

## Zilver PTX (Paclitaxel-Coated Stent): Transparency, Data, and Patient Safety

Hiroyoshi Yokoi, MD Fukuoka Sanno Hospital, Japan



## COI Disclosure First Author : Hiroyoshi Yokoi

1.Consultation fees : none
2.Stock ownership/ Profit : none
3.Patent fees : none
4.Remuneration for lecture : none
5.Manuscript fees: none
6.Trust research/ Joint research funds: none
7.Scholarship fund: none
8.Affiliation with Endowed Department : none
9.Other remuneration such as gifts : none



# **Overview**

- Device design and Study results
- Safety results through 5 years
  - Mortality issue
- Prediction model for freedom from TLR from a multi-study analysis





# **Overview**

- Device design and Study results
- Safety results through 5 years
  Mortality issue
- Prediction model for freedom from TLR from a multi-study analysis





## **Zilver PTX Stent Overview**



#### Coating

Low dose, amorphous coating with no polymer or excipient



#### **Local Drug Delivery**

Short-term drug delivery, no long-term paclitaxel exposure, only BMS remains



#### Long-term data

Only peripheral DES with long-term safety data





# **Zilver PTX Study Design**







# **Patient Demographics and Comorbidities**

	ΡΤΑ	Zilver PTX	<i>p</i> -value
Patients	238	236	
Age (years)	68 ± 11	68 ± 10	0.88
Male	64%	66%	0.70
Height (in)	66 ± 4	67 ± 4	0.55
Weight (lbs)	179 ± 44	180 ± 40	0.62
Diabetes	42%	50%	0.11
High cholesterol	70%	76%	0.12
Hypertension	82%	89%	0.02*
Past/current smoker	84%	86%	0.70

\* Statistically significant



## **Baseline Lesion Characteristics**

		РТА	Zilver PTX	<i>p</i> -value
Lesions		251	247	
Normal-to-normal lesion leng	th (mm)	63 ± 41	66 ± 39	0.36
Stenosed lesion length (mm) <sup>1</sup>	,2	53 ± 40	55 ± 41	0.71
Diameter stenosis (%) <sup>1</sup>	78 ± 17	80 ± 17	0.38	
Total occlusions	Total occlusions			0.20
De novo lesions		94%	95%	0.68
Lesion calcification <sup>1</sup>	None	5%	2%	
	Little	38%	26%	< 0.01*
	Moderate	22%	35%	< 0.01
	Severe	35%	37%	

<sup>1</sup> Angiographic core lab assessment

<sup>2</sup> Region with > 20% diameter stenosis

\* Statistically significant



# **5-year Stent Integrity**

Study Period	Number of New Events	Fracture Rate <sup>1</sup>
Enrollment	0	0.0%
1-year	4	0.9%
3-year	3	1.9%
5-year	0	1.9%

<sup>1</sup> Kaplan-Meier estimates

Zilver PTX has excellent durability in challenging SFA environment





### 5-year Freedom from TLR Zilver PTX vs. Standard Care



in reintervention compared to standard care



### 5-year Primary Patency (PSVR < 2.0) Zilver PTX vs. Standard Care



At 5 years, Zilver PTX demonstrates a 41% reduction in restenosis compared to standard care

<sup>27</sup>**CTAP2024** 



### 5-year Freedom from TLR Provisional Zilver PTX vs. BMS



**TCTAP2024** 

CVRF

### 5-year Primary Patency (PSVR < 2.0) Provisional Zilver PTX vs. BMS



in restenosis compared to BMS



# **Overview**

- Device design and Study results
- Safety results through 5 years
  - Mortality issue

 Prediction model for freedom from TLR from a multi-study analysis





# TRIAL DESIGN Primary Randomization





# Randomization

- RCTs are not designed to ensure balance across numerous baseline risk factors
- Randomization was stratified only by lesion length
  - Stratification by lesion length does not ensure balance across multiple patient comorbidities and demographics





#### INTENT-TO-TREAT

## **Mortality Analysis**



- 5-year vital status for 94% of patients
- DES patients included in PTA group
- Not significant
- Difference may be due to imbalance of risk factors



### **Baseline Mortality Risk Factors**



Risk factors common in PAD patients may collectively contribute to overall patient prognosis

Imbalance of risk factors, despite randomization



### **Baseline Patient Risk Factors for Mortality**

 Combinations of risk factors more prevalent in Zilver PTX primary randomization group (p<0.01)</li>

Risk Factors	PTA Primary Randomization	Zilver PTX Primary Randomization	
1-3	18%	7%	
4-6	50%	56%	
7+	33%	37%	





### INTENT-TO-TREAT Risk Factor Mortality Analysis





### TRIAL DESIGN Secondary Randomization









# **Early Crossover**



<sup>1</sup> One BMS patient received a DES during reintervention within the first year

#### <sup>#</sup> **TCTAP2024**



## **Treatment Results**





40% of patients initially randomized to PTA were actually treated with DES





# ACTUAL TREATMENT Mortality Analysis



All patients analyzed by actual treatment

No mortality signal



#### **ACTUAL TREATMENT**

## **Risk Factor Mortality Analysis**



**MD** Dake

# **Overview**

- Device design and Study results
- Safety results through 5 years
  Mortality issue
- Prediction model for freedom from TLR from a multi-study analysis





## **Global Clinical Program**



2374 patients treated with the Zilver PTX DES





# Aim

Develop a prediction model to determine the impact of patient and lesion factors on freedom from TLR through 5 years for patients who are candidates for Zilver PTX treatment for femoropopliteal lesions



# **Study Characteristics**

	RCT	SAS	China	US PAS	Japan PMS	
Study design	Prospective, multicenter, RCT	Prospective, multicenter, single-arm study	Prospective, multicenter, single-arm study	Prospective, multicenter, single-arm study	Prospective, multicenter, single-arm study	
Number of DES patients	305	787	178	200	904	
Prior stent in SFA	No	Yes (ISR)	No	No	No exclusion criteria	
Lesion length	≤ 140 mm	No exclusion	≤ 140 mm	≤ 140 mm	All patients treated	
Renal exclusion	Serum creatinine > 2.0, renal failure, or dialysis	No exclusion	Chronic renal failure <sup>a</sup> or dialysis	No exclusion	with the DES enrolled (up to enrollment limit)	
Core laboratory	Angiography Duplex Ultrasound X-Ray	X-Ray⁵	Angiography Duplex Ultrasound	Angiography Duplex Ultrasound X-Ray	X-Ray <sup>b</sup>	

<sup>a</sup> eGFR < 30 mLs/ min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>

<sup>b</sup> In the event a stent fracture was reported by an investigative site, an independent core laboratory reviewed the imaging, confirmed the fracture, and classified the fracture by type (I-IV).

# **Results of Combined Studies**



- 2227 cases (94%) with complete data used to generate the model
- 2 years median follow-up time
- Freedom from TLR
  - 90.5% at 1 year
  - 75.2% at 5 years

# **Factors Included in Prediction Model**

Patient Demographics	Lesion Characteristics
Sex	Lesion length
Age	RVD
Diabetes	Popliteal involvement
Hypertension	Total occlusion
Hypercholesterolemia	Calcification
Renal disease	Prior interventions
Smoking status	Number of patent runoff vessels
Rutherford classification	



### **Multivariate Model Results**

Characteristic		Hazard Ratio	p-value
Male		0.760	0.022*
	65-74	0.734	
Age	75-84	0.637	0.002*
	>85	0.398	
Diabetes	•	1.033	0.766
Hypertension		0.927	0.596
Hypercholesterc	olemia	1.126	0.296
Renal disease		1.072	0.578
Concluing status	Past	0.825	0.407
Smoking status	Current	1.020	0.187
Rutherford	CLI	1.429	0.010*
	50-99	1.443	
	100-149	2.066	
Lesion	150-199	2.205	-0.001*
length (mm)	200-249	2.847	<0.001
	250-299	2.899	
	>300	3.454	
RVD (mm)	≥5	0.727	0.006*
Popliteal involve	ment	1.042	0.815
Total occlusion		1.406	0.004*
Coloification	Mild/moderate	0.994	0.945
Calcillcation	Severe	1.078	0.845
Prior interventi	ons	1.815	<0.001*
Number of runoff vessels	≥2	0.958	0.719

- Risk factors common in PAD patients may collectively contribute to overall patient prognosis
- As expected, CLI, lesion length, and total occlusion have a significant impact on TLR
  - Other factors such as diabetes and calcification did not have a significant impact on TLR

#### Zilver® PTX® Predictability Model

#### Disclaimer

The Dataset includes data collected from Cook-sponsored studies, including pre-market data, post-approval data, and real-world data collected as a requirement of approval. The model generates predictions for freedom from target lesion revascularization based on the Dataset. The model outcomes resulting from the Dataset are provided for transparency and as part of ongoing scientific exchange regarding clinical evidence associated with the Zilver® PTX® stent.

#### Terms and Conditions

The following Terms and Conditions apply for use of the Zilver® PTX® Predictability Model. Using the model indicates your acceptance of the following Terms and Conditions so long as you retain any of the Dataset, regardless of format or storage method.

- · The dataset is available for access by all parties at no charge.
- · No express or implied warranties are made with respect to the Dataset by any Cook Medical company, affiliate, or employee.
- The Dataset will only be use for non-commercial research purposes and will not be used for any illegal purpose.
- No Print out or electronic version of the Dataset or its contents may be used by you in any litigation or arbitration matter whatsoever under any circumstances.
- Cook Medical is not responsible for any data from the Dataset that has been modified, altered, or manipulated by any party.
- Allowing public access to the Dataset does not confer any proprietary rights to the data contained therein to third parties.

Patient Demographics						
Sex 🖲	Male	0	Female			
Age (yrs)	<65	0	65-74	0	75-84	0 85+
Diabetes 🔘	No	0	Yes			
Hypertension (e)	No	0	Yes			
Hypercholesterolemia	No	0	Yes			
Renal Insufficiency	No	0	Yes			
Smoking (	Never	0	Current	0	Past	
Limb Status	Claudicant	0	сн			

Visit the interactive web-based tool to see how Zilver PTX might help your patients

#### Patient Demographics

Sex	Male	<ul> <li>Female</li> </ul>	
Age (yrs)	○ <85	65-74	O 75-84 O
Diabetes	O No	Yes	
Hypertension	O No	Yes	
Hypercholesterolemia	O No	Yes	
Renal Insufficiency	No	O Yes	
Smoking	O Never	<ul> <li>Current</li> </ul>	Past
Limb Status	Claudicant	O CLI	

#### Lesion Characteristics

Lesion Length (mm)	۲	<50	0	50-9	99	0	100-1	49	0	1	150-199	0	200-249	0	250-299	C	5	300+
Reference Vessel Diameter (mm)	0	<5			>	=5												
Popliteal Involvement	۲	No		C	Y	/es												
Chronic Total Occlusion	۲	No		C	Y	/es												
Lesion Calcification	0	None			<b>N</b>	/ild/Mo	bd	0	Sev	ere	•							
Prior Intervention	۲	No		C	Y	(es												
Tibial Runoff Vessels	۲	2+		C	0	V1												

85+

#### Results

	12 Months	24 Months	36 Months	48 Months	60 Months
Freedom from TLR	97.4%	95.3%	94.0%	93.7%	92.8%
Standard Error	0.5%	0.9%	1.2%	1.2%	1.4%
Lower 95% CI	96.4%	93.4%	91.8%	91.3%	90.1%
Upper 95% CI	98.5%	97.1%	96.4%	96.2%	95.6%

### **Prediction for Example Patient Profile #1**

Factor	Patient Profile #1
Sex	Male
Age	65-74
Diabetes	Yes
Hypertension	Yes
Hypercholesterolemia	Yes
Renal disease	No
Smoking status	Past smoker
Rutherford classification	Claudicant
Lesion length	<50 mm
RVD	≥5 mm
Popliteal involvement	No
Occlusion	No
Calcification severity	Mild/moderate
Prior interventions	No
Number of runoff vessels	2+

Patient Demographics		
Sex	Male     Female	
Age (yrs)	○ <85	
Diabetes	O No 🔹 Yes	
Hypertension	O No 🔹 Yes	
Hypercholesterolemia	O No 💿 Yes	
Renal Insufficiency	No O Yes	
Smoking	O Never O Current 🙍 Past	
Limb Status	Claudicant     O     CLI	
Lesier Oberestatistics		
Lesion Characteristics		
Lesion Length (mm)	● <50 ○ 50-99 ○ 100-149 ○ 150-199 ○ 200-249 ○ 250-299 ○ 300+	
Reference Vessel Diameter (mm)	O <5	
Popliteal Involvement	No O Yes	
Chronic Total Occlusion	No O Yes	
Lesion Calcification	O None   Mild/Mod O Severe	
Prior Intervention	No O Yes	
Tibial Runoff Vessels	2+ 0 0/1	

Results						
		12 Months	24 Months	36 Months	48 Months	60 Months
Freedom fr	rom TLR	97.4%	95.3%	94.0%	93.7%	92.8%
Standard	I Error	0.5%	0.9%	1.2%	1.2%	1.4%
Lower 9	5% CI	96.4%	93.4%	91.8%	91.3%	90.1%
Upper 95	5% CI	98.5%	97.1%	96.4%	96.2%	95.6%

### **Prediction for Example Patient Profile #1**

Factor	Patient Profile #1
Sex	Male
Age	65-74
Diabetes	Yes
Hypertension	Yes
Hypercholesterolemia	Yes
Renal disease	No
Smoking status	Past smoker
Rutherford classification	Claudicant
Lesion length	<50 mm
RVD	≥5 mm
Popliteal involvement	No
Occlusion	No
Calcification severity	Mild/moderate
Prior interventions	No
Number of runoff vessels	2+



#### <sup>21</sup> TCTAP2024

### **Prediction for Example Patient Profile #2**

Patient Profile #2
Female
65-74
Yes
Yes
Yes
No
Past smoker
Claudicant
100-149 mm
≥5 mm
No
No
Severe
No
0 or 1

Patient Demographics								
Sex	0	Male	۲	Female				
Age (yrs)	0	<85	۲	65-74	O 75-84	0 85+		
Diabetes	0	No	۲	Yes				
Hypertension	0	No	۲	Yes				
Hypercholesterolemia	0	No	۲	Yes				
Renal Insufficiency		No	0	Yes				
Smoking	0	Never	0	Current	Past			
Limb Status		Claudicant	0	CLI				
esion Characteristics								
Lesion Length (mm)	0	<50 O	50-99	• 10	00-149 O 150-19	9 0 200-249	O 250-299	O 300+
Reference Vessel Diameter (mm)	0	<5	۲	>=5				
Popliteal Involvement	۲	No	0	Yes				
Chronic Total Occlusion		No	0	Yes				
Lesion Calcification	0	None	0	Mild/Mod	Severe			
Prior Intervention	۲	No	0	Yes				
				0/1				

	12 Months	24 Months	36 Months	48 Months	60 Months
Freedom from TLR	92.3%	86.1%	82.7%	81.8%	79.5%
Standard Error	1.9%	3.3%	4.0%	4.2%	4.7%
Lower 95% CI	88.6%	79.9%	75.2%	74.0%	70.8%
Upper 95% Cl	96.2%	92.8%	91.0%	90.5%	89.2%

### **Prediction for Example Patient Profile #2**

Factor	Patient Profile #2
Sex	Female
Age	65-74
Diabetes	Yes
Hypertension	Yes
Hypercholesterolemia	Yes
Renal disease	No
Smoking status	Past smoker
Rutherford classification	Claudicant
Lesion length	100-149 mm
RVD	≥5 mm
Popliteal involvement	No
Occlusion	No
Calcification severity	Severe
Prior interventions	No
Number of runoff vessels	0 or 1



### **Prediction for Example Patient Profile #3**

Factor	Patient Profile #3
Sex	Male
Age	75-84
Diabetes	No
Hypertension	Yes
Hypercholesterolemia	No
Renal disease	Yes
Smoking status	Past smoker
Rutherford classification	Claudicant
Lesion length	200-249 mm
RVD	≥5 mm
Popliteal involvement	No
Occlusion	Yes
Calcification severity	Mild/moderate
Prior interventions	Yes
Number of runoff vessels	2+

Patient Demographics	
Sex	Male     Female
Age (yrs)	○ <85 ○ 85-74 @ 75-84 ○ 85+
Diabetes	No O Yes
Hypertension	O No 📵 Yes
Hypercholesterolemia	No O Yes
Renal Insufficiency	O No 💩 Yes
Smoking	🔿 Never 🔿 Current 💩 Past
Limb Status	Claudicant O CLI
Lesion Characteristics	
Lesion Length (mm)	○ <50 ○ 50-99 ○ 100-149 ○ 150-199 ⑧ 200-249 ○ 250-299 ○ 300+
Reference Vessel Diameter (mm)	⊖ <5 <b>@</b> >=5
Popliteal Involvement	No O Yes
Chronic Total Occlusion	O No 💩 Yes
Lesion Calcification	O None 🝙 Mild/Mod O Severe
Prior Intervention	O No 🛞 Yes
Tibial Runoff Vessels	2+ 0 0/1
Prior Intervention Tibial Runoff Vessels	<ul> <li>○ No</li> <li>● Yes</li> <li>● 2+</li> <li>○ 0/1</li> </ul>

F	lesults					
		12 Months	24 Months	36 Months	48 Months	60 Months
	Freedom from TLR	86.0%	75.4%	69.9%	68.5%	64.8%
	Standard Error	3.2%	5.2%	6.1%	6.3%	6.8%
	Lower 95% CI	79.9%	65.9%	59.0%	57.2%	52.7%
	Upper 95% CI	92.5%	86.3%	82.9%	82.0%	79.7%

### **Prediction for Example Patient Profile #3**

Factor	Patient Profile #3
Sex	Male
Age	75-84
Diabetes	No
Hypertension	Yes
Hypercholesterolemia	No
Renal disease	Yes
Smoking status	Past smoker
Rutherford classification	Claudicant
Lesion length	200-249 mm
RVD	≥5 mm
Popliteal involvement	No
Occlusion	Yes
Calcification severity	Mild/moderate
Prior interventions	Yes
Number of runoff vessels	2+



**\*\*** TCTAP2024

# Conclusions

#### • 5-year results confirm long-term superiority of Zilver PTX versus standard of care

- Greater than 40% reduction in reintervention and restenosis
- Superior clinical benefit
- These benefits increase with time results with Zilver PTX continue to diverge from standard care over 5 years with no late catch-up

#### No safety concerns regarding paclitaxel

- No significant difference in mortality; vital status through 5 years for 94% of patients
- Imbalance in risk factors (p<0.01), despite randomization
- 40% of patients in PTA primary randomization group treated with Zilver PTX
- No mortality signal
- Patient and lesion factors from 5 global clinical studies used to develop a prediction model for freedom from TLR
  - Data from over 2200 patients used to create the model
  - Based on unique patient profile, model provides expected patient outcomes following treatment with the Zilver PTX DES
  - May assist in defining treatment algorithms for patients as the value of population management is increasingly recognized

