Racial Difference of Prosthesis-Patient Mismatch After TAVR

Hanbit Park, MD. PhD. University of Ulsan, College of Medicine Gangneung Asan Hospital, Gangneung, Republic of Korea



Disclosure

• I have no disclosure.



Prosthesis-Patient Mismatch(PPM)

• Was first introduced in 1978 by Rahimtoola.

"Mismatch can be considered to be present when the effective prosthetic valve area, after insertion into the patient, is less than that of a normal human valve"

PPM occurs when the effective orifice area of the prosthesis is too small in relation to the patient's body size resulting in abnormally high postoperative gradients.

PPM has been the Indexed EOA

EOA of the prosthesis

Indexed EOA =

Patient's body surface area (BSA)

EOA (effective orifice area) = a physiologic parameter derived from hydraulic principles and corresponding to the actual area occupied by flow as it exit the valve; directly related to hemodynamic and gradients.



Current Definition of PPM after TAVR

• By VARC-3 Definition

If BMI < 30 kg/m²

Severity	Indexed EOA (cm²/m²)
Insignificant	> 0.85
Moderate	0.85-0.66
Severe	≤0.65

If BMI ≥30 kg/m²

Severity	Indexed EOA (cm²/m²)
Insignificant	> 0.70
Moderate	0.70 - 0.56
Severe	≤ 0.55

JACC 2021;77(21):2717-46.

Clinical Impacts of PPM after TAVR

Previous studies



PPM after TAVR in STS/ACC TVT registry

62,125 patients, 2014~2017, USA



Severe PPM was associated with higher mortality and HF hospitalization.



PPM after TAVR in OCEAN-TAVI registry

1,558 patients, Japan



JACC Intv 2018;11:771-80.

28th TCTAP

Clinical impacts of PPM

1,558 patients, Japan



PPM was not associated with poor clinical outcomes.

JACC Intv 2018;11:771-80.

PPM after TAVR in Taiwan

201 patients, Taiwan

Prevalence of PPM None Moderate PPM Severe PPPM

Severe PPM 1.5% Moderate PPM 18.4%

Ann Thorac Surg 2021.

Clinical impacts of PPM

201 patients, Taiwan



PPM was associated with all-cause mortality and HF hospitalization

28th TCTAP

Ann Thorac Surg 2021.

VBF

Summary of previous studies

	STS/ACC-TVT USA (N=62,125)	OCEAN-TAVI Japan (N=1,558)	Taiwan (N=201)
Overall PPM	37%	10%	20%
Moderate PPM	25%	9%	18%
Severe PPM	12%	1%	2%
Clinical impact of Severe PPM			Ļ

Asian population had a relative low prevalence of PPM than the Western population. Clinical impact of PPM is still controversial.



Summary of previous studies

- PPM after TAVR might be of more concern in Asian populations considering their relatively smaller annular size and valve implant size compared with Western populations.
- However, Evidences from the Asian population on PPM after TAVR are still limited compared with Western population.
- There are no studies directly comparing inter-racial differences about PPM after TAVR.



Racial Differences in the Incidence and Impact of Prosthesis-Patient Mismatch After Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement

Hanbit Park, MD,^{a,*} Jung-Min Ahn, MD,^{a,*} Do-Yoon Kang, MD,^a Juyong Brian Kim, MD,^b Alan C. Yeung, MD,^b Takeshi Nishi, MD,^b William F. Fearon, MD,^b Eric Page Cantey, MD,^c James D. Flaherty, MD,^c Charles J. Davidson, MD,^c S. Christopher Malaisrie, MD,^c Sehee Kim, PHD,^d Sung-Cheol Yun, PHD,^d Euihong Ko, MD,^a Seung-Ah Lee, MD,^a Dae-Hee Kim, MD,^a Ho Jin Kim, MD,^e Joon Bum Kim, MD,^e Suk Jung Choo, MD,^e Duk-Woo Park, MD,^a Seung-Jung Park, MD^a

TransPacific-TAVR registry

- International, multi center, observational cohort
- All consecutive patients with symptomatic severe AS who have undergone TAVR.



Definition of PPM

By VARC-2 Definition

If BMI < 30 kg/m²

Severity	Indexed EOA (cm²/m²)
Insignificant	> 0.85
Moderate	0.85-0.66
Severe	≤0.65

If BMI ≥30 kg/m²

Severity	Indexed EOA (cm²/m²)
Insignificant	> 0.70
Moderate	0.70 – 0.61
Severe	≤ 0.60

Definition of PPM

By Chinese Society of Echocardiology = VARC-3

If BMI < 30 kg/m²

Severity	Indexed EOA (cm²/m²)
Insignificant	> 0.85
Moderate	0.85-0.66
Severe	≤0.65

If BMI ≥30 kg/m²

Severity	Indexed EOA (cm²/m²)
Insignificant	> 0.70
Moderate	0.70 - 0.56
Severe	≤ 0.55



 Primary outcome: a composite of death from any cause, stroke or rehospitalization at 1 year

Secondary outcomes

- ✓ Each component of the primary outcome
- ✓ Procedural complications
- ✓ In-hospital Events

2015 ~ 2019





Baseline characteristics

	Asian	Non-Asian	P value
Age, year	80.1 ± 5.6	78.8 ± 9.5	0.22
Male	285 (50.9)	310 (57.5)	0.03
BMI, kg/m ²	24.0 ± 3.6	28.5 ± 6.6	<0.001
BSA, m ²	1.60 ± 0.17	1.91 ± 0.29	<0.001
STS score, %	3.3 (2.9-4.9)	4.1 (3.0-6.8)	<0.001
Diabetes	297 (52.8)	186 (34.5)	<0.001
Hypertension	490 (87.2)	445 (82.6)	0.03
Hyperlipidemia	421 (74.9)	382 (70.9)	<0.001
Prior stroke	76 (13.5)	56 (10.4)	0.11
Atrial fibrillation	65 (11.6)	213 (39.5)	<0.001
Peripheral artery disease	18 (3.2)	113 (21.0)	<0.001
Chronic kidney disease	421 (74.9)	158 (29.3)	<0.001
Chronic lung disease	61 (10.9)	82 (15.2)	0.03

Echocardiography/CT findings

	Asian	Non-Asian	P value
Echocardiographic findings			
Bicuspid AV	57 (10.1)	25 (4.6)	0.001
Aortic valve area, mm ²	0.60 (0.50-0.71)	0.70 (0.59-0.84)	<0.001
Mean PG, mmHg	57 ± 21	45 ± 14	<0.001
LV ejection fraction, %	58 ± 11	58 ± 13	0.48
Moderate to severe AR	107 (19.0)	58 (10.8)	<0.001
Moderate to severe MR	66 (11.7)	113 (21.0)	<0.001
Moderate to severe TR	34 (6.0)	84 (15.6)	<0.001
CT findings			
Annular perimeter, mm	75.7 ± 7.5	78.4 ± 8.4	<0.001
Annular area, mm ²	441 ± 87	461 ± 95	<0.001

Procedural characteristics

	Asian	Non-Asian	P value
Valve-in-valve procedure	17 (3.0)	28 (5.2)	0.07
Transfemoral approach	539 (95.9)	517 (95.9)	0.99
Valve type			0.12
Balloon expandable	466 (82.9)	465 (86.3)	
Self expandable	96 (17.1)	74 (13.7)	
Size of the SAPIEN series			0.80
20 mm	8 (1.4)	17 (3.2)	
23 mm	142 (25.3)	149 (27.6)	
26 mm	233 (41.5)	194 (36.0)	
29 mm	81 (14.4)	105 (19.5)	
Conscious sedation	445 (79.2)	292 (54.2)	<0.001
Post-dilation performed	365 (64.9)	120 (22.3)	<0.001

Procedural complications

	Asian	Non-Asian	P value
Moderate to severe PVL	20 (3.6)	1 (0.2)	<0.001
Conversion to open heart surgery	0 (0.0)	3 (0.6)	0.12
Life-threatening/disabling bleeding	23 (4.1)	4 (0.7)	<0.001
Major vascular complication	24 (4.3)	8 (1.5)	0.006
New requirement for dialysis	7 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	0.02
New permanent pacemaker	32 (5.7)	69 (12.8)	<0.001
Myocardial infarction	6 (1.1)	3 (0.6)	0.51
New-onset atrial fibrillation	11 (2.0)	19 (3.5)	0.11
Major or disabling stroke	15 (2.7)	11 (2.0)	0.49
In-hospital death	3 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	0.25

Post-Procedural Echo

30 days after index TAVR

TABLE 3 Postprocedural Echocardiographic Data and Pattern of PPM According to Racial Groups									
	Asian Group			Non-Asian Group					
	Overall (N = 562)	PPM (n = 189)	No PPM (n = 373)	P Value	Overall (n = 539)	PPM (n = 294)	No PPM (n = 245)	P Value	P Value ^a
LV ejection fraction, %	59 ± 9	59 ± 9	60 ± 9	0.16	58 ± 12	$\textbf{57} \pm \textbf{12}$	59 ± 12	0.04	0.02
EOA, cm ²	1.50 ± 0.37	1.18 ± 0.18	$\textbf{1.67} \pm \textbf{0.33}$	<0.001	$\textbf{1.52}\pm\textbf{0.49}$	$\textbf{1.22} \pm \textbf{0.25}$	1.88 ± 0.45	<0.001	0.56
Indexed EOA, cm ² /m ² Moderate PPM ^a Severe PPM ^a	0.94 ± 0.22 149 (26.5) 40 (7.1)	0.73 ± 0.10 149 (78.8) 40 (21.2)	1.05 ± 0.18 0 0	<0.001 NA NA	0.80 ± 0.25 161 (29.8) 144 (24.7)	0.63 ± 0.12 161 (54.8) 133 (45.2)	1.01 ± 0.20 0 0	<0.001 NA NA	<0.001 NA NA
Peak velocity, m/s	$\textbf{2.5} \pm \textbf{0.5}$	$\textbf{2.7} \pm \textbf{0.5}$	$\textbf{2.4} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	<0.001	$\textbf{2.4} \pm \textbf{0.5}$	$\textbf{2.5} \pm \textbf{0.5}$	$\textbf{2.2} \pm \textbf{0.5}$	<0.001	<0.001
Pressure gradient, mm Hg ≥20 ≥40	13 ± 5 68 (12.1) 1 (0.2)	16 ± 6 43 (22.8) 1 (0.5)	12 ± 4 25 (6.7) 0 (0.0)	<0.001 <0.001 0.34	12 ± 6 47 (8.7) 0 (0.0)	14 ± 6 41 (13.9) 0 (0.0)	10 ± 4 6 (2.4) 0 (0.0)	<0.001 <0.001 >0.99	<0.001 0.70 0.51
Paravalvular leakage, moderate to severe	20 (3.6)	4 (2.1)	16 (4.3)	0.19	1 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.4)	0.46	0.45
Moderate to severe MR	28 (5.0)	10 (5.3)	18 (4.8)	0.81	26 (4.8)	13 (4.4)	13 (5.3)	0.63	0.28
Moderate to severe TR	26 (4.6)	9 (4.8)	17 (4.6)	0.91	34 (6.3)	19 (6.5)	15 (6.1)	0.87	0.51

Values are mean ± SD or n (%). ^aP value for comparison between the Asian group and the non-Asian group.

EOA = effective orifice area; NA = not available; other abbreviations as in Table 1.

Prevalence of PPM

By VARC-2 criteria

Prosthesis-Patient Mismatch Asian 100 7.1 Severe P<0.001 90 24.7 26.5 Moderate 8 0 Prevalence (%) 70 None 29.8 60 Moderate PPM 30% 50 40 66.4 3 0 45.5 2 0 10 0 Asian Non-Asian

Severe PPM 7% Moderate PPM 27% **Non-Asian Severe PPM 25%**

TCTAP



Prevalence of PPM

By Chinese society of echocardiology criteria = VARC-3 criteria

Severe

None

Moderate











Predictors for PPM

							ł
	Overall Group (N = 1,101)		Asian Group ($n = 562$)		Non-Asian Group (n = 539)		l
	OR (95% CI)	P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value	
Race: Asian vs non-Asian (referent)	0.43 (0.31-0.60)	<0.001	-	-	-	-	
Age ≥80 y	0.81 (0.61-1.06)	0.12	0.98 (0.66-1.46)	0.92	0.66 (0.45-0.98)	0.04	
BMI	1.03 (1.01-1.05)	0.046	1.06 (1.01-1.12)	0.03	1.00 (0.97-1.03)	0.87	Γ
BSA, per 0.1 m ²	1.43 (1.30-1.56)	<0.001	1.56 (1.36-1.79)	<0.001	1.37 (1.22-1.53)	<0.001	
Prior CABG	1.52 (1.01-2.30)	0.047	1.71 (0.75-3.91)	0.21	1.44 (0.88-2.45)	0.14	
Atrial fibrillation or flutter	1.26 (0.92-1.72)	0.15	0.90 (049-1.64)	0.73	1.47 (1.00-2.15)	0.05	Γ
Chronic kidney disease	0.97 (0.73-1.30)	0.85	0.74 (0.47-1.16)	0.18	1.27 (0.85-1.89)	0.24	
Aortic valve area	0.27 (0.12-0.60)	0.001	0.22 (0.05-1.02)	0.053	0.29 (0.11-0.75)	0.01	
Mean pressure gradient, per 10 mm Hg	0.99 (0.91-1.07)	0.79	0.94 (0.84-1.05)	0.29	1.09 (0.95-1.26)	0.23	Γ
Bicuspid aortic valve	0.67 (0.40-1.13)	0.13	0.79 (0.40-1.57)	0.50	0.63 (0.27-1.45)	0.28	
LV ejection fraction ≤40%	0.76 (0.49-1.18)	0.22	0.76 (0.39-1.48)	0.43	0.80 (0.44-1.46)	0.47	
Moderate to severe TR at baseline	1.29 (0.84-1.99)	0.24	2.52 (1.16-5.46)	0.02	0.97 (0.58-1.63)	0.91	
Valve perimeter	0.94 (0.88-1.01)	0.10	0.97 (0.87-1.09)	0.64	0.94 (0.86-1.02)	0.11	
Valve area, per 100 mm ²	1.22 (0.67-2.23)	0.52	0.81 (0.30-2.21)	0.68	1.45 (0.70-2.97)	0.31	
Balloon-expandable THV	1.37 (0.94-2.02)	0.11	1.31 (0.76-2.26)	0.32	1.60 (0.92-2.78)	0.10	
Postdilation performed	0.74 (0.55-0.99)	0.049	0.87 (0.58-1.33)	0.53	0.72 (0.46-1.12)	0.14	

OR = odds ratio; THV = transcatheter heart valve; other abbreviations as in Table 1.

Clinical impacts of PPM



No significant difference between PPM and no PPM group regardless of racial group.

Clinical impacts of severe PPM By VARC-2 criteria

	Unadjusted HR (95% CI)				
	Marginal analysis	I			
	Overall	Asian Non-Asian		Interaction P	
Primary outcome				0.76	
No PPM	Reference	Reference	Reference		
Moderate PPM	0.93(0.71-1.22)	0.99(0.67-1.48)	0.88(0.61-1.27)		
Severe PPM	0.88(0.62-1.24)	1.07(0.54-2.14)	0.81(0.54-1.21)		
Death				0.30	
No PPM	Reference	Reference	Reference		
Moderate PPM	0.75(0.41-1.36)	1.08(0.49-2.36)	0.50(0.20-1.25)		
Severe PPM	0.96(0.48-1.92)	0.46(0.06-3.45)	1.02(0.47-2.20)		

No significant difference between severe PPM and no PPM group regardless of racial group.

Clinical impacts of severe PPM By VARC-2 criteria

	Unadjusted HR (95% CI)					
	Marginal analysis	I				
	Overall	Asian	Non-Asian	Interaction P		
Stroke				0.19		
No PPM	Reference	Reference	Reference			
Moderate PPM	0.73(0.33-1.64)	0.43(0.13-1.49)	1.29(0.39-4.21)			
Severe PPM	0.96(0.35-2.61)	1.70(0.50-5.82)	0.62(0.12-3.06)			
Rehospitalization				0.73		
No PPM	Reference	Reference	Reference			
Moderate PPM	1.00(0.74-1.34)	1.06(0.69-1.62)	0.94(0.63-1.41)			
Severe PPM	0.84(0.57-1.24)	1.08(0.52-2.24)	0.77(0.49-1.21)			

No significant difference between severe PPM and no PPM group regardless of racial group.

Study limitations

- Selection bias: a non-randomized, observational study
- Inter-site variability: multicenter registry
- Weak generality: mainly balloon expandable valves (>80%) and high volume centers
- No core laboratory evaluation for echocardiographic or computed tomographic data
- Relatively small sample size and short-term follow-up period

Take home message

- Asian population had a significantly lower incidence of PPM than non-Asian population.
- In our observational cohort, the MACE was similar between the PPM and no PPM groups regardless of race (Asian vs. non-Asian population).
 However the clinical impact of PPM after TAVR is still controversial.
- Further long-term follow-up studies are required to define universal and clinically relevant PPM and address its long-term clinical outcomes and related racial disparity.