Coronary Physiology & Imaging Summit 2007

### Noninvasive Coronary Imaging: Plaque Imaging by MDCT



Byoung Wook Choi Department of Radiology Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea







Yonsei University College of Medicine

Stary, H. C. et al. Circulation 1995;92:1355-1374

## Introduction of noninvasive coronary artery imaging



# What can MDCT show?

- Coronary Artery Anatomy
- Coronary Artery stenosis
- Coronary Artery In-stent restenosis

### Lumen & Plaque

 Different CT attenuation value of plaques according to different composition could be used to differentiate vulnerable plaque that has lipidrich core.

# Accuracy of 64-MDCT for stenosis

| First author | patient<br>number | Exclusion<br>(%) | Sensitivity<br>(%) | Specificity<br>(%) | Analyzed<br>segment<br>s |
|--------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Ehara        | 69                | 8                | 90                 | 94                 | All                      |
| Fine         | 66                | 6                | 95                 | 96                 | >1.5mm                   |
| Leber        | 59                | _                | 73-88              | 97                 | All                      |
| Leschka      | 67                | _                | 94                 | 97                 | >1.5mm                   |
| Mollet       | 52                | 2                | 99                 | 95                 | All                      |
| Pugliese     | 35                | —                | 99                 | 96                 | All                      |
| Raff         | 70                | 12               | 86                 | 95                 | All                      |
| Ropers       | 82                | 4                | 95                 | 93                 | >1.5mm                   |

Yonsei University College of Medicine

# Major Limitation is Resolution.

|                                      | Left<br>main | Left<br>anterior<br>descending | Left<br>circumflex | Right<br>coronary | Total |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Cardiac motion/arrhythmia            | 0            | 0                              | 2                  | 14                | 16    |
| Extensive calcifications             | 1            | 6                              | 5                  | 3                 | 15    |
| Small vessel (<1.5 mm)               | 0            | 0                              | 12                 | 0                 | 12    |
| Adjacent contrast-filled structures* | 0            | 1                              | 6                  | 3                 | 10    |
| Non-cardiac motion (breathing)       | 0            | 0                              | 2                  | 5                 | 7     |
| Poor opacification                   | 0            | 1                              | 2                  | 1                 | 4     |

\*Veins or ventricle.

Table 2: Reasons for non-assessability of vessel segments

Nieman et al. Lancet 2001;357:599-603

### CT classification of coronary artery plaque

- Calcified
- Mixed
- Noncalcified
  - Fibrous
  - Lipid-rich



| Type I<br>lesion   | Initial lesion  |  |
|--------------------|---|--|
| Type Ila<br>lesion | Progression-prone type II lesion  | Fatty dot or streak                    |
| llb                | Progression-resistant type II   |  |
| Type III<br>lesion | Intermediate lesion (preatheroma)   |  |
| Type IV<br>lesion  | Atheroma  | Atheromatous plaque,                   |
| Type Va<br>lesion  | Fibroatheroma (type V lesion)   | fibrolipid plaque,                     |
|                    |   | fibrous plaque, plaque                 |
| Vb                 | Calcific lesion (type VII lesion)   | Calcified plaque                       |
| Vc                 | Fibrotic lesion (type VIII lesion)  | Fibrous plaque                         |
| Type VI<br>lesion  | Lesion with surface defect, and/or hematoma-<br>hemorrhage, and/or thrombotic deposit | Complicated lesion, complicated plaque |

Stary, H. C. et al. Circulation 1995;92:1355-1374



### **MDCT-IVUS** correlation

- Calcified plaque
- Hypoechoic/echolucent plaque (soft plaque)
- Hyperechoic/intermediate plaque (fibrous plaque)



### CT attenuation value for different plaque composition

| First Author  | <i>Detec</i><br><i>tors</i> | subje<br>cts | <i>Standard of reference</i> | <i>Lipid-</i><br><i>rich</i><br><i>plaque</i><br><i>(HU)</i> | Fibrous<br>plaque<br>(HU) | <i>Calcified<br/>plaque<br/>(HU)</i> |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Leber   | 16                          | 46           | IVUS <sup>[1]</sup>          | 49±22  | 91±22                     | 391±156                              |
| Viles-Gonzalez  | 16                          | 6            | Histopathology               | $51 \pm 25$  | $116 \pm 27$              | -                                    |
| Schroeder   | 4                           | 12           | Histopathology               | 42±22  | 70±21                     | 715±328                              |
| Becker  | 4                           | 11           | Histopathology               | 47±9   | 104±28                    |                                      |
| Schroeder   | 4                           | 15           | IVUS                         | $14 \pm 26$  | 91±21                     | 419±194                              |
| For detection of plaque by CT   Intravascular ultrasound     Sensitivity   86%, |                             |              |                              |  |                           | scular ultrasound                    |

69%,

90%,

61%

Van Mieghem et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:1134–42

positive predictive value

negative predictive value

Specificity

### Is there cut-off value?



Leber et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:672–7

Pohle al. Atherosclerosis 2007;190:174–80

Characterizing a single atherosclerotic plaques as stable or vulnerable does Not seem possible based on measurement of its CT density alone.

### Plaque area and volume

 MDCT can underestimate or overestimate plaque area or volume depending on different methods to measure. Correlation of the percentage of plaque area contributing to entire vessel area



Leber et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:672–7

### **Plaque Remodeling**

#### Senstivity: 100%, specificity 90%

| Authour   | detectors | Patients | MDCT(mm2<br>) | IVUS(mm2) |
|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------|-----------|
| Achenbach | 16        | 13       | 20± 7         | 18± 8     |
| Leber     | 64        | 59       | 9.4± 5.1      | 8.4± 4.5  |

| A <sup>2.4</sup><br><sub>2.2</sub> | •   | Remodeling | B 5   | Pla  | que area |    |
|------------------------------------|-----|------------|-------|------|----------|----|
| 2 -                                | ACS | •          | .4 -  |      |          |    |
| 1.8                                | •   |            | .35 - | ACS  |          |    |
| 1.6 -                              | •   | 5A         | .3 -  | 1.00 |          |    |
| 1.4 -                              | +   | • •        | 25 -  | :    |          | SA |
| 1.2 -                              | 1   | : +        | 2 -   | 1    | :        | •  |
| 1 -<br>9 -                         | •   | <b>T</b>   | .15 - |      |          | 1  |
| .6                                 |     | •          | .1 -  | i.   | ÷        |    |
| .4                                 |     | •          | 1     |      | •        | *  |
|                                    | 1   | 2 3        | 0     | 1    | 2        | 3  |

Hoffmann et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:1655–62

Yonsei University College of Medicine

### Prevalence of Noncalcified Plaque by 64-CT in Patients with an Intermediate Risk for Significant CAD



Hausleiter et al. JACC 2006;48:312-8

### Plaque Characterization & Volume Quantification



# Limitations

### • CT:

- Protocol
- Contrast agent, calcification
- Image noise, partial volume effect
- External contour
- Convolution kernel
- Reproducibility
  - Interobserver agreement 92% for nocalcified plaque
    Ferencik et al. JACC 2006;47:207-9

Yonsei University College of Medicine



### regressive coronary soft plaque

- Regression of soft plaque under lipid lowering therapy one year later
  - Atorvastatin 20mg/d
  - Acetylsalicylated acid 100mg/d



Burgstahler et al. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging 2006;22:119–21

### Contrast enhancement of plaques



Halliburton et al. Coron Artery Dis 2006;17:553-60





Yonsei University College of Medicine

M/75 CC; 3 months of effort angina PHx: No DM, No HTN EKG: NSR, Normal EKG



Emergency room with prolonged chest pain 4 days later. marked ST-segment elevation in Leads V2-V4 with cardiac enzyme elevation, suggesting STEMI → Emergency PCI





# *Is it meaningful to classify plaques on the basis of their density?*

- Atherosclerotic lesions typically consist of multiple different components ranging from necrotic to calcified tissue.
- High-risk plaques with a lipid core and a thin fibrous cap may be either predominantly calcified [Stary IVa], fibrous [Stary IVb], or soft [Stary IVc], but all are assigned to Stary class IV.
- "Lipid pools and spotty calcifications" embedded in atherosclerotic lesions are associated with plaque vulnerability.

Ehara et al. Circulation 2004;110:3424-9

# Why CT?

- Noninvasive
- Technically easy to use
- Simple to interpret the results
- Convenient to the patients

 With ongoing technical developments image quality will improve permitting a comprehensive assessment of plaque morphology and composition.

# Summary

- Clinical application not yet supported by any scientific evidence...
- Further studies are necessary.
- Long-term follow-up studies in larger populations...