How IVUS Improve LM and Bifurcation Outcomes?

Akiko Maehara, MD
Cardiovascular Research Foundation
Columbia University Medical Center

Disclosure Statement of Financial Interest

Within the past 12 months, I or my spouse/partner have had a financial interest/arrangement or affiliation with the organization(s) listed below.

Affiliation/Financial Relationship	Company
• Grant/Research Support	• Boston Scientific, St Jude Medical
• Consulting Fees/Honoraria	• Boston Scientific, OCT Medical Imaging Inc.

Discrepancy between Angio and IVUS







MLA=4.6mm^2



MLA=8.6mm^2

Cardiovas
Research Foun
Columbia University
Medical Center
NewYork-Presbyterian

Comparison of Angiography vs IVUS (n=468)



- **Though you don’t see anything, this is not true.**
- **If you see something, this may be true.**





Carina Shift















Outcome of LCX after Cross-Over Stenting



- Overall MACE =4.7% (2/43)
- No Ischemic TLR, MI

Kang et al. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2013 on-line

Optimal Stent Expansion







Left Main

LAD

4.5mm×
4.6mm



4.6mm×
4.0mm

Left Main

LCX



3.5mm×
3.4mm

Cardiovascu
Research Founda
Columbia University
Medical Center
NewYork-Presbyterian





POC (Polygon of confluence)



Proximal

Distal







POC (Polygon of confluence)



Cardiovasc
Research Foun
Columbia University
Medical Center
NewYork-Presbyterian

SB Stent Underexpansion After Crush

Final angiographic result





	MV	SB
MSA, mm^2	6.5±1.7	3.9±1.0
Stent expansion, %	92.1±16.6	79.9±12.3
MSA <4 mm^2	10% (2/20)	55% (11/20)
MSA <5 mm^2	20% (4/20)	90% (18/20)



Costa et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;46:599-605

Incomplete Crush Apposition



Incomplete crushing – incomplete apposition of the SB or MV stent struts against the MV wall proximal to the carina – was found in >60% of non-LM lesions

Costa et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;46:599-605

All-cause Mortality after LMCA DES Implantation: Impact of IVUS Guidance



Park et al. Circ Cardiovasc Intervent 2009;2:167-77

Cardiovascular Research Foundation
Columbia University Medical Center
NewYork-Presbyterian

Impact of IVUS Guidance of Unprotected LM Propensity Matched 1010 pts from 4 Registries

- Distal LM lesion ~60%, 2 stent technique ~13%
- IVUS guidance was an independent predictor of MACE





De la Torre Hernandez et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014:244-54

IVUS-guided LM PCI with DES vs a propensity score-matched group of pts treated without IVUS guidance from 4 Spanish registries

	IVUS	No IVUS	P
All lesions	505	505	
Cardiac death	3.3%	6.0%	0.07
MI	4.5%	6.5%	0.4
TLR	7.7%	6.3%	0.7
Definite/probable ST	0.6%	2.2%	0.04
Cardiac death+MI+TLR	11.7%	16.0%	0.04
Distal lesions	221	226	
Cardiac death+MI+TLR	11.0%	19.0%	0.03
Distal lesions - 2 stents	63	62	
Cardiac death+MI+TLR	16.7%	41.0%	0.02

De la Torre Hernandez et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014:244-54

IVUS vs angiography-guided LMCA PCI at FuWai Hospital (N=1,899)









Cardiovascular Research Foundation

Xu Bo. TCT2015

Columbia University Medical Center
NewYork-Presbyterian

Meta-Analysis of MACE in 5 published studies



- IVUS-guided DES implantation into LMCA lesions was associated with a significant reduction in
  - MACE (RR: 0.61, 95% CI: 0.50 to 0.73, p<0.001)
  - All-cause Death (RR: 0.53, 95% CI: 0.37 to 0.76, p=0.001)
  - Cardiac Death (RR: 0.40, 95% CI: 0.24 to 0.64, p<0.001)
  - Myocardial Infarction (RR: 0.69, 95% CI: 0.53 to 0.89, p<0.001)
  - Stent Thrombosis (RR: 0.27, 95%CI: 0.11 to 0.65, p=0.004).
- However, there was no significant statistical difference regarding TLR (RR: 0.41, 95% CI: 0.09 to 1.91, p=0.255) and only a trend to reduced TVR (RR: 0.45, 95% CI: 0.16 to 1.27, p=0.132).

EXCEL Trial

Evaluation of Xience versus Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery for Effectiveness of Left Main Revascularization



Change in LMCAD stenting by IVUS



Any IVUS usage for LM lesion (n=690)

- Used larger balloon: 30% (107)
- Post-dilated: 29% (102)
- Used higher pressure: 17% (62)
- Treated stent under-expansion: 16% (57)
- Led to provisional 1 stent strategy rather than planned 2 stents: 11% (41)
- Led to planned 2 stent strategy rather than provisional 1 stent: 9% (33)

Patient Characteristics

IVUS MSA tertiles (range)	Low: 4.4-8.7 (n=172)	Inter: 8.8-10.9 (n=169)	High: 11.0-17.8 (n=163)	P Value
Age (years)	65.5±9.8	65.3±9.2	65.7±9.7	0.94
Female	32.0%	22.5%	24.5%	0.11
Diabetes mellitus	33.7%	29.0%	24.5%	0.18
Insulin treated	9.9%	7.7%	4.3%	0.14
Hyperlipidemia	76.6%	68.7%	73.6%	0.26
Hypertension	76.5%	77.4%	67.5%	0.08
Current smoking	25.7%	27.9%	23.6%	0.68
Renal insufficiency*	18.0%	15.5%	14.9%	0.72
Prior MI	16.5%	22.3%	16.3%	0.27
Prior PCI	17.4%	17.9%	21.5%	0.59

*Defined as Cockcroft-Gault equation<60ml/min

Angio and Procedural Characteristics

IVUS MSA tertiles (range)	Low: 4.4-8.7 (n=172)	Inter: 8.8-10.9 (n=169)	High: 11.0-17.8 (n=163)	P Value
Distal left main lesion	81.7%	77.4%	78.4%	0.60
Ostial LAD ≥50%	45.3%	44.4%	47.9%	0.81
Ostial LCX ≥50%	44.8%	35.5%	37.4%	0.18
LM + 2 vessel disease	36.0%	32.0%	25.8%	0.13
LM + 3 vessel disease	16.3%	20.7%	15.3%	0.39
Syntax score baseline	26.8±8.4	26.9±8.4	26.5±9.0	0.92
>32	21.8%	26.1%	23.9%	0.67
Residual Syntax score	6.1±5.8	6.3±6.0	6.3±6.5	0.96
Total LM stent length (mm)	27.3±15.2	27.8±15.6	27.3±16.4	0.96
LM stent diameter (mm)	3.3±0.4	3.5±0.4	3.7±0.4	<0.01
PCI for non-LM lesions	54.7%	53.8%	52.1%	0.90
Total non-LM stent length (mm)	35.6±25.1	40.7±28.7	36.2±26.5	0.40

IVUS Characteristics

IVUS MSA tertiles (range)	Low: 4.4-8.7 (n=172)	Inter: 8.8-10.9 (n=169)	High: 11.0-17.8 (n=163)	P Value
Left main segment				
Distal bifurcation location	84.3%	81.7%	78.5%	0.52
MSA, mm^2	7.5±1.0	9.9±0.7	12.5±1.4	<0.01
Vessel area at MSA, mm^2	19.3±4.0	21.8±3.7	24.8±4.2	<0.01
Mean stent area, mm^3/mm	8.9±1.5	11.2±1.2	13.6±1.6	<0.01
Mean vessel area, mm^3/mm	19.0±3.5	22.1±3.7	25.1±4.1	<0.01
Any target lesion segment				
Attenuated plaque	75.6%	76.3%	69.9%	0.35
Tissue protrusion	8.1%	10.1%	11.7%	0.56
Stent malapposition	18.6%	21.3%	23.3%	0.57
Stent deformation/fracture	9.4%	4.7%	5.6%	0.18
Edge dissection	13.4%	12.4%	12.3%	0.95

3-Year Left Main Related Outcomes

IVUS MSA tertiles (range)	Low: 4.4-8.7 (n=172)	Inter: 8.8-10.9 (n=169)	High: 11.0-17.8 (n=163)	P L vs I	P L vs H
Cardiac death, MI/ST/IDR of LM	19.7 (32)	12.9% (21)	11.3% (18)	0.14	0.05
Cardiac death	6.8% (11)	3.0% (5)	1.9% (3)	0.14	0.03
MI of LM	9.4% (15)	4.3% (7)	3.1% (5)	0.09	0.03
Peri-procedural	4.1% (7)	2.4% (4)	1.8% (3)	0.38	0.23
Spontaneous	4.5% (7)	1.2% (2)	0.6% (1)	0.10	0.03
Stent thrombosis (D/P)	3.1% (5)	0.6% (1)	0% (0)	0.11	0.03
LM ischemia driven TLR	10.2% (16)	8.3% (13)	7.6% (12)	0.61	0.47
LM ischemia driven TVR	10.2% (16)	10.2% (16)	8.2% (13)	0.94	0.61

LM MSA to predict LM related Events

	Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	P-value
Final IVUS LM MSA (mm^2)	0.89 (0.80-0.99)	0.03
Distal left main lesion location	2.10 (1.0-5.33)	0.05
Diabetes mellitus	1.63 (1.0-2.64)	0.049
Acute coronary syndrome presentation	0.60 (0.36-0.99)	0.045
Male	0.69 (0.41-1.12)	0.16
Age (years)	1.01 (0.99-1.04)	0.32
History of heart failure (NYHA III/ IV)	1.23 (0.38-3.99)	0.73
Left main with 3 vessel disease	1.21 (0.67-2.20)	0.53

Cut off of LM MSA= 9.8 mm^2 (AUC:0.58)

KM-Curve stratified by MSA Cut-off



Number at risk:

MSA < 9.8	245	232	224	212	188	97
MSA ≥9.8	259	252	244	235	220	139

Conclusions

1. IVUS is useful for the diagnosis of severity and distribution of left main disease, optimization of stent, and evaluation of complication.
2. In the EXCEL trial, 68% of PCI cases were performed using IVUS guidance. In the half of IVUS guidance cases, the procedure was changed by the IVUS findings.
3. After treatment with CoCr-EES, a small final MSA of the left main coronary artery measured by IVUS was strongly associated with cardiac death, MI, stent thrombosis, and TLR related LM during 3 year follow-up.