AU ⁺	10-y risk ≥20%	Not high risk	High risk*	
Statin therapy	Moderat -intensi	e to high ty statin	High -intensity statin	Moderate -intensity statin	High -intensity statin	Maximally-tolerated statin therapy
LDL-C target	≥50	0% LDL-C reduct LDL < 70 mg/	Juction and g/dL $\geq 50\%$ LDL-C reduction and LDL < 100 mg/dL		≥50% LDL-C reduction and LDL < 100 mg/dL	
Factor to consider	CAC score ≥ 100 AU ⁺ → Consider moderate to high intensity statin	CAC score ≥ 1000 AU → Consider high intensity statin	-	 Calculate 10-y risk and c enhancers** Evaluate and optimize li statin adherence, risk factors Referral to RD/RDN 	consider diabetes risk festyle modifications, or control, and SASEs	 Evaluate and optimize lifestyle modifications, statin adherence, risk factor control, and SASEs Increase to high-intensity statin therapy Consider referral to lipid specialist and RD/RDN for all patients, especially for HoFH
Situation to consider nonstatin agents	If not achieved high-inten may be reasona the fol	I LDL target, on sity statin, able to consider lowing	If not achieved LDL target, may be reasonable to consider ezetimibe	If not achieved LDL target, high-intensity statin therapy	If not achieved LDL target on maximally- tolerated statin, consider ezetimibe	If not achieved LDL target, consider the following as the initial nonstatin agent
Option 1	Ezetimibe	Ezetimibe	Ezetimibe	_	Ezetimibe	Ezetimibe and/or PCSK9 mAb
Option 2		PCSK9 mAb	-	-	-	Bempedoic acid or inclisiran
Option 3			-	_	-	Evinacumab, Lomitapide, and/or LDL apheresis for HoFH

[†]CAC score >100 AU or \geq 75th percentile for the patient's age, sex, and race

*10-year risk ≥7.5%, diabetes-specific risk enhancers, or subclinical atherosclerosis; **Long duration (≥10 years for type 2 diabetes or ≥ 20 years for type 1 diabetes, albuminuria ≥ 30 mcg of albumin/ mg creatinine, eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m2, retinopathy, neuropathy, ankle-brachial index <0.9 Ref. Lloyd-Jones DM, et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022 Oct 4;80(14):1366-1418.

2022 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway

Role of Nonstatin Therapies for LDL-Cholesterol Lowering in the Management of ASCVD Risk

1. In what patient populations should newer nonstatin therapies be considered?

The algorithms endorse the 4 evidence-based patient management groups (Adults with clinical ASCVD, primary severe hypercholesterolemia, diabetes and without diabetes) and assume that the patient is currently taking or has attempted to take a statin, given that this is the most effective initial therapy.

- 2. In what situations should newer nonstatin therapies be considered?
 - ✓ When statins do not fully achieve the LDL-C goals for reducing ASCVD risk
 - \checkmark When statins are not tolerated at effective doses
- 3. Which newer nonstatin therapies should be considered and in what order to maximize patient benefit and preference?



ACC: American College of Cardiology, ASCVD: Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease

Tailored approach for CV prevention with Atorvastatin



A sequence of cardiovascular events, Cardiovascular Disease Continuum



Hierarchy for outcome measures



Lipitor's CV outcome trials



Lipitor's landmark trials reached on primary endpoint¹⁻⁷

🗹 ASCOT-LLA	Proven CVD prevention in patients with Hypertension ³
CARDS	Proven CVD prevention in patients with T2DM ¹
🗹 TNT	Proven CVD prevention in patients with CAD ²
S ALLIANCE	Proven CVD prevention in patients with CAD ⁴
GREACE	Proven CVD prevention in patients with CAD ⁶
MIRACL	Proven CVD prevention in patients with ACS ⁵
PROVE-IT	Proven CVD prevention in patients with ACS ⁷
SPARCL	Proven CVD prevention in patients with Stroke ⁸

Ref. 1. Colhoun HM, et al Lancet. 2004 Aug 21-27;364(9435):685-96. 2. LaRosa JC, et al. N Engl J Med. 2005 Apr7;352(14):1425-35. 3. Sever PS, et al. Lancet. 2003 Apr 5;361(9364):1149-58. 4. Koren MJ, et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004 Nov 2;44(9):1772-9. 5. Schwartz GG, et al. JAMA. 2001 Apr 4;285(13):1711-8. 6. Athyros VG, et al. Curr Med Res Opin. 2002;18(4):220-8. 7. Cannon CP, et al. N Engl J Med. 2004 Apr 8;350(15):1495-504. 8. Amarenco P, et al. N Engl J Med 2006;355:549-59. 9. Wanner C, et al. N Engl J Med. 2005 Jul 21;353(3):238-48. 10. Knopp RH, et al. Diabetes Care. 2006 Jul;29(7):1478-85. 11. Pedersen TR, et al. JAMA. 2005 Nov 16;294(19):2437-45.

Lipitor's CV outcome trials is cited as evidence for major clinical guidelines



ACC/AHA, American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association; ESC/EAS, European Society of Cardiology and European Atherosclerosis Society; KSoLA, Korean Society of Lipid and Atherosclerosis

Ref. 1. Grundy SM, et al. Circulation. 2019;139:e1082–e1143. 2. Arnett DK, et al. Circulation. 2019;140:e596–e646. 3. Mach F, et al. European Heart Journal 2020;41:111-188. 4. 한국지질 등 진료지침 제5판. 5 Colhoun HM, et al Lancet. 2004 Aug 21-27;364(9435):685-96. 6. LaRosa JC, et al. N Engl J Med. 2005 Apr7;352(14):1425-35. 7. Sever PS, et al. Lancet. 2003 Apr 5;361(9364):1149-58. 8. Koren MJ, et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004 Nov 2;44(9):1772-9. 9. Schwartz GG, et al. JAMA. 2001 Apr 4;285(13):1711-8. 10. Athyros VG, et al. Curr Med Res Opin. 2002;18(4):220-8. 11. Cannon CP, et al. N Engl J Med. 2004 Apr 8;350(15):1495-504. 12. Wanner C, et al. N Engl J Med. 2005 Jul 21;353(3):238-48. 13. Knopp RH, et al. Diabetes Care. 2006 Jul;29(7):1478-85. 14. Pedersen TR, et al. JAMA. 2005 Nov 16;294(19):2437-45.

A sequence of cardiovascular events, Cardiovascular Disease Continuum



Ref. 1. Dzau V et al. Am Heart J. 1991;121:1244-1263. 2. Server PS, et al. Lancet 2003; 361: 1149–58. 3. Colhoun HM, et al. Lancet 2004;364:685-96. 4. Koren MJ, et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004 Nov 2;44(9):1772-9.5. Athyros VG, et al. Curr Med Res Opin. 2002;18(4):220-8. 6. LaRosa JC, et al. N Engl J Med 2005;352:1425–1435. 7. Schwartz GG, et al. JAMA 2001;285:1711–8. 8. Cannon CP, et al. N Engl J Med. 2004;350:1495-1504. 9. Khush KK, et al. Circulation. 2007;115:576-583.

Tailored Approach with Lipitor portfolio



*Adjusted mean % change from baseline. Results are pooled from 2 multicenter, placebo-controlled, dose-response studies in patients with primary hyperlipidemia. UPITOR was given as a single dose over 6 weeks

	Lipitor Plus 10/10mg	Lipitor Plus 10/20mg	Lipitor Plus 10/40mg
Image ⁴	4.5 mm) (()(()) 4.5 mm 4.5 mm	5.3 mm (11.21) 年期 4.7 mm	6.0 mm 〕 (1.4.()) 5.5 mm 5.6 mm
Price ⁴ (2023.01)	637won	808won	1,415won
LDL-C reduction (%) from baseline ^{*,5} (Mean change %)	-53%	-54%	-56%

* Results are from a multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical study in patients with primary hyperlipidemia.

Ref. 한국지질동맥경화학회. 이상지질혈증 진료지침 제5판. 2022. 2. 식품의약품안전처 의약품통합정보시스템. 의약품등 제품정보 검색. 리피토정. Available at <https://nedrug.mfds.go.kr/searchDrug> accessed on Jan 17, 2023. 3. LIPITOR. (atorvastatin calcium). US PI. Revised:12/2022. 4. 식품의약품안전처 의약품통합정보시스템. 의약품등 제품정보 검색. 리피토플러스정. Available at <https://nedrug.mfds.go.kr/searchDrug> accessed on Jan 17, 2023. 4. LIPTRUZET. (ezetimibe and atorvastatin). US PI. Revised:9/2020. 5. LIPTRUZET. (ezetimibe and atorvastatin). US PI. Revised:9/2020.

Take Home Messages

1. Statins up to maximal tolerable dose are recommended to reach the goal

2. If the goal is not reached, a statin combined with ezetimibe should be considered or is recommended

3. Atorvastatin family has full lineup treatment option for Cardiovascular Disease Continuum