From Morphology to Function by Cardiac CT

James K. Min, MD FACC President, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography Associate Professor of Medicine, UCLA School of Medicine Associate Professor of Medicine and Imaging Co-Director, Cardiac Imaging, Cedars-Sinai Heart Institute Director, Cardiac Imaging Research, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center Member, ACCF Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force

Disclosures: Research support (NHLBI; Qatar National Research Fund; GE Healthcare; Philips Medical, Vital Images); Medical Advisory Board (GE Healthcare; Arineta; Capricor); Equity Interest (TC3 Cardiovascular Core Laboratories; Cedars-Sinai Medical Center)

Cardiac CT for Morphology and Function

- Detection of CAD in New-Onset HF
- Evaluation of myocardial function and viability
- (Evaluation of intra- and extracardiac structures)

Case 1: AW 61 M with HIV and suspected AIDS CM - Present with anxiety and chest pressure: r/o IHD



Case 1: AW 61 M with HIV and suspected AIDS CM - Present with anxiety and chest pressure: r/o IHD



Case 2: PB 72 yo F with peripheral edema and mild troponin elevation post emergency exploratory lap; NS T wave changes; mild troponin elevation: ? ACS.





ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Diagnostic performance of computed tomography angiography for differentiating ischemic vs nonischemic cardiomyopathy

Sabha Bhatti, MD,^a Abdul Hakeem, MD,^a Mian Atif Yousuf, MD, MBA,^a Hussein R. Al-Khalidi, PhD,^b Wojciech Mazur, MD,^c and Yukitaka Shizukuda, MD, PhD^{a,d}

6 studies comparing to invasive coronary angiography 452 patients Mean EF 32% ± 1%

Bhatti S, et al: J Nucl Cardiol 2011;18:407-20

Coronary CTA for Differentiating Ischemic vs Nonischemic Cardiomyopathy



6 studies; n=452; mean EF 32±1%

Bhatti S, et al: J Nucl Cardiol 2011;18:407-20

Detection of CAD in Other Clinical Scenarios



ACCF Appropriate Use Criteria JACC 2010



Cardiac CT for Morphology and Function

- Detection of CAD
- Evaluation of myocardial function and viability
- Evaluation of intra- and extracardiac structures

Case 3: 18 y/o man with chest pain, (+) TroponinI 3 mg/dl, (-) ECG; LVEF 45%



Left and right ventricular assessment with Cardiac CT: Validation vs. Cardiac MR: Systematic Review



Maffei, et al Eur Radiol 2012 (n=79)

Standardized approach to cardiac chamber measures



Beyond EF: Volumetric quantification







Left atrium





Right atrium

Lin et al, JACC CV Imaging

Right Ventricle

More muscle than echo -



 <u>Papillary-exclusive</u> volumes should be standard for volumes
<u>Papillary-inclusive</u> measures should be standard for LV mass



Lin et al, JACC CV Imaging

С

Age- and gender reference values



•Age- and gender reference values for healthy individuals free of obesity, hypertension and CV disease

• Significant differences exist between 1-D, 2-D, and 3-D values

•Beta blockade, relative volume depletion, Valsalva maneuver and scanner resolution (temporal, spatial, contrast) will affect cardiac chamber measures

Automated Quantitative Assessment of LV Volumes and EF



Ghormallah Alzahrani

Delayed Enhancement CCTA

100 cc contrast re-injected 5 minutes delay post-CCTA acquisition 700 mA, 100 kV, single-segment acquisition, 0.625mm





Myocardial Viability Assessment by MDCT

28 consecutive patients (23 men; 55.9 11.4 years) with reperfused MI 16-slice MDCT. Images were acquired "first pass" and "late phase" (15 min). Within 5 days, patients underwent MRI.



 $\frac{\text{Mean infarct size:}}{\text{MRI (31.2 \pm 22.5\% per slice)}}$ $\frac{\text{MDCT LE (33.3 \pm 23.8\% per slice)}}{\text{First Pass MDCT (24.5 \pm 18.3\% per slice)}}$

Comparison of MRI/CT and CT/CT



Late enhancement predicts lack of myocardial function recovery

26 patients underwent MDCT and echo within 1 week of AMI f/u echo 3 months. ED, LE, and late hypoattenuation were compared with regional left ventricular function and MFR.



Logistic Regression Model for Prediction of Absence of Segment MFR and Follow-up Segment Dysfunction

Absence of MFR (Abnormal Baseline Function)		Follow-up Segment Dysfunction (All Segments)	
Ratio	Interval	Ratio	Interval
2.73	0.82, 9.06	1.48	0.77, 2.87
1.85*	1.18, 2.88	2.31	1.74, 3.06
1.95*	0.92, 4.14	3.07	1.86, 5.07
	Absence Bas Odds Ratio 2.73 1.85* 1.95*	Absence of MFR (Abnormal Baseline Function) Odds 95% Confidence Ratio Interval 2.73 0.82, 9.06 1.85* 1.18, 2.88 1.95* 0.92, 4.14	Absence of MFR (Abnormal Baseline Function) Fol Dysfun 0dds 95% Confidence 0dds Ratio Interval Ratio 2.73 0.82, 9.06 1.48 1.85* 1.18, 2.88 2.31 1.95* 0.92, 4.14 3.07

* Area per square centimeter of myocardium taken up by ED or LE.





Comparing CT to Other Modalities



Evaluation of Cardiac Structure and Function



ACCF Appropriate Use Criteria JACC 2010



Thank you.

Cardiac CT in Advanced Heart Failure

Detection of CAD Evaluation of myocardial function and viability **Evaluation of intra- and extracardiac structures**

Calcification versus Constrictive Pericarditis





- <u>Clinical diagnosis</u>
- Typical Findings
 - Pericardial calcification
 - Pericardial thickening >4mm
 - Septal flattening, RV narrow
- Thickness $\leq 2 \text{ mm in } 18\% \text{ of patients}$

Pulmonary Vein Anatomy and LA Appendage





Patel et al., Heart Rhythm 2008

LA Appendage WATCHMAN Device



Contrast enhanced cardiac CTA is an excellent modality to:

- Evaluate proper device placement
- Assess device integrity
- Exclude residual communication between LA and LAA

Shturman L, et al.

77 y/o man with progressive exertional dyspnea and left atrial mass











Krauser et al. JCCT 2008

Chordal angiosarcoma:First-Pass PerfusionPedunculated location



Metastatic Disease: 10-20x more common than primary tumors





Other masses have not been studied to date



Evaluation of Cardiac Structure and Function: Intra- and Extracardiac Structures



ACCF Appropriate Use Criteria JACC 2010

