# AP VALVES & SH 2023

# My Experience on ACURATE neo2 and its Application for Lifetime Management

#### W. Kim, MD Kerckhoff Heart Center, Germany Justus-Liebig University of Giessen, Germany



### Disclosure

Proctor:

• Abbott, Boston Scientific, Meril Life Sciences

Speaker fees/consultant/advisory board:

• Abbott, Astra Zeneca, Boston Scientific, Daiichi, Edwards Lifesciences, HI-D Imaging, Medtronic, Meril, RCS Technologies, Shockwave Med.

Institutional research funding:

Boston Scientific



### **Kerckhoff Heart Center ACURATE experience**



### Is there a perfect valve?



Ease of use: 💎	
v	
PVL: 👍	
PPI: 💎	
Gradients:	
Coronary access: 🖓	
Femoral access: 佔佔	

Baggio S, et al. EuroIntervention 2022 Pellegrini C., et al. EuroIntervention, 2022

### **ACURATE neo center learning curve**

Despite the limitations of the first gen ACURATE neo, with careful sizing and selection it was possible to achieve excellent outcomes!

Variable	Quartile 1 (Case 1–250)	Quartile 2 (Case 251–500)	Quartile 3 (Case 501–750)	Quartile 4 (Case 751-1000)	р
Cover index (%)	3.87 [1.86; 6.37]	5.13 [3.04; 7.30]	5.38 [3.39; 7.52]	6.17 [4.20; 7.90]	<0.001
Aortic valve calcium score (AU)	2395 [1646; 3111]	2049 [1494; 2872]	1955 [1385; 2893]	1989 [1280; 2726]	<0.001
Compact peri-annular Ca <sup>++</sup> formation	64 (25.6%)	41 (16.4%)	42 (16.8%)	29 (11.6%)	0.001
Implantation depth at LCC (mm)	5.0 [3.0; 6.0]	6.0 [5.0; 7.0]	6.0 [4.0; 6.0]	5.0 [4.0; 6.0]	<0.001
Device success (VARC-2)	171 (85.5%)	177 (88.5%)	181 (90.5%)	186 (93.0%)	0.002
≥moderate PVL at discharge	18/243 (7.4%)	7/241 (2.9%)	9/246 (3.7%)	2/246 (0.8%)	0.001
Permanent pacemaker	25 (10.0%)	26 (10.4%)	26 (10.4%)	17 (6.8%)	0.444

**STRUCTURAL HEAD** 

Kim et al, AJC 2020

### Limitations of ACURATE neo 1st gen

Radial force

high









Aortic valve calcification

low



Appropriate Sizing

ACURATE neo Size	Annular Range According to Official Sizing Recommendation (mm)	Perimeter-Derived Annulus in Diastole (mm) (Oversizing)	Perimeter-Derived Annulus in Systole (mm) (Oversizing)
Small	21.0-23.0	20.0-22.0 (13.0%-4.4%)	20.0-22.4 (13.0%-2.6%)
Medium	23.0-25.0	22.1-23.9 (11.6%-4.4%)	22.5-24.3 (10.0%-2.8%)
Large	25.0-27.0	24.0-25.8 (11.1%-4.4%)	24.4-26.3 (9.6%-2.6%)



Correct positioning

#### Kim et al, JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2019

PVL

Pop-out

Malexpansion

### Limitations of neo 1st gen => neo2



Kim et al, JIC 2022

Charitos, Kim, JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2023

Kim et al, IJC 2022

# **Radial force & specific distribution**



AP VALVES & ELER

Finotello et al: J Mech Behav Biomed Mater 2021; 123: 1044772

# High position => not necessarily bad





AP VALVES & EDEE STRUCTURAL HEART

### **ACURATE neo spectrum**



# **Case: severe tortuosity**



# Case: Commissural alignment for STJ plaque protrusion



# Case: Commissural alignment for STJ plaque protrusion



### **Case: severe calcification**

Perpendicular Plane











# **Case: bicuspid valve**



**Perpendicular Plane** 









# **Case: pure AR**









### **Case: Valve-in-valve**

Homograft (Medtronic Freestyle)



ID Type	Label	Value
1 Polygo	n Min. Ø	22,2 mm
	Max. Ø	24,8 mm
	Avg. Ø	23,5 mm
	Area derived Ø	23,2 mm
	Perimeter derived Ø	23,3 mm
	Area	421,5 mm <sup>2</sup>
	Perimeter	73,1 mm

AP VALVES & FEDER STRUCTURAL HEAR



CVRF

# **Case: Valve-in-valve**





AP VALVES & 2020 STRUCTURAL HEART

# **Case: redo TAVI ACURATE in Corevalve**





# **Case: redo TAVI ACURATE in Sapien**







# **TAV in ACURATE considerations**



### Conclusion

- The ACURATE platform is characterized by a specific distribution of the radial force.
  - $\Rightarrow$  Should be considered for positioning.
  - $\Rightarrow$  Explains the low pacemaker rate.
- The ACURATE neo2 addresses some limitations of 1<sup>st</sup> gen ACURATE neo except for the relatively low radial force => ACURATE prime!

 Expansion of indications and aspects of lifetime management include valve-in-valve, more severe calcification, pure AR, and TAV-in-TAV.









#### Danke für Ihre Aufmerksamkeit!







### Small anatomy



reipenuiculai riane

DType	Label	Value
Polygor	Min. Ø	17,0 mm
	Max. Ø	22,3 mm
	Avg. Ø	19,7 mm
	Area derived Ø	19,2 mm
	Perimeter derived Ø	19,6 mm
	Area	289,3 mr
	Perimeter	61,6 mm
syst		





70,0%

	Value	ID Type Label	Value
	22,6 mm	1 Polygon Min. Ø	20,9 mm
	26,1 mm	Max. Ø	22,6 mm
	24,4 mm	Avg. Ø	21,7 mm
ed Ø	24,8 mm	Area derived Ø	21,4 mm
derived Ø	26,0 mm	Perimeter derived Ø	21,5 mm
	484,2 mm <sup>2</sup>	Area	360,5 mm
	81,8 mm	Perimeter	67,5 mm





Area derive Perimeter Area



# Further expansion: AR & gradient











