# Echocardiographic Evaluation of AV Hemodynamics and Morphology

### **Jong-Min Song**

Asan Medical Center University of Ulsan College of Medicine Seoul, Korea

## **Aortic Stenosis**

## O Etiology

- Congenital
  - >Bicuspid
- Acquired
  - Degenerative
    - Calcium deposition
    - AR : rare
    - DM, hypercholesterolemia
    - Smoking, HT, low HDL

#### > Rheumatic

- Commissure fusion
- Cusp retraction & stiffening
- MV involvement
- AR : common







# **Bicuspid AS**







# **Degenerative AS**







# **Rheumatic AS**







## Hemodynamics







### **Measurement of AVA**

#### CONTINUITY EQUATION USED TO DETERMINE AORTIC VALVE AREA







# **AVA by Continuity Equation**









# **AVA by TEE Planimetry**







Otto et al. Circulation 1997;95:2262-70





# **Stages of AS**

#### Table 8. Stages of Valvular AS

Stage	Definition	Valve Anatomy	Valve Hemodynamics	Hemodynamic Consequences	Symptoms
A	At risk of AS	<ul> <li>Bicuspid aortic valve (or other congenital valve anomaly)</li> <li>Aortic valve sclerosis</li> </ul>	• Aortic V <sub>max</sub> <2 m/s	• None	• None
В	Progressive AS	<ul> <li>Mild-to-moderate leaflet calcification of a bicuspid or trileaflet valve with some reduction in systolic motion or</li> <li>Rheumatic valve changes with commissural fusion</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mild AS: Aortic V<sub>max</sub> 2.0–2.9 m/s or mean ΔP &lt;20 mm Hg</li> <li>Moderate AS: Aortic V<sub>max</sub> 3.0–3.9 m/s or mean ΔP 20–39 mm Hg</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Early LV diastolic dysfunction may be present</li> <li>Normal LVEF</li> </ul>	• None
C: Asy	mptomatic severe AS	ni 19 19 - Maria Maria Managara, ang kanang ka	ni Dire o provinsi page in provinsi interneti interneti interneti interneti interneti interneti interneti interneti Interneti interneti i	loon analysis and a second	
CI	Asymptomatic severe AS	<ul> <li>Severe leaflet calcification or congenital stenosis with severely reduced leaflet opening</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Aortic V<sub>max</sub> ≥4 m/s or mean ΔP ≥40 mm Hg</li> <li>AVA typically is ≤1.0 cm<sup>2</sup> (or AVAi ≤0.6 cm<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>)</li> <li>Very severe AS is an aortic V<sub>max</sub> ≥5 m/s or mean ΔP ≥60 mm Hg</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>LV diastolic dysfunction</li> <li>Mild LV hypertrophy</li> <li>Normal LVEF</li> </ul>	None: Exercise testing is reasonable to confirm symptom status
C2	Asymptomatic severe AS with LV dysfunction	<ul> <li>Severe leaflet calcification or congenital stenosis with severely reduced leaflet opening</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Aortic V<sub>max</sub> ≥4 m/s or mean ΔP ≥40 mm Hg</li> <li>AVA typically ≤1.0 cm<sup>2</sup> (or AVAi ≤0.6 cm<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>)</li> </ul>	• LVEF <50%	• None
D: Syn	aptomatic severe AS				
D1	Symptomatic severe high-gradient AS	<ul> <li>Severe leaflet calcification or congenital stenosis with severely reduced leaflet opening</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Aortic V<sub>max</sub> ≥4 m/s or mean ΔP ≥40 mm Hg</li> <li>AVA typically ≤1.0 cm<sup>2</sup> (or AVAi ≤0.6 cm<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>) but may be larger with mixed AS/AR</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>LV diastolic dysfunction</li> <li>LV hypertrophy</li> <li>Pulmonary hypertension may be present</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Exertional dyspnea or decreased exercise tolerance</li> <li>Exertional angina</li> <li>Exertional syncope or presyncope</li> </ul>
D2	Symptomatic severe low-flow/low- gradient AS with reduced LVEF	Severe leaflet calcification with severely reduced leaflet motion	<ul> <li>AVA ≤1.0 cm<sup>2</sup> with resting aortic V<sub>max</sub> &lt;4 m/s or mean ΔP &lt;40 mm Hg</li> <li>Dobutamine stress echocardiography shows AVA ≤1.0 cm<sup>2</sup> with V<sub>max</sub> ≥4 m/s at any flow rate</li> <li>AVA ≤1.0 cm<sup>2</sup> with aortic V ≤4 m/s or</li> </ul>	LV diastolic dysfunction     LV hypertrophy     LVEF <50%	HF     Angina     Syncope or     presyncope
20	symptomatic service for gradient	- Severe realier calcification	- AVA 21.0 CHI WILL ADTIL V max ~4 III/S OI	- minitastu Liv	

#### 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline





#### Very Severe AS

#### ○ 116 consecutive asymptomatic patients with very severe isolated AS (AV-Vel > 5.0 m/s)





53

20

Circulation. 2010;121:151-156

29

11

Pts. at risk: 72

Pts. at risk: 44

Patients with AV-Vel ≥ 5.5 m/s

UNIVERSITY OF ULSAN

18

5



### **Asymptomatic Very Severe AS**



#### $AVA \le 0.75 \text{ cm}^2 \&$ $(Vmax \ge 4.5 m/s or$ mean PG $\geq$ 50 mm Hg)





### **Asymptomatic Very Severe AS**



UNIVERSITY OF ULSAN COLLEGE OF MEDICINE



# **Timing of Intervention for AS**

#### Table 9. Summary of Recommendations for AS: Timing of Intervention

Recommendations	COR	LOE	References
AVR is recommended with severe high-gradient AS who have symptoms by history or on exercise testing (stage D1)	Ŀ	В	(9, 91, <b>134</b> , 135)
AVR is recommended for asymptomatic patients with severe AS (stage C2) and LVEF <50%	I	В	(136, 137)
AVR is indicated for patients with severe AS (stage C or D) when undergoing other cardiac surgery	I	В	(108, 138)
AVR is reasonable for asymptomatic patients with very severe AS (stage C1, aortic velocity $\geq$ 5.0 m/s) and low surgical risk	IIa	В	(139, 140)
AVR is reasonable in asymptomatic patients (stage C1) with severe AS and decreased exercise tolerance or an exercise fall in BP	Иа	В	(25, 4 <b>7)</b>
AVR is reasonable in symptomatic patients with low-flow/low-gradient severe AS with reduced LVEF (stage D2) with a low-dose dobutamine stress study that shows an aortic velocity $\geq$ 4.0 m/s (or mean pressure gradient $\geq$ 40 mm Hg) with a valve area $\leq$ 1.0 cm <sup>2</sup> at any dobutamine dose	Апарс	ATIBN	(43, 141, 142)
AVR is reasonable in symptomatic patients who have low-flow/low-gradient severe AS (stage D3) who are normotensive and have an LVEF ≥50% if clinical, hemodynamic, and anatomic data support valve obstruction as the most likely cause of symptoms	Па	с	N/A
AVR is reasonable for patients with moderate AS (stage B) (aortic velocity 3.0–3.9 m/s) who are undergoing other cardiac surgery	IIa	с	N/A
AVR may be considered for asymptomatic patients with severe AS (stage C1) and rapid disease progression and low surgical risk	IIb	С	N/A

AS indicates aortic stenosis; AVR, aortic valve replacement by either surgical or transcatheter approach; BP, blood pressure; COR, Class of Recommendation; LOE, Level of Evidence; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; and N/A, not applicable.

#### 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline











## **Tight AS with Severe LV dysfunction**



Nishimura et al. Circulation 2002;106:809-813







N Engl J Med 2000;343:611-7

















### **Aortic Valve Annulus**



#### Circ Cardiovasc Intervent. 2008;1:74-81





### **Measurement of Aortic Annulus Size**







# TTE, TEE & CT





#### JACC 2010;55:186-94





### TTE, TEE & CT

#### Table 1

**Comparison Between Echocardiographic and MSCT Measurements** 

	Mean Annulus						
	Diameter (mm)	Median	Range	p Value vs. TTE	R vs. TTE	p Value vs. TEE	R vs. TEE
Echocardiographic measurements							
ΠΕ	$\textbf{23.9} \pm \textbf{2.1}$	24	19-30			0.13	0.89
TEE	24.1 ± 2.1	24.5	20-30	0.13	0.89	-	1
MSCT measurements							
Virtual basal ring							
Long-axis	$\textbf{27.5} \pm \textbf{3.1}$	27	22-34	<0.0001	0.69	<0.0001	0.67
Short-axis	21.7 ± 2.3	22	17.5-28	<0.0001	0.73	<0.0001	0.69
Mean	$\textbf{24.6} \pm \textbf{2.4}$	24	19.8-29.5	0.004	0.80	0.07	0.77
3-chamber view	23.8 ± 2.6	24	18-29	0.73	0.71	0.26	0.70

Data presented are mean ± SD. R is coefficient of correlation.

MSCT = multislice computed tomography; TEE = transesophageal echocardiography; TTE = transthoracic echocardiography.

#### JACC 2010;55:186-94









JACC 2010;55:186-94





## **Measurement of Aortic Valve Annulus**







#### Circ Cardiovasc Intervent. 2008;1:74-81





# **Biplane Image**







# AV on 3D TEE



PAT T: 37.0C TEE T: 39.1C





# **Annulus Measurement by 3D TEE**



#### JASE 2011;24:937-65





# **Annulus Measurement by 3D TEE**



#### JASE 2013;26:359-69

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

# CT & 2D-/3D-TEE

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

## CT & 2D-/3D-TEE

#### For predicting significant paravalvular AR

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Localization of LCA by 3D TEE

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

In general, a distance of >10 mm is desirable for the 23 mm balloon-expandable valve and a distance of >11 mm is desirable for the 26 mm valve. JASE 2013;26:359-69

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_4.jpeg)

# **Post-Implantation**

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Moss, JACC Img 2008;1:15-24

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

# **Paravalvular AR After Implantation**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Moss, JACC Img 2008;1:15-24

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

### AR index & Prognosis

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

patient without peri-prosthetic aortic regurgitation (periAR) (A) and in a patient with moderate periAR (B) for the calculation of the aortic regurgitation (AR) index: ([DBP - LVEDP]/SBP)  $\times$  100. (A) AR index = ([65 - 10]/160)  $\times$  100 = 34.4. (B) AR index = ([40 - 20]/130)  $\times$  100 = 15.4.

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Severity of AR

#### Table 10 Prosthetic Valve Dysfunction

	Prosthetic Aortic Valve Stenosis <sup>a</sup>		
	Normal	Mild Stenosis	Moderate/Severe Stenosis
Quantitative parameters (flow-dependent) <sup>†</sup>			
Peak velocity (m/s)	<3 m/s	3-4 m/s	>4 m/s
Mean gradient (mmHg)	<20 mm Hg	20-40 mm Hg	>40 mm Hg
Quantitative parameters (flow-independent)			
Doppler velocity index <sup>‡</sup>	>0.35	0.35-0.25	<0.25
Effective orifice aread§	>1.1 cm <sup>2</sup>	1.1-0.8 cm <sup>2</sup>	<0.8 cm <sup>2</sup>
Effective orifice area <sup>II</sup>	>0.9 cm²	0.9-0.6 cm <sup>2</sup>	<0.6 cm <sup>2</sup>

#### Prosthesis-Patient Mismatch (PPM)

	Insignificant	Moderate	Severe
Indexed effective orifice area <sup>¶</sup> (cm <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> )	>0.85 cm <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	0.85-0.65 cm <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	<0.65 cm <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>
Indexed effective orifice area <sup>#</sup> (cm <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> )	>0.70 cm <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	0.90-0.60 cm <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	$< 0.60 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$

	Prosthetic Aortic Valve Regurgitation			
	Mild	Moderate	Severe	
Semi-quantitative parameters				
Diastolic flow reversal in the descending aorta—PW	Absent or brief early diastolic	Intermediate	Prominent, holodiastolic	
Circumferential extent of prosthetic valve paravalvular regurgitation (%)** Quantitative parameters <sup>‡</sup>	<10%	10-29%	≥30%	
Regurgitant volume (mL/beat)	<30 ml	30-59 ml	≥ <mark>60 ml</mark>	
Regurgitant fraction (%)	<30%	30-49%	≥50%	
EROA (cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.10 cm <sup>2</sup>	0.10-0.29 cm <sup>2</sup>	≥0.30 cm <sup>2</sup>	

\*In conditions of normal or near normal stroke volume (50–70 ml). †These parameters are more affected by flow, including concomitant aortic regurgitation. ‡For LVOT >2.5 cm, significant stenosis criteria is <0.20. §Use in setting of BSA ≥1.6 cm<sup>2</sup> (note: dependent on the size of the valve and the size of the native annulus). ∥Use in setting of BSA <1.6 cm<sup>2</sup>. ¶Use in setting of BMI <30 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>. #Use in setting of BMI ≥30 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>. \*\*Not well-validated and may overestimate the severity compared with the quantitative Doppler.

EROA = effective regurgitant orifice area; PW = pulsed wave.

![](_page_36_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### JACC 2012;60:1438-54

![](_page_36_Picture_10.jpeg)

## **Evaluation after TAVI**

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **Mild Paravalvular Leakage**

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Severe Paravalular Leakage

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Thank you for your attention.