# **TEER Guidance for New Centers**

# Patient Selection for MitraClip : DMR and FMR

## Sung-Ji Park, MD, PhD **Director of HVSI Imaging Center,** Heart Vascular Stroke Institute, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine

Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine

SAMSUNG SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER





# Outlines

1



### 2 Anatomically suitable MV anatomy for TEER

SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

# **Outlines**



### 2 Anatomically suitable MV anatomy for TEER

SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

# **Current Guideline**



### **SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER**

Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine

# **Current Guideline**

2020 ACC/AHA Guideline for the Management of Patients With Valvular Heart Disease

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

### SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

![](_page_4_Figure_7.jpeg)

# **TEER Highlights from ACC/AHA VHD Guidelines**

## • TEER for primary MR

- -2014 Class 2b  $\rightarrow$  2020 Class 2A
- Recommendation of TEER expanded to include surgical high-risk pts
- Condition for optimal GDMT for pts is removed

## TEER for secondary MR

- **New 2020 Class 2A**
- Recommended for a COAPT-like subsets for severe 2ndary MR pts.
  - 20%<LVEF<50%, LVESD ≤ 70mm, PASP ≤ 70mmHg
  - Persistent symptoms while GDMT
- Optimal GDMT by a cardiologist expert

![](_page_5_Picture_11.jpeg)

**COAPT** criteria Indication CoR IIa LoE B for M-TEER in SMR

![](_page_5_Picture_16.jpeg)

- Severe SMR Optimised HF treatments according to 2021 ESC guidelines - NYHA Class II, III or ambulatory IV

- LVEF 20-50%
- LV end-systolic diameter ≤70 mm
- At least one HF hospitalisation within the previous year or increased NP levels<sup>a</sup>
- Anatomy judged suitable for M-TEER<sup>b</sup>

- Haemodynamic instability<sup>c</sup>
- Stage D HF<sup>d</sup>
- Moderate or severe RV dysfunction
- Systolic pulmonary pressure >70 mmHg
- COPD requiring oxygen or steroid
- Coronary, aortic or tricuspid valve disease requiring surgery
- Hypertrophic, restrictive or infiltrative cardiomyopathy

## FMR : Proportionate and Disproportionate MR

## MITRA-FR like subset Proportionate MR

## COAPT like subset Disproportionate <u>MR</u>

![](_page_6_Picture_3.jpeg)

LV 75.9/63.1 mm LVEF 29% ERO 0.57 cm<sup>2</sup> RV 55.8 ml

![](_page_6_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_6.jpeg)

JAMA Cardiol. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2019.5971

![](_page_6_Picture_8.jpeg)

LV 59.8/42 mm LVEF 49% ERO 0.33 cm<sup>2</sup> RV 51.2 ml

# **Determinants of TEER efficacy in FMR**

Optimal	Conditionally suitable	
NYHA class II-III	NYHA class IV	NYH
Non-ischemic CMP	Ischemic CMP	ICMI (>30
Disproportionate MR EROA/LVEDV ratio ≥ 0.14 LVEDV index <96mL/m <sup>2</sup>	Proportionate MR	Adva ERC
Preserved RV function	<b>RV dysfunction with CR</b>	RV c
No pulmonary hypertension	Reversible pul.HT	Irrev
ECV on cardiac MR <30%	ECV on cardiac MR >30%	NT p

Adapted from Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 2021;8:585415

## Unsuitable

- A class IV, frequent HHF
- P with large infarct size %)
- anced LV ds (pVO2<10ml/kg/min) OA/LVEDV ratio ≤ 0.12
- dysfunction without CR
- versible pul.HT
- oroBNP>10,000 pg/mL

# **Outlines**

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

### 2 Anatomically suitable MV anatomy for TEER

## Assessment of TEER during procedure 3

SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

# Suitable MV morphology for TEER

## 2020 Focused Update of 2017 ACC expert consensus decision pathway

TABLE 7	Feasibility of Tra	inscatheter Edge-to-Edge Clip Repair	
		Favorable Features*	Less
Location of Le	eaflet Pathology	Noncommissural pathology (medial, middle, lateral segments)	Commissural segm
Calcification		No or minimal calcification	<ul> <li>Severe leaflet zone</li> <li>Severe annula</li> </ul>
Mean MV Gra	dient	Transmitral gradient <4 mm	Mitral stenosis (rhe
MVA		MVA $\geq$ 4.0 cm <sup>2</sup>	$MVA < 4.0 \text{ cm}^2$
Grasping Zone	e Length	>10 mm	<7 mm
		TISO.2 MIO	TEE X8-2t 27Hz 11cm xPlane 45% 4383 P Off Gen Gen PAT T: 37.0C

Area 5.46 cm<sup>2</sup>

MVA 5.46 cm2

SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

P2 length= 1.30 cm

### Favorable or Unfavorable Features\*

nents, leaflet perforations, or clefts

calcification or calcification in area of grasping

r calcification

eumatic or calcific; mean mitral gradient >5 mm Hg)

![](_page_9_Picture_10.jpeg)

### JACC 2020;75:2236-70

# Suitable MV morphology for TEER

## 2020 Focused Update of 2017 ACC expert consensus decision pathway

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

### SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

# **Criteria for MV-TEER**

## Complexity of valve morphology and center experience as criteria for MV-TEER

Repair! Anatomical suitability for M-TEER				
Non-o Ideal fo	complex or M-TEER	Complex Suitable for M-TEER	Very comple Challenging for M	
- Central pathol - No calcificatio - MVA >4.0 cm <sup>4</sup> - Posterior leafle - Tenting height - Flail gap <10 - Flail width <1	logy et >10 mm : <10 mm mm 5 mm	<ul> <li>Isolated commissural lesion (A1/P1 or A3/P3)</li> <li>Annular calcification without leaflet involvement</li> <li>MVA 3.5-4.0 cm<sup>2</sup></li> <li>Posterior leaflet length 7-10 mm</li> <li>Tenting height &gt;10 mm</li> <li>Asymmetric tethering<sup>26</sup></li> <li>Coaptation reserve &lt;3 mm<sup>24</sup></li> <li>Leaflet-to-anulus index &lt;1.2<sup>25</sup></li> <li>Flail width &gt;15 mm</li> <li>Flail gap &gt;10 mm</li> <li>Two jets from leaflet indentations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commissural lesion w jets</li> <li>Annular calcification w involvement</li> <li>Fibrotic leaflets</li> <li>Wide jet involving the coaptation</li> <li>MVA 3.0-3.5 cm<sup>2</sup></li> <li>Posterior leaflet length</li> <li>Barlow's disease</li> <li>Cleft</li> <li>Failed surgical annulo</li> </ul>	

### SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Favorable MV morphology for TEER : DMR

## **Primary MR**

## 85/F Primary MR

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

### SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

# Favorable MV morphology for TEER : FMR

## Secondary MR

## Coaptation depth <11mm Coaptation length ≥ 2mm

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

Coaptation depth : distance from the point of coaptation to the annular plane

Coaptation length : length of residual leaflet below the point of coaptation

JASE 2018;31:434-53

### **SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER**

## 76/F HFrEF with severe Secondary MR

### LV 75.9/63.1 mm, LVEF 29%

![](_page_13_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_12.jpeg)

# Favorable MV morphology for TEER : FMR

## **76/F HFrEF with severe Secondary MR**

## 1<sup>st</sup> MitraClip(XTW) implantation

### LV 75.9/63.1 mm, LVEF 29%

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_10.jpeg)

### SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

![](_page_14_Picture_12.jpeg)

## 2<sup>nd</sup> MitraClip(NTW) implantation

# **Unfavorable MV morphology for TEER : DMR**

## 81/M Primary MR, AF

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

## **Primary MR**

Flail width <15mm Flail gap < 10mm

A 1 & A2 prolapse with chordae rupture

## => MVR & Maze OP

## 73/F Primary MR, ESRD on HD

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

### SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

### P1 prolapse with chordae rupture Leaflet thickening and chordae calcification

### Less Favorable or Unfavorable Features\*

Commissural segments, leaflet perforations, or clefts

Severe leaflet calcification or calcification in area of grasping

Severe annular calcification

## 73/F Primary MR, ESRD on HD

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

### SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

0 (Derived)

TEE X8-2t 10Hz 9.7cm

3D Zoom

Gen XRES ON

PAT T: 37.0C TEE T: 38.0C

3D Beats 1

60 180

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

## 1<sup>st</sup> Clip (XT) 2<sup>nd</sup> Clip (XT)

![](_page_17_Picture_10.jpeg)

## 74/M Atrial MR, HCMP(1997 Dx), AF (1997 Dx) **Rt. MCA Inf (2009 Dx)**

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

LV 57.3/36.3 mm

**EF 62%** 

### LAVI=269.2 ml/m<sup>2</sup>

![](_page_18_Picture_8.jpeg)

### SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

![](_page_18_Picture_10.jpeg)

## 74/M Atrial MR, HCMP(1997 Dx), AF (1997 Dx)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER**

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

## 74/M Atrial MR, HCMP(1997 Dx), AF (1997 Dx) **Rt. MCA Inf (2009 Dx)**

## Case : LJS Transthoracic Echocardiography

Date : 2023-01-14

Post. MV annulus calcification & slightly prolapsed AMVL with severe eccentric MR

MR PISA r= 0.92cm, MV ERO= 40.5mm<sup>2</sup>, MR RV= 50.38ml, MR RV by vol= 45ml, Pulmonic vein systolic reversal flow : (-) LV size : LVIDd = 57.3mm, LVIDs = 36.3mm

LVEDV= 161.93ml, LVESV= 60.02ml)

- LVEF : 62.9%, LA : 85.5mm, LAVI : 262.9ml/m<sup>2</sup>
- RV systolic pressure : 45.7mmHg
- Aortic regurgitation : minimal
- Mitral regurgitation : moderate to severe
- Tricuspid regurgitation : mild

## Case : LJS Transesophageal Echocardiography

- Date : 2023-01-16
- 1) Severe MR with PMVL tethering (P2 portion)
- 12. MitraClip information
- PMVL tethering (P2 portion)
- Non-coaptation gap: max 0.4cm
- PMVL length : 1.1~ 1.23cm
- Septal puncture height : >4.5cm
- MV area : 5.48cm<sup>2</sup>, 7.03cm<sup>2</sup>, meanPG : 2.8mmHg
- Limitation : Huge LA, PFO

![](_page_20_Picture_26.jpeg)

-- Posterior annulus calfication, calcification on chorda -- PISA radius= 1.06cm, MV meanPG= 2.8mmHg -- Systolic reversal flow into LAA (+), left pulmonary vein (+)

# 74/M Atrial MR, HCMP(1997 Dx), AF (1997 Dx)

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

Atrial fMR Huge enlarged LA (LAVI 262.9 ml/m<sup>2</sup>) Multiple jets at A2/P2 (1.5, 2.5) NonCoaptiation gap 3~4mm

plan : 1st Clip with XTW at 1.5 area 2nd Clip with XTW at 2.5 area Septal puncture; Inferior-posterior as much as possible

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

MitraClip<sup>™</sup> G4 NT/NTW MitraClip<sup>™</sup> G4 NT/X (C) 9 mm 4 mr MitraClip<sup>™</sup> G4 XT/XTW MitraClip<sup>™</sup> G4 NTW/XTW 6 m 18 mm and the second

# 74/M Atrial MR, HCMP(1997 Dx), AF (1997 Dx)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

### 1<sup>st</sup> Clip (XTW) at 1.5 area

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

### **SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER**

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_7.jpeg)

# 74/M Atrial MR, HCMP(1997 Dx), AF (1997 Dx)

### 2<sup>nd</sup> Clip (XTW) at 2.5 area

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

1<sup>st</sup> Clip (XTW) at 1.5 area 2<sup>nd</sup> Clip (XTW) at 2.5 area

### SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_7.jpeg)

# **Special Thanks to SMC SHD TEER team**

# Imaging team

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

**Jihoon Kim** 

# Intervention team

![](_page_24_Picture_7.jpeg)

Joo Yong Hahn

![](_page_24_Picture_9.jpeg)

**Ki Hong Choi** 

VHD Anesthesiology team

## **VHD** surgery team

![](_page_24_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_15.jpeg)

Dong-Seop Jeong

Suryeun Chung

![](_page_24_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_20.jpeg)

# Selection of the optimal candidate for MitraClip is the best way to succeed in the procedure.