Meet the Experts over Breakfast: Left Main & MVD Revascularization

Optimal Duration of Antiplatelet Therapy Following Left Main and Bifurcation PCI

Duk-Woo Park, MD

Asan Medical Center, Ulsan University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea



Disclosure

 Institutional grant/research funding to CardioVascular Research Foundation (CVRF, Korea) and/or Asan Medical Center from Abbott, Boston Scientific, Medtronics, Daiichi-Sankyo, Edwards Lifescience, HK InnoN, Daewoong Pharm, and ChongKunDang Pharm.

DAPT Guidelines Are Mainly Based on; (1) ACS vs. Stable, (2) HBR

CENTRAL ILLUSTRATION: Recommendations for Dual Antiplatelet Therapy in Patients Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention



Capodanno, D. et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;72(23PA):2915-31.

Complex High-Risk PCI: High Ischemic Risk

High-risk Patient

- Previous NSTEMI or STEMI
- Recurrent ischemic event on DAPT
- History of stent thrombosis
- Chronic inflammatory disease
- Diabetes
- Chronic renal dysfunction

High-risk PCI

- Complex PCI: Left main, CTO, complex bifurcation, multivessel PCI, severe calcification, diffuse long
- >3 Stents
- Total stent length >60 mm



Complex High-Risk PCI

Prolonged (i.e. >6 months) DAPT duration ^d		
may be considered in patients who under-	ΠЬ	в
went complex PCI. ²⁴⁷		

"Optimal DAPT duration of complex high-risk PCI is still unknown"

2017 ESC Guideline





Improved Drug Eluting stent for All-comers Left Main: <u>IDEAL-LM</u>

Robert-Jan van Geuns, MD, PhD Keith G Oldroyd MBChB, MD On Behalf of the IDEAL-LM investigators

Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, Netherlands Department of Cardiology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands. Golden Jubilee National Hospital, Glasgow, United Kingdom





Rationale and Aims I



- The use of PCI for LMCA disease is increasing worldwide
 - SYNTAX, EXCEL, NOBLE
 - European and US Guidelines
- The optimal duration of post-procedural DAPT after LM PCI remains undetermined
 - Ischemia vs bleeding

A novel DES design with a bioabsorbable polymer and thin struts may facilitate faster healing and allow a shorter duration of DAPT without compromising clinical outcomes







Primary Outcome Measure



Non-inferiority confirmed

Primary Endpoint: 2 year MACE (death, MI, ischemic driven TVR)

019

IDEAL-LM

2019

Cardiovascular [®] Research Foundation

Conclusions

- After 2 years, in patients undergoing LM-PCI, a Bioabsorbable Polymer Everolimus-Eluting Platinum Chromium stent (*Synergy*) followed by 4 months DAPT was <u>non-inferior</u> to a Permanent Polymer Everolimus-Eluting Cobalt Chromium stent (*Xience*) followed by 12 months DAPT with respect to the composite end point of death from any cause or MI or ischemia-driven target vessel revascularization.
- No difference in ischemic events up to 24 months
 - No difference in definite/probable stent thrombosis
 - No stent thrombosis in either group from 4 to 12 months (Synergy off DAPT)
- Excess BARC 3 or 5 bleeding in short DAPT group but...
 - 4/11 were on OAC/NOAC (2 on triple Rx) and 7/11 were off DAPT
 - Trial not powered for bleeding events

Optimal Duration of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy After Left Main Stenting: The EXCEL Trial

<u>SJ Brener;</u> PW Serruys; MC Morice; R Mehran; AP Kappetein; JF Sabik III; Y Liu; O Dressler, O Ben-Yehuda and GW Stone

J Am Coll Cardiol . 2018;72:2086-2087

Adherence to DAPT

Primary endpoint between 1-3 years

Primary endpoint: a composite of death, MI, or stroke

2018

Conclusions

- In the EXCEL trial, continuation of DAPT beyond 1 year was not associated with improved event-free survival after PCI with everolimus-eluting stents in patients with LMCA disease.
 - ACS patients also did not derive benefit from prolonged DAPT
- MI and ST were not reduced by prolonged DAPT
- Significant bleeding was not increased by prolonged DAPT

Fu Wai Left Main PCI Registry in China

Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

New Insights Into Long- Versus Short-Term Dual Antiplatelet Therapy Duration in Patients After Stenting for Left Main Coronary Artery Disease: Findings From a Prospective Observational Study

Hao-Yu Wang^(D), MD; Ke-Fei Dou^(D), MD; Changdong Guan, MSc; Lihua Xie, MSc; Yunfei Huang, PhD; Rui Zhang, MD; Weixian Yang, MD; Yongjian Wu, MD; Yuejin Yang, MD; Shubin Qiao, MD; Runlin Gao, MD; Bo Xu^(D), MBBS

BACKGROUND: The appropriate duration of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) and risk-benefit ratio for long-term DAPT in patients with left main (LM) disease undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention remains uncertain.

METHODS: Four thousand five hundred sixty-one consecutive patients with stenting of LM disease at a single center from January 2004 to December 2016 were enrolled. Decision to discontinue or remain on DAPT after 12 months was left to an individualized decision-making based on treating physicians by weighing the patient's risks of ischemia versus bleeding and considering patient preference. The primary outcome was a composite of death, myocardial infarction, stent thrombosis, or stroke at 3 years. Key safety outcome was 3-year rate of Bleeding Academic Research Consortium 2, 3, or 5 bleeding.

Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions

New Insights into Long- versus Short-Term Dual Antiplatelet Therapy Duration in Patients After Stenting for Left Main Coronary Artery Disease: Findings From a Prospective Observational Study

Study Population

3865 patients undergoing LMCA PCI

Data from all consecutive patients undergoing unprotected left main coronary artery (LMCA) PCI between January 2004 and December 2016 were prospectively collected

Mean (SD) age, 60.0 (10.3) y Sex: 3052 Men, 813 Women Diabetes: 28.2% ACS: 56.6% LVEF (%): 63.1 ± 7.3 Mean SYNTAX score: 22.5 ± 7.2 LM distal bifurcation disease: 80.5% Left main plus 2 or 3 vessels: 48.8% IVUS use: 42.4% Second-generation DES: 67.0%

Settings/Locations

Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, CAMS&PUMC, Beijing, China weighing the risks of ischemia versus bleeding for each patient and consideration of patients' values and preferences.

Consider clinical characteristics, laboratory variables, and procedural characteristics

Decision to discontinue or remain on DAPT after

12 months was left to an individualized decision-

making based on patient's treating physician by

At 12-month visit:

Treatment

- DAPT>12-month group: Aspirin 100%; Clopidogrel 97.7%; Ticagrelor 2.3%
- DAPT≤12-month group: Aspirin 93.9%; Clopidogrel 31.0%; Ticagrelor 2.7%

Primary endpoint

- Primary endpoint: 3-year major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events (MACCE; death, myocardial infarction, stent thrombosis, or stroke)
- Key safety outcome: BARC 2, 3, or 5 bleeding at 3 years.

In a large cohort of consecutive patients who had no major adverse events during the first year after an index LMCA PCI and were at low apparent future bleeding risk, an individualized patient-tailored approach to longer duration (>12 months) of DAPT with aspirin plus a P2Y₁₂ inhibitor (mostly clopidogrel) was associated with a lower risk for MACCE compared with ≤12-month DAPT, without a concomitant increase in clinically relevant bleeding

Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2022;15:e011536

Original article

Dual antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention for left main coronary artery disease

Sungsoo Cho,^{a,} Do-Yoon Kang,^{b,} Jung-Sun Kim,^{c,*} Duk-Woo Park,^{b,*} In-Soo Kim,^d Tae Soo Kang,^e Jung-Min Ahn,^b Pil Hyung Lee,^b Soo-Jin Kang,^b Seung-Whan Lee,^b Young-Hak Kim,^b Cheol Whan Lee,^b Seong-Wook Park,^b Seung-Jun Lee,^c Sung-Jin Hong,^c Chul-Min Ahn,^c Byeong-Keuk Kim,^c Young-Guk Ko,^c Donghoon Choi,^c Yangsoo Jang,^f Myeong-Ki Hong,^c and Seung-Jung Park^b

^a Department of Cardiology, Heart and Brain Hospital, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea

^b Division of Cardiology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

^c Division of Cardiology, Severance Cardiovascular Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

^d Division of Cardiology, Gangnam Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

^e Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Dankook University Hospital, Dankook University College of Medicine, Cheonan, Choongcheongnam-do, Republic of Korea

^fDepartment of Cardiology, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University, Seongnam, Republic of Korea

Article history: Received 1 May 2022 Accepted 15 July 2022 Available online 27 July 2022

Keywords:

Dual antiplatelet therapy Left main coronary artery disease Drug-eluting stents

ABSTRACT

Introduction and objectives: There are scarce data on the optimal duration and prognostic impact of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with second-generation drug-eluting stents for left main coronary artery (LMCA) disease. The aim of this study was to investigate the practice pattern and long-term prognostic effect of DAPT duration in patients undergoing PCI with second-generation drug-eluting stents for LMCA disease.

Methods: Using individual patient-level data from the IRIS-MAIN and KOMATE registries, 1827 patients undergoing PCI with second-generation drug-eluting stents for LMCA disease with valid information on DAPT duration were included. The efficacy outcome was major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE, a composite of cardiac death, myocardial infarction, and stent thrombosis) and the safety outcome was TIMI major bleeding.

Results: DAPT duration was < 6 months (n = 273), 6 to 12 months (n = 477), 12 to 24 months (n = 637), and \geq 24 months (n = 440). The median follow-up duration was 3.9 [interquartile range, 3.01-5.00] years. Prolonged DAPT duration was associated with lower incidences of MACE. In multigroup propensity score analysis, adjusted HR for MACE were significantly higher for DAPT < 6 months and DAPT 6 to 12 months than for DAPT 12 to 24 months (HR, 4.51; 95%CI, 2.96-6.88 and HR 1.92; 95%CI, 1.23-3.00). There was no difference in HR for major bleeding among the assessed groups.

Conclusions: DAPT duration following PCI for LMCA disease is highly variable. Although the duration of DAPT should be considered in the context of the clinical situation of each patient, < 12 months of DAPT was associated with higher incidence of MACE. Registration identifiers: NCT01341327; NCT03908463. © 2022 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

28th TCTAP

Multi-Group PS Analysis

Comparisons between outcomes of different DAPT durations (after PS weighting)

Outcomes	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Р
MACE		
DAPT <6 vs 12-24 months ⊢■	── 4.51 (2.96-6.88)	< .001
DAPT 6-12 vs. 12-24 months	1.92 (1.23-3.00)	.004
DAPT ≥24 vs 12-24 months	0.84 (0.50-1.40)	.494
Major bleeding		
DAPT <6 vs 12-24 months IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	0.74 (0.42-1.31)	.299
DAPT 6-12 vs 12-24 months 🔳	0.68 (0.41-1.16)	.157
DAPT ≥24 vs 12-24 months H■ ⊣	1.05 (0.66-1.69)	.832
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 ← Favors other DAPT durations Favors DA	7 PT 12-24 months →	

Rev Esp Cardiol. 2023;76(4):245–252

Key Messages

- Presently, DAPT is recommended for 6 months after PCI in stable CAD and for 12 months after PCI in ACS, with allowances to shorten or prolong DAPT duration depending on the relative risks of ischemia vs bleeding.
- Optimal duration of DAPT and antithrombotic strategy for complex LM or bifurcation PCI still controversial.
- Current trend has proposed that shorter DAPT would be OK with smarter DES and improved complex PCI techniques.

