<sup>29</sup> TCTAP2024

# **TAVR in Moderate AS with Heart Failure**

Michael Kang-Yin Lee Head of Cardiology, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Hong Kong Founding President, HKSTENT President-Elect, APSIC





## **Disclosure**

Speaker's name : Michael Kang-Yin Lee

**TAVI** Proctor: Medtronic, Edwards, Abbott, TricValve, JenaValve Trilogy

☑ LAAO Proctor: Abbott, Boston Scientific







**TCTAP2024** 

"Low-risk"





"Low-risk"

# Grading of AS severity

	Sclerosis	Mild AS	Moderate AS	Severe AS
Maximum velocity (m/s)	≤2.5	2.6-2.9	3.0-4.0	≥4.0
Mean pressure gradient (mmHg)		<20	20-40	≥40
AVA (cm <sup>2</sup> )		>1.5	1.0-1.5	<1.0
AVA indexed $(cm^2/m^2)$		>0.85	0.60–0.85	<0.60
Dimensionless index		>0.50	0.25-0.50	<0.25
CT calcium score			Men 800–2000 AU Women 400–1200 AU	Men ≥ 2000 AU Women ≥ 1200 AU
Valvulo-arterial impedance (mmHg/mL/m <sup>2</sup> )		<3.5	3.5-4.5	>4.5

*J. Bax, et al. Eur Heart J*, Volume 45, Issue 11, 14 March 2024, Pages 912–921, https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehae050



#### **Recommendations for Timing of Intervention of AS** Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in Online Data Supplements 4, 6-10.

	COR	LOE	RECOMMENDATIONS
	1	A	1. In adults with severe high-gradient AS (Stage D1) and symptoms of exertional dyspnea, HF, angina, syncope, or presyncope by history or on exercise testing, AVR is indicated (74–80).
	1	B-NR	2. In asymptomatic patients with severe AS and an LVEF $<$ 50% (Stage C2), AVR is indicated (81-84).
5 Class 1 (Severe AS)	1	B-NR	3. In asymptomatic patients with severe AS (Stage C1) who are undergoing cardiac surgery for other in- dications, AVR is indicated (57,63,85-87).
	1	B-NR	4. In symptomatic patients with low-flow, low-gradient severe AS with reduced LVEF (Stage D2), AVR is recommended (88-95).
	1	B-NR	5. In symptomatic patients with low-flow, low-gradient severe AS with normal LVEF (Stage D3), AVR is recommended if AS is the most likely cause of symptoms (96-98).
	2a	B-NR	6. In apparently asymptomatic patients with severe AS (Stage C1) and low surgical risk, AVR is reasonable when an exercise test demonstrates decreased exercise tolerance (normalized for age and sex) or a fall in systolic blood pressure of ≥10 mm Hg from baseline to peak exercise (61,63,64,99).
	2a	B-R	7. In asymptomatic patients with very severe AS (defined as an aortic velocity of ≥5 m/s) and low surgical risk, AVR is reasonable (86,100–104).
4 Class 2a (Severe AS)	2a	B-NR	8. In apparently asymptomatic patients with severe AS (Stage C1) and low surgical risk, AVR is reasonable when the serum B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) level is >3 times normal (101,105-107).
	2a	B-NR	<ol> <li>In asymptomatic patients with high-gradient severe AS (Stage C1) and low surgical risk, AVR is reasonable when serial testing shows an increase in aortic velocity ≥0.3 m/s per year (108,109).</li> </ol>
2 Class 2b	2b	B-NR	10. In asymptomatic patients with severe high-gradient AS (Stage C1) and a progressive decrease in LVEF on at least 3 serial imaging studies to <60%, AVR may be considered (81-84,102).
(1 Severe AS) (1 Moderate AS)	2b	C-EO	11. In patients with moderate AS (Stage B) who are undergoing cardiac surgery for other indications, AVR may be considered.



Otto, Nishimura, Bonow et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2021 Feb, 77 (4) e25-e197

# Moderate AS Guidelines: Class 2B

2b C-EO 11. In patients with moderate AS (Stage B) who are undergoing cardiac surgery for other indications, AVR may be considered.

**TCTAP2024** 

Otto, Nishimura, Bonow et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2021 Feb, 77 (4) e25–e197



# **Follow-up in Patients With Aortic Stenosis**

Aortic Severity	Follow-up Recommendation
Mild (Vmax 2.0–2.9 m/s)	Every 3-5 years
Moderate (Vmax 3.0–3.9 m/s)	Every 1-2 years
Severe Asymptomatic (Vmax ≥4 m/s)	Every 6-12 months
Vmax = peak aortic velocity. Adapted from Otto et al.	

**TCTAP2024** 

Otto, Nishimura, Bonow et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2021 Feb, 77 (4) e25-e197



# AS is a rapidly progressive disease

Annualized increase in:

- Mean pressure gradient of 4.1mmHg
- Decrease in AVA of 0.08cm<sup>2</sup>
- Worsening of aortic valve calcification (by CT) of 158.5AU

Increasing baseline severity of AS predictive of higher rates of progression





# Moderate AS as Bad as Severe AS?

#### Poor Long-Term Survival in Patients With Moderate Aortic Stenosis

Geoff Strange, PHD,<sup>®</sup> Simon Stewart, PHD,<sup>b</sup> David Celermajer, MD, PHD,<sup>c</sup> David Prior, MBBS, PHD,<sup>d</sup> Gregory M. Scalia, MBBS (Hows), MMEDSC,<sup>c</sup> Thomas Marwick, MBBS, PHD,<sup>f</sup> Marcus Ilton, MD,<sup>g</sup> Majo Joseph, MBBS,<sup>h</sup> Jim Codde, PHD,<sup>i</sup> David Playford, MBBS, PHD,<sup>a</sup> on behalf of the National Echocardiography Database of Australia contributing sites



# Why?

Misclassification?

-Challenges of Echocardiogram to

diagnose severe AS?

-Rapid conversion to severe AS?

-Already too much cardiac

damage?

-Too late intervention?

Strange et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019 Oct 15;74(15):1851-1863.



### Predictors of Mortality or Adverse Cardiac Events in Moderate AS

- Atrial fibrillation
- Low EF (<60%)
- Severe Diastolic Dysfunction
- Fast progression of AS (>0.3m/s/year PV)
- Low SVI (<35cc/m<sup>2</sup>)
- Elevated BNP
- Elevated AV Calcium Score by CT

Kennedy et al. JACC 1991;17:313-9 Otto et al. Circulation 1997 95;9,2262-2220 Rosenhek et al. EHJ. 2004; 25,199-205 Yechoor et al. JTCS 2013; 145:25,1550-3 Lancelotti et al. JAMA Cardiol. 2018; 3 (11);1060-8 Strange et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;74:1851–63 Delesalle et al. JAHA 2019;8 Murphy et al. Am J Cardiol.2019;124:1924–1931 Benfari et al. JASE; 2019:34 ;3:237-244 Ito et al. JASE 2021; 34(3):248-256 Samad et al. EHJ; 2016: 37, 2276–2286 Van Gils et al. JACC 2017;69:2383–92 Moon et al. KCJ; 2020 50(9):791-800

#### Patients with HFrEF stratified by AS severity



тстар2024

Kathleen R. Khan et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2023; 81:1235-1244.





**TCTAP2024** 

Kathleen R. Khan et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2023; 81:1235-1244.



JACC: CARDIOVASCULAR INTERVENTIONS © 2022 BY THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER

# Impact of Moderate Aortic Stenosis on Long-Term Clinical Outcomes



### **A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis**

Augustin Coisne, MD, PHD,<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup> Andrea Scotti, MD,<sup>a,b,\*</sup> Azeem Latib, MD,<sup>b</sup> David Montaigne, MD, PHD,<sup>c</sup> Edwin C. Ho, MD,<sup>b</sup> Sebastian Ludwig, MD,<sup>a,d</sup> Thomas Modine, MD, PHD,<sup>e</sup> Philippe Généreux, MD,<sup>f</sup> Jeroen J. Bax, MD, PHD,<sup>g</sup> Martin B. Leon, MD,<sup>a</sup> Christophe Bauters, MD,<sup>h</sup> Juan F. Granada, MD<sup>a</sup>

25 studies12,143 moderate AS patients3.7 years of follow-up





### **Meta-analysis of adverse events**



Similar results were obtained performing an alternative meta-analysis excluding the studies using definitions of moderate AS no longer supported by current guidelines (n=4)

Augustin Coisne et al. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2022; 15:1664-1674.

### Meta-regression analysis of all-cause mortality

Covariate	β	Standard Error	Lower bound	Upper bound	p value
Year of publication	-0.006	0.014	-0.034	0.023	0.684
Age	0.039	0.034	-0.030	0.109	0.253
BMI	-0.167	0.108	-0.422	0.087	0.164
Sex (female)	-0.005	0.009	-0.024	0.014	0.601
Hypertension	-0.001	0.023	-0.050	0.048	0.967
Diabetes	0.039	0.015	0.007	0.071	0.019
Atrial Fibrillation	0.026	0.019	-0.015	0.067	0.194
Coronary Artery Disease	0.026	0.009	0.006	0.046	0.017
Stroke	0.005	0.024	-0.049	0.059	0.841
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.024	0.034	-0.058	0.105	0.517
NYHA class III/IV	0.038	0.010	0.015	0.061	0.004
Symptoms	0.017	0.004	0.009	0.025	<0.001
Aortic Valve Area	-0.111	1.349	-2.958	2.736	0.935
Mean Aortic Gradient	-0.025	0.029	-0.086	0.037	0.408
LV Ejection Fraction	-0.049	0.017	-0.085	-0.014	0.009

Diabetes, coronary artery disease, presence of symptoms, and LV dysfunction were associated with a significant impact on the overall estimate of allcause death

**TCTAP2024** 

Augustin Coisne et al. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2022; 15:1664-1674.



### Subgroup analysis



All-cause mortality was higher in patients with reduced LVEF (<50%) than with normal LVEF, respectively 16.5 (95%CI: 5.2-52.3) and 4.2 (95%CI: 1.4-12.8) per 100 patients/year.



# Meta-analysis on the comparison with other stages of aortic stenosis



Compared to patients with moderate AS, the incidence rate difference of all-cause mortality was -3.9 per 100 patients/year for patients with no/mild AS

+2.2 per 100 patients/year for patients with severe AS





# Staging Classification of Patients with AS: Specific Criteria

Stage 0 No damage	Stage 1 LV damage	Stage 2 LA/Mitral damage	Stage 3 PA/Tricuspid damage	Stage 4 RV damage
	Increased LV Mass Index >115 g/m <sup>2</sup> Male >95 g/m <sup>2</sup> Female	Indexed left atrial volume >34mL/m <sup>2</sup>	PAS ≥60mmhg	Moderate-Severe RV dysfunction
	E/e' >14	Moderate-Severe MR	Moderate-Severe TR	
	EF <50%	Atrial Fibrillation		

Patients hierarchically classified based on the presence of at least one variable in the highest stage (independent, not additive)





# Extent of Cardiac Damage 1-Year Death After AVR; N=1,661 pts.

#### **Severe AS with Symptoms**



**TCTAP2024** 

Généreux et al. Eur Heart J 2017 Jul 21



### Extent of Cardiac Damage Among Moderate AS 5-Year Death; N=1,245 pts.



Amanullah et al. JACC Imaging 2021



#### Symptomatic moderate aortic stenosis should undergo intervention

#### Pro

High mortality in patients with moderate aortic stenosis was found in several studies including large registries

Analyses of observational data suggest association of intervention with improved survival

Moderate stenosis can rapidly progress to severe stenosis

Risk of intervention has become low

Risk factors may help identifying patients likely to benefit from intervention



Symptomatic moderate aortic stenosis





#### Contra

Increased mortality may be related to important comorbidities. Causative relationship between valve stenosis and outcome?

Required randomized controlled trials are still not available

Early and late risks of valve intervention must be weighed against potential benefit

Severe stenosis may be misclassified as moderate:

- low flow-low gradient
- failure to capture highest velocity
- failure to calculate valve area
- additional tests not performed (f.e. CT)
- failure to consider patient's size

*J. Bax, et al. Eur Heart J*, Volume 45, Issue 11, 14 March 2024, Pages 912–921, https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehae050







# **Moderate AS Clinical Trials**





Augustin Coisne et al. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2022; 15:1664-1674.





#### <sup>23\*</sup> TCTAP2024

#### Spitzer et al. AHJ 2016;182:80-88



### **Study Design**







### Inclusion Criteria



• <u>></u>65 Years

#### Moderate AS

•<u>1. Moderate AVA</u> AVA 1.0 – 1.5cm<sup>2</sup> OR AVA < 1.0 cm<sup>2</sup> with AVAi > 0.6 cm<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup> if BMI <30kg/m<sup>2</sup>; OR AVA < 1.0 cm<sup>2</sup> with AVAi > 0.5 cm<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup> if BMI ≥30kg/m<sup>2</sup> AND <u>2. Moderate peak aortic velocity or gradient:</u> Peak velocity 3.0 to < 4.0 m/s OR Mean gradient 20 to < 40mmHg

#### Symptoms

• -or-

### Cardiac Damage or Dysfunction

•1. Evidence of Symptoms
 •NYHA ≥2, dyspnea, angina, syncope

•OR

•2. Evidence of Cardiac Damage or Dysfunction

LVEF <60%</li>
Diastolic dysfunction ≥ Grade 2
Stroke volume index < 35 mL/m<sup>2</sup>

•Persistent atrial fibrillation or any paroxysmal episode within 6 months

NT-ProBNP >3x normal

•Elevated calcium score (>1200 AU for females, >2000 AU for males)



### **EXPAND TAVR II Pivotal**

### Design

- **DESIGN:** Prospective, randomized, parallelassignment, two-arm, multi-center clinical evaluation of the Medtronic Evolut Pro+ or FX System vs. GDMT alone
- OBJECTIVE: To determine safety and effectiveness of Medtronic TAVR in patients with moderate, symptomatic AS
- PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS
   Josep Rodes-Cabau, Paul Sorajja, Stephan Windecker





### **EXPAND TAVR II Pivotal**

### Key inclusion criteria

- Symptoms
- Moderate AS
  - Mean grad, ≥20 to <40 mmHg, and
  - Peak vel., ≥3 to <4 m/s, and
  - AVA, ≥1 to <1.5 cm<sup>2</sup>
- On GDMT
- EF >20%
- HFH in prior yr, GLS ≤15%, E/e' ≥14, or NT-proBNP ≥600

### Key exclusion criteria

- Age <65 years</li>
- Class I surgical indication
- Type 0 or 2 bicuspid
- Type 1 bicuspid w aorta >4.5 cm
- Not suitable for TF TAVR
- Needs coronary revascularization
- Amyloidosis



# **Take Home Message**

- Moderate AS is not benign, esp. with Heart Failure symptoms
- Patients with diabetes, coronary artery disease, presence of symptoms, and reduced LVEF were at higher risk of death.
- Moderate AS with Heart Failure might be as bad as severe AS and warrant earlier AV intervention
- Randomized clinical trials are eagerly awaited to investigate whether moderate AS
  patients might benefit from an early intervention with a reasonable risk-benefit ratio
  in specific population subsets



