Tirofiban vs. Abciximab during primary PCI in STEMI

MULTISTRATEGY

ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00229515

M. Valgimigli, MD, PhD On behalf of Multistrategy Investigators

Disclosures

Speaker's bureau:

Research grant:

Advisory Board:

Iroko, Merck, Medicure

Iroko, Eli Lilly

Iroko, Eli Lilly, Medicine company





- There is limited data on the comparison between Abciximab vs. Tirofiban at high bolus dose (HDB: 25 µg/kg over 3 min)
 - 4 RCTs have so far contrasted these two drugs in 719 pts undergoing PCI of whom less than 300 were recruited in the setting of STEMI ^{1,2}

1: Valgimigli et al. JAMA 2005; 2: Danzi et al. Am J Cardiol 2004;





Trial Design







Coronary Angiography \pm PCI Stenting was the default strategy in pts with a RVD \geq 2.5 mm at visual estimation

*: given as a bolus of 25 μ g/kg, followed by an 18-24 hour infusion at 0.15 μ g/kg/min

MULTISTRATEGY

Study Primary Endpoints

Pharmacology Arm

Non-inferiority basis

 \geq 50% Σ ST segment elevation resolution within 90' after last balloon inflation @ tt-EKG

Stent Arm

Superiority basis

Cumulative rate of MACE, defined as overall death, Reinfarction or TVR within 8 months

Valgimigli et al. Am Heart J. 2007 Jul;154(1):39-45



Study Primary Endpoints Power Analysis

With 600 pts randomized and type I error set @2.5%



Study Organization

Sponsor:

Data Management:

Site and data monitoring:

Clinical Events Committee:

ECG core lab:

Angiographic core lab:

DSMB:

University of Ferrara, *Italy*

Medical Trial Analysis, Switzerland

Medical Trial Analysis, *Italy*; Sermes C.R.O., *Spain*

P. Agostoni (Chair), *Belgium*, E. Meliga, *The Netherlands*.

MTA, C. Arcozzi (Chair)

MTA, P. Malagutti (Chair)

P. Vranckx, (Chair), *Belgium*



MULTISTRATEGY P.I.s and Sites

G Campo Ferrara **G Percoco** Lagosanto M Anselmi Verona L Bolognese Arezzo S Colangelo Torino N de Cesare Zingonia A Rodriguez B. Aires M Ferrario Pavia





R Moreno Madrid -T Piva Ancona I Sheiban Torino G Pasquetto Mirano F Prati Rome M Nazzaro Rome J Fernández Huelva J Mieres B Aires



MULTISTRATEGY



ST Segment Resolution Rationale for choosing this endpoint in STEMI

 ST segment resolution correlates with infarct size and infarct transmurality as assessed at MRI or SPECT
Circulation 2004;110(21):e506-10. lama 2005:293(9):1063-72

Circulation 2004;110(21):e506-10. Jama 2005;293(9):1063-72. Eur Heart J. 2007 Jun;28(12):1433-9.

 ST segment resolution has strong and independent prognostic implications in terms of both death or the composite of death or MI Lancet 1997;350(9078):615-9

 Interventions in STEMI which improve ST segment resolution have a consistent effect on outcomes and viceversa

> N Engl J Med. 2008 Feb 7;358(6):557-67 J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;42(11):1879-85 Jama 2005;293(9):1063-72.



ST Segment Resolution Internal Validity Assessment of the Chosen 1° Endpoint



ST Segment Elevation



Primary Endpoint \geq 50% Σ ST segment resolution



1° Endpoint: \geq **50% ST segment resolution** Subgroup Analysis

	RISK RATIO (95% CI)	PRIMARY END POINT		P-VALUE	
		Tirofiban	Abciximab	Non-inferiority	Superiority
Overall	 _	85.3	83.6	0.001	0.53
< 65 yr		86.6	84.6	0.002	0.55
≥ 65 yr	—— <mark>—</mark> —— (84.5	82.3	0.003	0.55
Male		86.0	81.9	<0.001	0.55
Female		82.4	88.5	0.37	
Diabetes		84.6	80.0	0.059	0.74
No Diabetes		85.2	84.2	<0.001	0.74
Killip class 1		86.5	84.9	<0.001	0.57
Killip class ≥2		77.0	78.9	0.22	
Bare Metal Stent	<mark></mark>	84.8	82.7	0.002	0.59
Sirolimus-Eluting Stent		85.9	84.6	0.003	0.74
Single-vessel disease		85.2	85.8	0.02	0.86
Triple-vessel disease		87.2	86.7	0.01	0.89
		84.2	/2.8	0.002	0.10
Anterior Myocardial infarction		79.6	71.9	<0.001	0.11
Non Anterior Myocardial infarction		89.4	92.1	0.01	0.26
Time to $Tx \le 4$ hr		84 7	88 4	0.004	0 95
Time to Tx > 4 hr		86.0	82.0	0.002	0.37
Creatinine Clearance ≥ 60 ml/min	ed se	85.6	85.1	0.001	0.89
Creatinine Clearance < 60 ml/min	ā	85.8	76.3	<0.001	0.11
1.5 1.4 1		0.6 0.5			
			\rightarrow		
					A 2 1 1 TO 4

Tirofiban Better Abciximab Better



ECG Analysis Core Lab Evaluation N=722





30-Day Outcomes Efficacy Endpoints (CEC adjudicated)



30-Day Outcomes Safety Endpoints (DSMB adjudicated)

8% Abciximab Tirofiban P=0.40 6%-P=0.004 4%-P=0.32P=0,44 P = 0.032% 0% Major Minor RBC Any Severe Tranfusion Thrombocytopenia **TIMI-Bleeding** MULTISTRATEGY Valgimigli et al, JAMA 2008

Does Thrombocytopenia impact on patient outcome ?



Differential impact of Thrombocytopenia on mortality





Valgimigli et al, JAMA 2008



8 Month Outcomes Death/MI (CEC adjudicated)



MULTISTRATEGY



Similar Short and long-term anti-ischemic effect

Meta-analysis of 7 RCT including 2,213 pts.



Pharmaco-economic Analysis

- Drug utilization and major procedural resources between groups were similar;
- Duration of HDB tirofiban infusion was longer 19.97h v. 11.44h (p<0.0001) whereas, amount of Glycoprotein inhibitor and number of required vials of drug was higher for Abciximab



Summary

Our study provides evidence that in a broad population of largely unselected patients undergoing angioplasty for ST-elevation myocardial infarction:

- Tirofiban enables non-inferior STR within 90' after intervention and similar outcomes at 8 months than Abciximab
- The safety profile favoured the use of tirofiban for a lower incidence of thrombocytopenia which has prognostic implications
- Tirofiban appeared a more cost-efficient drug than abciximab

