TCTAP2024

A Randomized, Double-Blind, Parallel Group Trial to Evaluate the Effect of a Novel Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitor, Enavogliflozin Compared with Standard-of-Care Therapy on Reduction of Adverse Clinical Events and Cardiac Reverse Remodeling in Patients with Severe Aortic Stenosis Who Underwent Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement

ENAVO-TAVR Trial

Jinho Lee, MD, PhD Assistant Professor Department of Cardiology, Kyung Hee University Hospital, Seoul, Korea



AS is the m/c valve disease in the aging population



Figure 1: Prevalence of valvular heart disease by age (A) Frequency in population-based studies and (B) in the Olmsted County community.



Figure 2: Survival after detection of moderate or severe valvular heart disease

(A) Survival in population-based studies. (B) Expected versus observed survival in Olmsted County. The blue line represents survival of 971 residents diagnosed with valve diseases between 1990 and 1995; the yellow line represents the expected survival in the age-matched and sex-matched population of the county.

Nkomo VT, Lancet. 2006;368(9540):1005-1011.



Treatment of severe AS

- Aortic valve replacement
 - Surgical or transcatheter (SAVR or TAVR)
- TAVR

: Recommended in adult \geq 65years (US guideline) or \geq 75 years (European guideline)





Post-TAVR outcomes

 Despite of mechanical relief of severe AS after TAVR, up to 50% of patients are dead, have residual heart failure (HF) symptoms or poor quality-of-life (QoL) at 1 year.

Especially, patients who underwent TAVR have approximately

 -15~20% rate of readmission for heart failure (HF) within 1 year after successful TAVR procedure





AS – Disease of both the valve & myocardium



Musa TA, et al. Circulation 2018;138:1935-47.

Strategies to Prevent and Mitigate Risk of Heart Failure in Patients With Calcific Aortic Stenosis



^{29*} TCTAP2024

JAMA Cardiol. 2021;6(9):993-994



Medical Therapy of AS

| COR | LOE | RECOMMENDATIONS |
|---------------|------|---|
| 1 | B-NR | 1. In patients at risk of developing AS (Stage A) and in patients with asymptomatic AS (Stages B and C), hypertension should be treated according to standard GDMT, started at a low dose, and gradually titrated upward as needed, with appropriate clinical monitoring (66-68). |
| 1 | A | 2. In all patients with calcific AS, statin therapy is indicated for primary and secondary prevention of atherosclerosis on the basis of standard risk scores (69-71). |
| 2b | B-NR | 3. In patients who have undergone TAVI, renin-angiotensin system blocker therapy (ACE inhibitor or ARB) may be considered to reduce the long-term risk of all-cause mortality (72,73). |
| 3: No Benefit | A | 4. In patients with calcific AS (Stages B and C), statin therapy is not indicated for prevention of hemody- namic progression of AS (69-71). |

- Given myocardial health impacts post-TAVR clinical outcomes
 - Several adjunctive or active pharmacotherapies, RAS blockers, ARNI, SGLT2 inhibitors
- Augment myocardial function recovery and to reduce high residual risk (early deaths, HF, or poor quality of life) after TAVR



SGLT2 inhibitor

• SGLT2 inhibitors improves cardiovascular outcomes

| Table 1. Cardiovascular Outcome Trials Involving Patients with Type 2 Diabetes.* | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Variable | EMPA-REG OUTCOME | CANVAS Program | CREDENCE | DECLARE-TIMI 58 | VERTIS CV | SCORED | All | | | |
| Drug | Empagliflozin | Canagliflozin | Canagliflozin | Dapagliflozin | Ertugliflozin | Sotagliflozin | | | | |
| No. of patients | 7020 | 10,142 | 4401 | 17,160 | 8246 | 10,584 | 57,553 | | | |
| Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease — % of patients | 100 | 65.6 | 50.4 | 40.6 | 100 | 48.6 | 63.0 | | | |
| History of heart failure — % of patients | 10.1 | 14.4 | 14.8 | 10.0 | 23.7 | 31.0 | 17.0 | | | |
| Outcomes — hazard ratio (95% CI)† | | | | | | | | | | |
| Major adverse cardiovascu- lar events | 0.86 (0.74–0.99) | 0.86 (0.75–0.97) | 0.80 (0.67–0.95) | 0.93 (0.84–1.03) | 0.99 (0.88–1.12) | 0.77 (0.65–0.91) | 0.89 (0.84–0.94) | | | |
| Cardiovascular death | 0.62 (0.49–0.77) | 0.87 (0.72–1.06) | 0.78 (0.61-1.00) | 0.98 (0.82-1.12) | 0.92 (0.77–1.10) | 0.90 (0.73-1.12) | 0.86 (0.79-0.93) | | | |
| Hospitalization for heart failure | 0.65 (0.50–0.85) | 0.67 (0.52–0.87) | 0.61 (0.47–0.80) | 0.73 (0.61–0.88) | 0.70 (0.54–0.90) | 0.67 (0.55–0.82) | 0.68 (0.62–0.75) | | | |

* Data sources for the individual trials are as follows: EMPA-REG OUTCOME, Zinman et al.¹⁴; CANVAS Program, Neal et al.¹⁵; CREDENCE, Perkovic et al.¹⁶; DECLARE-TIMI 58, Wiviott et al.¹⁷; VERTIS CV, Cannon et al.¹⁸; and SCORED, Bhatt et al.¹⁹ Data are also based on a meta-analysis by McGuire et al.²⁰

† Hazard ratios are based on a time-to-first event analysis, except for SCORED, which estimated hazard ratios for major adverse cardiovascular events and hospitalization for heart failure on the basis of a total-event analysis. CI denotes confidence interval.



SGLT2 inhibitor

 In addition, some imaging and clinical studies proposed that SGLT2 inhibitor was associated with improvements of cardiac volume and function (i.e., reverse cardiac remodeling) owing to several putative mechanisms

A Left Ventricular Mass

| | SGLT2i Placebo | | bo | | | Mean Difference | Mean D | Difference | | SGLT2i Placebo | | | | | Mean Difference | | Mean Difference | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---|---|--------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------|--|-----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|--------|-------------------------|---|--------|-----------|----|--|
| Study or Subgroup | Mean [g] Sl | D[g] | Total Mea | n[g] S | D[g] | Total | Weight | IV, Random, 95% C | IV, Rand | lom, 95% Cl | Study or Subgroup | Mean [ml] | SD [ml] 1 | 'otal Me | ean [ml] 🖇 | SD [ml] | Total | Weight | IV, Random, 95% C | 4 | IV, Ra | ndom, 95% | CI | |
| Patients with HFrEF | | | | | | | | | | | Patients with HFrEF | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lee 2021 | -5.1 | 12.7 | 42 | -2.5 | 14.8 | 50 | 25.7% | -2.60 [-8.22, 3.02] | - | ∎┼╴ | Lee 2021 | -15.1 | 24 | 42 | -2.8 | 23.7 | 50 | 19.6% | -12.30 [-22.09, -2.51] | | | - | | |
| Santos-Gallego 2021 | -17.8 | 31.9 | 38 | 4.1 | 13.4 | 38 | 13.7% | -21.90 [-32.90, -10.90] | | | Santos-Gallego 2021 | -26.6 | 20.5 | 38 | -0.5 | 21.9 | 38 | 19.8% | -26.10 [-35.64, -16.56] | | | | | |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 80 | | | 88 | 39.4% | -11.65 [-30.52, 7.23] | | | Singh 2020 | -8.9 | 32.7 | 28 | -18.8 | 51 | 28 | 8.8% | 9.90 [-12.54, 32.34] | | _ | | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1 | 66.38; Chi ² = 9.38 | 8, df = 1 (<i>F</i> | = 0.002); I | = 89% | | | | | | | Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 108 | | | 116 | 48.2% | -12.30 [-28.41, 3.82] | | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.21$ ($P = 0.23$) | | | | | | Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 153.13; Chi ² = 9.90, df = 2 (P = 0.007); l ² = 80% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Test for overall effect: Z = 1.50 (P = 0.13) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Patients without HFrE | F | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brown 2020 | -3.95 | 4.85 | 32 | -1.13 | 4.55 | 34 | 34.7% | -2.82 [-5.09, -0.55] | 1 | | Patients without HFrE | F | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Verma 2019 | -4.7 | 15.4 | 44 | -0.39 | 10.8 | 46 | 26.0% | -4.31 [-9.83, 1.21] | | + | Brown 2020 | -1.86 | 4.83 | 32 | -0.74 | 4.81 | 34 | 26.8% | -1.12 [-3.45, 1.21] | | | 1 | | |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 76 | | | 80 | 60.6% | -3.04 [-5.14, -0.94] | • | | Verma 2019 | -1.9 | 10 | 44 | 0.3 | 13 | 46 | 25.0% | -2.20 [-6.98, 2.58] | | | | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0 | .00; Chi ^z = 0.24, d | if = 1 (P = | 0.62); I ² = 0 | % | | | | | | | Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 76 | | | 80 | 51.8% | -1.33 [-3.42, 0.77] | | | • | | |
| Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.83$ ($P = 0.005$) | | | | | Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0 | 0.00; Chi ² = 0.1 | 6, df = 1 (i | ° = 0.69) | ; I² = 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Test for overall effect: 2 | Z = 1.24 (P = 0 | .21) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total (95% CI) | | | 156 | | | 168 | 100.0% | -5.76 [-10.87, -0.64] | • | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1 | 8.30; Chi ² = 11.27 | , df = 3 (A | P = 0.01); 2 | = 73% | | | | | | + + | Total (95% CI) | | | 184 | | | 196 | 100.0% | -7.56 [-15.66, 0.54] | | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.21$ ($P = 0.03$) | | | -50 -25 | 0 25 5i | 25 51 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 62.32; Chi ² = 29.84, df = 4 (<i>P</i> < 0.00001); l ² = 87% | | | | | | | -50 | -25 | 0 | 25 | f | | | | | | | | |
| Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 0.79, df = 1 (P = 0.37), l ² = 0% | | | Favours SGL12 | I Pavours Placebo | Test for overall effect: 2 | Test for overall effect: Z = 1.83 (P = 0.07) | | | | | | | | Favours SGL | 2i Favour | s Placebo | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 1.75, df = 1 (P = 0.19), l ² = 42.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

A Left Ventricular End Systolic Volume



Dhingra NK, et al. ESC Heart Fail. 2021;8(6):4693-4700.



Hypothesis

 Novel SGLT2 inhibitor, enavogliflozin compared to the standard-of-care therapy would significantly reverse cardiac remodeling and improve clinical outcomes in patients who underwent successful TAVR.







ENAVOgliflozin Outcome Trial in Patient with Severe Aortic Stenosis after Transcatheter Aortic Valve Repacement

ENAVO-TAVR Trial







TCTAP202

Study Design

- Investigator-initiated, multicenter, double-blind, parallel-group, randomized trial
- Stratification:
 - Baseline LVEF (≤40 or >40%)
 - Diabetes status (yes or no)
 - eGFR (≤60 or >60 mL/min/1.73m2)
- Interventions:
- Enavogliflozin Group :
 - Enavogliflozin 0.3mg once daily
- Standard-of-care Group :
- Enavogliflozin 0.3mg placebo once daily





Sample Size Estimation

- Superiority trial design
- % of primary endpoint : 30% in the Standard-of-care group base on result from multiple RCTs (PARTNER 1B,2,3 and U.S. CoreValve High Risk Study, SURTAVI, and Evolut Low Risk) and TP-TAVR registry

- Dropout rate : 5%
- Power = 90%; alpha-level = 0.05
- Final N = 1040 (520 vs. 520)





Study Endpoint

• Primary Endpoint

- Composite of major adverse cardiovascular event or hospitalization for HF at 1 year after randomization

- 1. Death from any causes
- 2. Nonfatal myocardial infaction
- 3. Nonfatal stroke





Secondary Endpoint

1. Individual components of the primary composite endpoint.

- 2. Composite renal endpoint
 - (1) chronic dialysis; (2) renal transplantation;
 - (3) sustained reduction of \geq 40% in estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR); or
 - (4) sustained estimated GFR <15 mL/min/1.73 m2 for patients with baseline estimated GFR ≥30 mL/min/1.73 m2
 - or <10 mL/min/1.73 m2 for patients with baseline eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m2.
- 3. Rehospitalization for any reason.
- 4. Changes in measures of cardiac volume and function assessed by serial echocardiography;

left ventricular (LV) EF, LV end-diastolic volume index (LVEDVI), LV end-systolic volume index (LVESVI),

left atrial volume index (LAVI), and ratio of early transmitral Doppler velocity/early diastolic annular velocity (E/e') at 1 year.

5. **Changes in New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class** and the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ) summary score (on a scale from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating fewer symptoms and physical limitations associated with heart failure).

- 6. Serial change in NT-proBNP
- 7. Time from randomization to discontinuation of study medication attributed to side effects or adverse events



Inclusion Criteria

• Patients 18 years of age or older

Symptomatic AS patient who underwent successful TAVR

A successful TAVI is defined as device success according to the VARC-2 and VARC-3 criteria:

(1) Correct positioning of a single prosthetic heart valve into the proper anatomical location

(2) Intended performance of the prosthetic heart valve

- mean aortic valve gradient <20 mmHg,
- peak velocity <3 m/s,
- no moderate or severe prosthetic valve regurgitation)

(3) Absence of periprocedural complications

- any type of stroke, life- threatening bleeding,
- acute coronary artery obstruction requiring intervention,
- major vascular complication requiring intervention,
- unresolved acute valve thrombosis, or any requirement of a repeat procedure).





Exclusion Criteria

- 1.Receiving therapy with an SGLT2 inhibitor within 4 weeks prior to randomization;
 - discontinuation of a SGLT2 inhibitor or combined inhibitor of SGLT1 and SGLT2 inhibitor

for the purposes of study enrolment is not permitted.

- 2. Known allergy, hypersensitivity, or previous intolerance to an SGLT2 inhibitors.
- 3. Type 1 diabetes or diabetes ketoacidosis
- 4. Chronic cystitis and/or recurrent urinary tract infection (≥2 times within 1 year).
- 5. Stroke or transient ischemic attack within 12 weeks prior to enrollment.
- 6. Symptomatic persistent hypotension and/or a systolic blood pressure (SBP) < 95 mm Hg at screening or at randomization.





Exclusion Criteria

7. SBP \geq 180 mmHg irrespective of treatment or SBP \geq 160 mmHg with at least \geq 3 antihypertensive drugs at screening or randomization.

8. Heart failure due to any of the following: known infiltrative cardiomyopathy (e.g. amyloid, sarcoid, lymphoma, endomyocardial fibrosis), active myocarditis, constrictive pericarditis, cardiac tamponade, known genetic hypertrophic cardiomyopathy or obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia, or uncorrected primary valvular disease.

9. Renal insufficiency (eGFR <30 ml/min/1.73 m2 of body-surface area) or end-stage renal disease or requiring dialysis at the time of screening.

10. Acute or chronic liver disease with severe impairment of liver function (e.g., ascites, esophageal varices, coagulopathy).

11. Significant chronic pulmonary disease requiring home oxygen or primary pulmonary arterial hypertension.

12. Any known or suspected malignancy

13. Subjects with non-cardiac co-morbidities with life expectancy less than 12 months

14. Women of child-bearing potential (i.e., those who are not chemically or surgically sterilized or post-menopausal not willing to use a medically accepted method of contraception considered reliable in the judgment of the investigator or who has a positive pregnancy test at randomization or who is breastfeeding).







TCTAP202